

Luteal phase support with estradiol in poor responders undergoing In Vitro Fertilization / Intra-cytoplasmic Sperm Injection using gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonist protocol: a double blind randomized controlled trial

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Sara Osama Mohamed

M.B.B.Ch., (2013) Zagazig University Resident of obstetrics and gynecology Kafr el-Shikh University hospital

Under supervision of

Professor/Gasser Mohamed Adly Elbishry

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr. Mostafa Fouad Gomaa

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr. Radwa Rasheedy Ali

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

2020

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks and respect for **Prof. Dr. Gasser Adly Elbishry**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his wise guidance, kind encouragement, I have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I am deeply thankful to **Prof. Dr. Mostafa Found Gomaa**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable supervision, guidance, kind advice throughout this work.

Many thanks and gratitude to *Dr. Radwa Rasheedy Ali*, lecturer of obstetrics and gynecology, faculty of medicine, ain shams university for here effort, care and encouragement to complete this work.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, respect and love to my family for their support and much needed encouragement.

Finally I would like to thank the patients who gave me the chance to achieve my work.

Sara Osama Mohamed



List of Contents

Title	Page No.	
List of Tables		
List of Figures	II	
List of Abbreviations	III	
Protocol		
Introduction	1	
Aim of the work	3	
Review of Literature		
 Poor responders & IVF 	4	
Luteal phase support	14	
Estradiol role in the LPS	28	
Patients and Methods	31	
Results	45	
Discussion	61	
Summary & Conclusion	70	
Recommendations	74	
References		
Arabic Summary		



List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page
No.		No.
Table 1	Sample Size: calculation for randomized	33
	clinical trials	
Table 2	Computer-generated random number list	35
Table 3	Baseline characteristics of both study	47
	groups	
Table 4	Outcome of stimulation in both study groups	48
Table 5	Number of produced and transferred	49
	embryos in both study groups	
Table 6	Main outcome measures in both study	50
	groups	
Table 7	Risk analysis for the achievement of	54
	chemical pregnancy, clinical pregnancy	
	or live birth	
Table 8	Incidence of adverse pregnancy	55
	outcomes in both study groups	
Table 9	Binary logistic regression analysis for	57
	determinants of chemical pregnancy	
Table 10	Binary logistic regression analysis for	58
	determinants of clinical pregnancy	
Table 11	Binary logistic regression analysis for	59
	determinants of live birth	



List of Figures

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1	Consort chart for participant flow	46
	through the study	
Figure 2	Chemical pregnancy rate in both study groups	51
Figure 3	Clinical pregnancy rate in both study groups	52
Figure 4	Live birth rate in both study groups	53
Figure 5	Incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes in both study groups	56
	outcomes in both study groups	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AFC	Antral follicular count
AMH	Anti-mullerian hormone
anti-ZP3	Anti zona pellucida
ARR	Absolute risk reduction
ART	Assisted reproductive technology
BMI	Body mass index
\mathbf{CL}	Corpus luteum
COS	Controlled Ovarian Stimulation
DG	Dydrogesterone
$\mathbf{E_2}$	Estradiol
ESHRE	European Society for Human Reproduction
	and Embryology
ET	Embryo transfer
FGF-2	Fibroblast growth factor type 2
FSH	Follicle stimulating hormone
FSHR	Follicle stimulating hormone receptor
GA	Gestational age
GnRH	Gonadotropin releasing hormone
HCG	Human chorionic gonadotropin
HGH	Human growth hormone
HP-HMG	Highly purified human menopausal gonadotropin
ICSI	Intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection
IGF-1	Insulin-like growth factor 1
ITT	Intention to treat
IUI	Intrauterine insemination
IVF	In vitro fertilization
LH	Luteinizing hormone

🕏 List of Abbervations 🗷

LPD Luteal phase deficiencyLPS Luteal phase supportNNT Number needed to treat

OHSS Ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome

OP Ovum pickup Progesterone

POR Poor ovarian response

PR Pregnancy rate

rFSH Recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone

RR Relative risk

RRR Relative risk reduction

RCT Randomized controlled trial

VEGF-A Vascular endothelial growth factor A

Introduction

Ithough progesterone therapy is the most widely accepted luteal phase support (LPS) and its role is well established, the role of estradiol (E₂) supplementation during the luteal phase in in vitro fertilization (IVF)/intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection cycles(ICSI) remains controversial despite the decreased mid-luteal estradiol levels (*Fauser and Devroey*, 2003).

Although, previous researches for evaluating the use of E_2 in addition to progesterone as LPS lead to inconclusive results, a meta-analysis showed no benefit of E_2 supplementation (*Van der linden et al., 2011*). However, the importance of E_2 supplementation is not adequately studied in subgroups of IVF patients like poor ovarian responders (*Kutlusoy* et al., 2014).

There are a variety of definitions for poor ovarian response in different studies but the European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) specified poor ovarian response (POR) with at least two of the following three criteria; advanced maternal age or other risk factor causing POR (for example; ovarian surgery, chronic smoking, unexplained infertility, autoimmune

disorders and single ovary), a previous poor ovarian response with development of 3 oocytes or fewer in the former cycle by controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) protocol and any abnormality in ovarian reserve tests (Rehman et al., 2017).

The use of gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist protocols in IVF cycles has shown premature luteolysis, resulting in a significant reduction in the length of the luteal phase (*Fauser and Devroey*, 2003). As well as GnRH antagonist causes more profound luteinizing hormone (LH) than follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) blockage, thereby reducing the follicular fluid E₂ level compared to GnRH agonist protocols (Wang et al., 2017). In addition, women treated using the GnRH antagonist protocol have a thinner endometrium and lower pregnancy rates (Orvieto et al., 2008).

The present study is the first one to evaluate the use of estradiol in addition to progesterone as LPS in poor responder women undergoing IVF /ICSI cycle with the use of GnRH antagonist protocol.

Aim of the work

his study aimed at evaluating the efficacy of estradiol with progesterone as a luteal phase support in poor responders undergoing IVF/ ICSI using GnRH antagonist protocol.

-Research question:

In poor responder women undergoing IVF/ ICSI, will adding estradiol to progesterone as a luteal phase support increase clinical pregnancy rates compared to placebo?

-Research hypothesis:

Null hypothesis: adding estradiol to progesterone as a luteal phase support in poor responder women undergoing IVF and ICSI will not increase clinical pregnancy rate compared to placebo.

Poor Responders & IVF

nfertile couples make up approximately 10% of the worldwide population of reproductive age, and assisted reproductive technology (ART) currently accounts for 1.2% of total United States' live births, and up to 4% in some European countries (*Oehninger*, 2011).

In the field of IVF, the term 'poor responder' refers to a subpopulation of patients, typically with diminished ovarian reserve, that experience heightened problems in conceiving with IVF. The identification of poor responders is important to determine the patient's appropriateness for IVF and select an appropriate protocol to increase ovarian response; however, there is no standard definition of a 'poor responder' (*Ferraretti et al.*, 2011).

According to ESHRE, POR is designated with at least two of the following three features; "advanced maternal age or any other risk factor for POR, a previous POR with maturation of 3 oocytes in the previous cycle by COS protocol and an abnormal ovarian reserve test (*Rehman et al.*, 2017).

Assessment of the ovarian reserve

Jones et al. in 1985 pioneered the use of gonadotropins for COS in IVF therapy. The response category was based on the assessment of the resulting serum estradiol curve (E_2 pattern) and the consequent accompanying follicular response as monitored by ultrasonography.

Muasher et al. in 1988 first reported that the measurement of serum levels of FSH, LH and E_2 on day 3 of the basal menstrual cycle was a predictor of COS response and IVF outcome, however; the combined use of age and basal FSH in counseling women improves the accuracy of prognosis, and provide an index of functional ovarian reserve.

Since then, many other tests have been introduced as candidates for the examination of the ovarian reserve, include the clomiphene citrate challenge test (CCCT), GnRH agonist test, measurement of serum inhibin B and anti-mullerian hormone (AMH), and ultrasound examination of basal cycle ovarian volume, antral follicular count (AFC) and ovarian stromal blood flow (*Broer et al.*, 2011).

AMH is considered the most accurate predictor of excessive response to ovarian hyper-stimulation as AMH is produced solely by the granulosa cells of the growing pre-antral and small antral ovarian follicles (*Broer et al.*, 2011).

In further work, *Riggs et al.* in 2011 showed that AMH was superior to other biomarkers of ovarian reserve in predicting low and high response in young women selected as oocyte donors, but that it was not predictive of embryo morphology or pregnancy outcome in the recipient population. For that reason, the determination of basal cycle day 3 serum FSH, LH and E₂ levels, measurement of AMH, and the estimation of the basal AFC, are the preferred screening tests for ovarian reserve in all IVF patients, and together with the woman's age, determine the COS regimen to be chosen for the cycle treatment.

The etiologies of poor ovarian response

• Poor response associated with advanced maternal age

Although neuroendocrine and uterine factors may reduce fertility with age, progressive depletion of the size of the pool of ovarian follicles is thought to be the major cause of this problem. Decline in primordial follicle number with ageing has been linked to an equivalent decline in oocytes quality with anomalies of their zona pellucida (*Broekmans et al.*, 2009).

• Poor response in younger women

As mentioned above, poor ovarian response to stimulation may be a consequence of advancing age although it chronological may also occur unexpectedly in relatively young patients. The true pathogenesis of the poor ovarian response is unknown in a large proportion of these cases, although "ovarian failure" may be due to an immunological origin in some. Occasionally, a low ovarian reserve is secondary to previous ovarian surgery, severe endometriosis, pelvic adhesive iatrogenic (post-chemodisease, radiotherapy), associated with high body mass index or heavy smoking (Buyuk et al., 2011).

A few endocrine-related abnormalities have been observed in these cases which include; a decreased number of FSH receptors (FSHR) in granulosa cells, defective signal transduction after FSHR binding, and FSHR polymorphisms (*Sudo et al.*, 2002).

Despite the heterogeneity of this patient group, there are some characteristics and needs that are common to many poor responders, such as synchronization of early follicular development, IVF protocols tailored to poor responders, guidelines for the use of alternative medicine and nutritional supplements, and suggestions for the successful management of patient distress and anxiety. Addressing these needs through a holistic approach may help to improve the overall management of poor responders (*Gonda et al.*, 2018).

IVF protocols for poor responders

Follicle development is a complex process that involves the regulated expression and interaction of multiple reproductive hormones at different stages of the development timeline. Poor responders may benefit from the synchronization of basal antral follicles with dehydroepiandrostrone (DHEA) supplementation for a few weeks or months prior to initiating their IVF cycle (*Gonda et al.*, 2018).

It is recommended that subsequent ovarian stimulation protocols for poor responders should try to mimic and enhance the natural developmental process of