



The Role of CT-Scan Guided Transforaminal Epidural Steroid Injection in Lumbar Radiculopathy

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Physical Medicine, Rheumatology & Rehabilitation*

By

Hajed Hani Muhamed Antabli

M.B., B.Ch

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Henaz Farouk Khaled

*Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University*

Prof. Dr. Hasham Mahmoud Ahmed

*Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University*

Ass. Prof. Dr. Eman Ahmed Tawfik

*Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَأَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكَ
الْكِتَابَ وَالْحِكْمَةَ
وَعَلَّمَكَ مَا لَمْ
تَكُنْ تَعْلَمُ وَكَانَ
فَضْلُ اللَّهِ عَلَيْكَ
عَظِيمًا

صدق الله العظيم

سورة النساء آية (١١٣)

Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Henaz Farouk Khaled**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for her meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.*

*Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Hasham Mahmoud Ahmed**, Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Eman Ahmed Tawfik**, Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for her great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Hajed Hani Muhamed Antabli

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	6
List of Abbreviations.....	10
Introduction.....	- 1 -
Aim of the Work	15
Review of Literature	16
Patients and Methods	71
Results	92
Discussion.....	125
Summary and Conclusion.....	135
Recommendations	138
References	139
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Duration of symptoms and prognosis of Acute, Sub acute and Chronic back pain.....	34
Table (2):	Signs of nerve root compression.....	42
Table (3):	Shows clinical and functional data at pre injection, 1 st visit (45 days) and 2 nd (90 days).....	95
Table (4):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post-injection as regards the clinical and functional data.....	98
Table (5):	Comparison between 1 st visit and 2 nd visit) regarding symptoms, clinical examination, VAS scoring and ODI questionnaire	104
Table (6):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post-injection as regards the clinical and functional data.....	110
Table (7):	Comparison between clinical data pre-injection, 1 st visit and 2 nd visit:	114
Table (8):	Comparison between pre-injection visit, 1 st visit and 2 nd visit post-injection as regards the clinical and functional data.....	115
Table (9):	Correlation between disease duration and (VAS Score, ODI Score (%)) Before Injection, 1 st visit (45 days) and 2 nd visit (90 days).....	119
Table (10):	Correlation between VAS score and ODI score before injection, 45 days post-injection and 90 days post-injection:.....	123

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	The epidural space	18
Figure (2):	The boundaries of an intervertebral foramen	19
Figure (3):	The spinal nerves	21
Figure (4):	The dural sleeve	22
Figure (5):	The ligamentum flavum	24
Figure (6):	Blood supply of the spinal cord	27
Figure (7):	Cutaneous landmarks of the lumbar region	28
Figure (8):	SIJ provocative tests.....	39
Figure (9):	Dermatomes of the lower limb	42
Figure (10):	Traight leg raising test	44
Figure (11):	Femoral nerve stretch test	44
Figure (12):	Oblique view in plain radiograph of the lumbar spine showing the scotty dog appearance	47
Figure (13):	Coronal, sagittal, and axial cuts in MRI lumbar spine	50
Figure (14):	Inter-Laminar & Trans-Foraminal approaches.	68
Figure (15):	Caudal Approach.	69
Figure (16):	Distraction.....	76
Figure (17):	Thigh thrust	77
Figure (18):	Faber	77
Figure (19):	Compression.....	78

List of Figures *cont...*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (20):	Gaenslen.....	78
Figure (21):	The Visual analogue scale "VAS"	79
Figure (22):	Oswesrty Disability Index	81
Figure (23):	Cadwell (Sierra Wave).....	85
Figure (24):	Identification & Labelling the injection path on the skin.	87
Figure (25):	Positioning of the needle under CT-scan guidance.	88
Figure (26):	Aspiration to ensure the absence of blood reflux.	88
Figure (27):	Injection of the lidocaine & betamethasone.....	89
Figure (28):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post injection regarding pain of SIJ.	99
Figure (29):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post injection regarding CSLR.	100
Figure (30):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post injection regarding SIJ.....	100
Figure (31):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post injection regarding VAS score.....	101
Figure (32):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 1 st visit post injection regarding ODI score.....	101

List of Figures *cont...*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (33):	Comparison between 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding pain of SIJ.	105
Figure (34):	Comparison between 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding CSLR.	106
Figure (35):	Comparison between 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding SIJ.	106
Figure (36):	Comparison between 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding VAS score.	107
Figure (37):	Comparison between 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding ODI score.....	107
Figure (38):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post injection regarding pain of SIJ.	111
Figure (39):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post injection regarding CSLR.	112
Figure (40):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post injection regarding SIJ.	112
Figure (41):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post injection regarding VAS score.....	113
Figure (42):	Comparison between pre-injection visit and 2 nd visit post injection regarding ODI score.....	113
Figure (43):	Comparison between pre-injection, 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding pain of SIJ.	116
Figure (44):	Comparison between pre-injection, 1 st visit & 2 nd visit) regarding CSLR.	117

List of Figures *cont...*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (45):	Comparison between pre-injection, 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding SIJ.	117
Figure (46):	Comparison between pre-injection, 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding ODI score.....	118
Figure (47):	Comparison between pre-injection, 1 st visit & 2 nd visit regarding VAS score.	118
Figure (48):	Positive correlation between Duration and Vas Score before Injection.	120
Figure (49):	Positive correlation between Duration and ODI Score before Injection.	120
Figure (50):	Positive correlation between Duration and Vas Score,at 1st visit.	121
Figure (51):	Positive correlation between Duration and ODI Score at 1st visit.....	121
Figure (52):	Positive correlation between Duration and Vas Score at 2 nd visit.....	122
Figure (53):	Positive correlation between Duration and ODI Score at 2 nd visit.....	122
Figure (54):	Positive correlation between VAS score and ODI score before injection	123
Figure (55):	Positive correlation between VAS score and ODI score 45 days post injection.....	124
Figure (56):	Positive correlation between VAS score and ODI score 90 days post-injection.....	124

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>ALT</i>	<i>Alanine amino transferase</i>
<i>AST</i>	<i>Aspartate amino transferase</i>
<i>BUN</i>	<i>Blood urea nitrogen</i>
<i>CBC</i>	<i>Complete blood count</i>
<i>CRP</i>	<i>Quantitative C reactive protein</i>
<i>CSF</i>	<i>Cerebrospinal fluid</i>
<i>CSLR</i>	<i>Contralateral straight raising test</i>
<i>CT</i>	<i>Computer-assisted tomography</i>
<i>ESR</i>	<i>Erythrocyte sedimentation rate</i>
<i>FABER</i>	<i>Flexion, abduction, external rotation</i>
<i>FIB</i>	<i>Fibrillation potentials</i>
<i>FNS</i>	<i>Femoral nerve stretch test</i>
<i>HS</i>	<i>Highly significant</i>
<i>IBM SPSS</i>	<i>Statistical Package for Social Science</i>
<i>IQR</i>	<i>Inter-quartile range</i>
<i>MRI</i>	<i>Magnetic resonance imaging</i>
<i>NS</i>	<i>Non significant</i>
<i>NSAIDs</i>	<i>Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</i>
<i>ODI</i>	<i>Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire</i>
<i>PLA2</i>	<i>Phospholipase A2</i>
<i>PSW</i>	<i>Positive sharp waves</i>
<i>S</i>	<i>Significant</i>
<i>SIJ</i>	<i>Sacroiliac joints</i>
<i>SLR</i>	<i>Straight leg raising test</i>
<i>SNAP</i>	<i>Sensory nerve action potential</i>
<i>SSEPs</i>	<i>Somatosensory evoked potentials</i>
<i>TFESI</i>	<i>Transforaminal epidural steroid injections</i>
<i>VAS</i>	<i>Visual analogue scale</i>

INTRODUCTION

Lumbar radiculopathy is a common clinical condition. An epidemiological review noted lifetime prevalence estimates ranging from 12.2% to 43% and annual prevalence ranging from 2.2% to 34% (*Konstantinou and Dunn, 2008*). Although the natural history is generally favorable, patients with radicular pain tend to have poorer outcomes, consume more health care resources, and have greater disability than patients with purely axial back pain (*Kaufmann et al., 2013*). The pathophysiology of radicular pain likely involves both mechanical nerve compression and an inflammatory response, mediated by inflammatory cytokines (*Mulleman et al., 2006*).

Epidural injection of medications for management of low back pain and lower extremity pain was introduced in 1901 by *Cathelin (2000)*, *Pasquier and Leri (2000)* and *Sicard (2000)*. The earliest technique for epidural steroids injection was the caudal approach, but it didn't gain international universal application until 1925, when *Viner (2000)* popularized its use for treating sciatica where he used procaine, Ringer's solution and saline (*Ogoke, 2000*).

The objective of an epidural steroid injection is to deliver corticosteroids close to the site of pathology, presumably into an inflamed nerve root resulting in a much higher local concentration of steroids in the target site (*Dooley et al., 1988*; *Stanley et al., 1990*). The epidurally administered steroids

reduce inflammation by inhibiting the synthesis and/or release of a number of pro-inflammatory mediators and also causing reversible local anesthetic effect (*Pasqualucci et al., 2007*).

Numerous reports of effectiveness of epidural steroid injections have varied in their response rates from 18% to 90%. Surprisingly, most controlled studies involving epidural steroid injections were performed without fluoroscopic guidance, whereas few uncontrolled open-ended clinical trials used it to ensure delivering the injectate into at least the epidural space if not to the target site. The major cause of disparity proposed is technical error which includes sub-optimal placement or non-placement of the needle in the correct position near the target nerve route leading to the failure of delivery of steroids to the target site (*Manchikanti et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2009*).

Epidural drug injections in the lumbar spine can be delivered by many approaches including: interlaminar, caudal & transforaminal approaches. The interlaminar approach was considered at first to be the preferable route as it is directed more closely to the assumed site of pathology than the caudal approach thus facilitating the delivery of the injectate to its target site using smaller volumes of medications (*Manchikanti et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2009*). However, it has some disadvantages such as extra-dural placement of the needle (which may go unrecognized without CT-Scan guidance) and the discriminatory cranial flow of the solution in the epidural space. The use of this technique results in deposition of

medication in the posterior epidural space. On the contrary, disc/nerve root pathology occurs in the anterior epidural space (*Rados et al., 2011*). In addition, various studies reported the failure of the interlaminar approach to produce statistically significant clinical improvements (*Fredman et al., 1999; Manchikanti et al., 2010*).

Transforaminal epidural steroid injections (TFESI) have emerged as an alternative to both interlaminar and caudal injections. Some reports mentioned the use of this approach for epidural drug injections, such as *Robecchi and Capra (1952)* in 1952 in the Italian literature, when they performed a periradicular injection of hydrocortisone on the first sacral route and reported relief of lumbar and sciatic pain in a female patient, then (*Lievre et al., 1953*) and colleagues in the French literature in 1953 reported transforaminal injection of steroids on the level of the first sacral route (*Nelson and Landau, 2001*). Since then, transforaminal epidural injection has been widely used as it is considered as an effective mean for the management of many cases of low back pain and lower extremity pain (*Manchikanti et al., 1999*).

The major advantage of transforaminal approach for therapeutic injections is that it ensures that the injected medications incorporates all the sites where the pathology can affect the nerve, which extends from the disc level in the subarticular zone to the extraforaminal zone, including the ventral epidural space (*Manchikanti et al., 2010*). Despite this

major advantage, considerable controversy continues to surround the relative efficacy of the different types of epidural steroid injection, its indications, selection criteria, and its cost-effectiveness (*Wilkinson and Cohen, 2013*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The objective of this study was to assess the immediate and short-term effects of transforaminal epidural steroid injections in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy.