



Randomized Prospective Study Comparing Conventional Versus Hypofractionated Adjuvant Radiotherapy in Node Positive Breast Cancer

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD Degree in
Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine*

Presented by

Mai Atef Abd EL Mageed Ali EL Deen

M.B, B.Ch, M.Sc.

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Eman El Sheikh

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Mahmoud Ellithy

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Khaled Naguib

Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Amr Shafik Tawfik

Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Department of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2019

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا أنك لا تعلم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **AUAAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Eman El Sheikh**, Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Mahmoud Ellithy**, Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Prof. Khaled Maguib**, Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.*

*I am also grateful to **Prof. Amr Shafik Tawfik**, Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his great efforts and time he had devoted in this work.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all **my family** for their support till this work was completed.*

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Mai Atef

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	vi
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	11
Review of Literature	
☞ Radiobiological Basics for Hypofractionation	12
☞ Role of Radiotherapy in Breast Cancer Management	29
☞ Prognostic and Predictive Factors Affecting Radiotherapy Decision	38
☞ Hypofractionated Adjuvant Radiotherapy in Breast Cancer	49
☞ Radiotherapy Side Effects; Difference between Conventional and Hypo Fractionation	56
☞ Radiation Therapy Technique	64
Patients and Methods	73
Results	84
Discussion	96
Summary	103
Conclusion.....	108
References	110
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	NCCN Guidelines for selection of patients for Post mastectomy and Surgical Axillary Staging Radiation Therapy among Patients with Operable Invasive Breast Cancer.....	32
Table (2):	NCCN Guidelines for locoregional treatment for Post lumpectomy with Surgical Axillary Staging Radiation Therapy among Patients with Operable Invasive Breast Cancer.....	35
Table (3):	Recommendations for hormone receptor-positive (HRp), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2) breast cancer	46
Table (4):	Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive (HER2p) breast cancer.....	47
Table (5):	Recommendations for triple-negative breast cancer	48
Table (6):	Summary of the patient characteristics in 23 studies comparing conventional versus hypofractionated radiotherapy in managing early breast cancer	54
Table (7):	Different patterns of radiation dermatitis.....	57
Table (8):	Acute radiation dermatitis grading.....	58
Table (9):	Anatomical boundaries of breast and chest wall contouring.....	65
Table (10):	Anatomical boundaries of regional nodal contours.....	68
Table (11):	Harvard/NSABP/RTOG Breast Cosmesis Grading Scale	81

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (12):	Patients' demographic and clinical characteristics	85
Table (13):	Continue patients' demographic and clinical characteristics	87
Table (14):	Multivariate analysis: occurrence of lymphoedema (variables: arm, number of excised lymph nodes and surgery type).....	93

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Ten main Cancer Types for the Estimated New Cancer Cases and Deaths by Sex, United States, 2018.....	9
Figure (2):	Survival curves with the processes that affect response to radiotherapy.	13
Figure (3):	Highly hypothetical illustration aiming to extrapolate the experimental data for early and late responding tissues in rats and mice to principles that can be applied in clinical radiotherapy.....	15
Figure (4):	Isoeffect curves in which the total dose required for a particular effect in various tissues in laboratory animals is plotted as a function of dose per fraction.....	18
Figure (5):	The dose-response relationship for late-responding tissues is more curved than for early responding tissues.....	20
Figure (6):	Accelerated repopulation. Growth curves of a rat rhabdomyosarcoma presenting the shrinkage, growth delay, and subsequent recurrence following treatment with a 20 Gy single dose of x-rays	23
Figure (7):	Tumor control probability (TCP) for a target volume (receiving a homogenous dose) over a range of fraction numbers (1to50) for different tumor α/β . All curves are for the same normal tissue complication probability (NTCP), i.e. 'isotoxic', here for rectal bleeding (4.3%) for which $\alpha/\beta = 3$ Gy has been used.....	27
Figure (8):	Clinical anatomy of the breast.....	64

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (9):	Anatomical lymphatic's of the axilla	66
Figure (10):	Surgical lymphatics of the axilla (modified)	67
Figure (11):	Breast cancer patient immobilization device.	69
Figure (12):	Contouring volumes	70
Figure (13):	The final result of delineation with 3D volume reconstruction	71
Figure (14):	Dose- volume histogram of left sided breast cancer treated with external beam radiotherapy.	72
Figure (15):	Large bore CT scan with patient immobilized using breast tilt boards with the slope of the chest wall parallel to the table, with arms up.	75
Figure (16):	Left breast cancer delineation, whole breast CTV: red, boost CTV: orange, supra & infra- clavicular CTV: dark red, contralateral breast: yellow, ipsilateral lung: blue, heart: greened blue.....	76
Figure (17):	Right and left tangential fields' arrangement for left chest wall in a left sided breast cancer woman underwent MRM.	77
Figure (18):	Matching the tangential fields with the supraclavicular fields with half beam block teqnique.	77
Figure (19):	95% color wash covering of the whole breast CTV & internal mammary lymphnodes in left breast cancer patient.	78

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (20):	DVH showing the V20 of the ipsilateral lung (blue) less than 30 in a left breast cancer patient.....	78
Figure (21):	Pictures for cosmetic evaluation of one of our patients in conventional arm group	81
Figure (22):	Kaplan Mayer curve for DFS.....	88
Figure (23):	Patients' rating: Good or excellent cosmetic outcome after radiotherapy.....	90
Figure (24):	Doctors' rating: Good or excellent cosmetic outcome after radiotherapy.....	91
Figure (25):	Prevalence of arm lymphoedema in both arms.....	92
Figure (26):	Dry desquamation prevalence.....	94
Figure (27):	Skin darkness prevalence.....	95

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>AMP</i>	<i>Adenosine monophosphate</i>
<i>ASTRO</i>	<i>American Society for Radiation Oncology</i>
<i>BCS</i>	<i>Breast-Conserving Surgery</i>
<i>CTCAE</i>	<i>Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events</i>
<i>D max</i>	<i>Maximum dose</i>
<i>DFS</i>	<i>Disease Free Survival</i>
<i>DVH</i>	<i>Dose Volume Histogram</i>
<i>ECE</i>	<i>Extra- Capsular Extension</i>
<i>EORTC</i>	<i>European Organization for research and Treatment</i>
<i>EQD2</i>	<i>Equivalent dose in 2 Gy fractions</i>
<i>ER</i>	<i>Estrogen Receptor</i>
<i>ERp</i>	<i>Estrogen Receptor Positive</i>
<i>GEC-ESTRO</i>	<i>Groupe Europeen de Curietherapie-European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology</i>
<i>IDC</i>	<i>Invasive Ductal Carcinoma</i>
<i>ILC</i>	<i>Invasive Lobular Carcinoma</i>
<i>IMC</i>	<i>Invasive Medullary Carcinoma</i>
<i>L.Ns</i>	<i>Lymph Nodes</i>
<i>LVI</i>	<i>Lymphovascular Invasion</i>
<i>MRM</i>	<i>Modified Radical Mastectomy</i>
<i>NCCN</i>	<i>National Comprehensive Cancer Network</i>
<i>NSABP</i>	<i>National surgical Breast and Bowel Project</i>
<i>NTCP</i>	<i>Normal Tissue Complication Probability</i>
<i>OS</i>	<i>Overall survival</i>
<i>PMRT</i>	<i>Postmastectomy Radiation Therapy</i>

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
<i>PR</i>	<i>Progesterone Receptor</i>
<i>RIBP</i>	<i>Radiation Induced Brachial Plexopathy</i>
<i>RNI</i>	<i>Regional Nodal Irradiation</i>
<i>RT</i>	<i>Radiation Therapy</i>
<i>RTOG</i>	<i>Radiation Therapy Oncology Group</i>
<i>SCV</i>	<i>Supraclavicular Area</i>
<i>TCP</i>	<i>Tumor Control Probability</i>

ABSTRACT

Our study revealed that Hypofractionated adjuvant radiotherapy in node positive breast cancer patients is equivalent to conventional fractionation, in disease free survival, cosmetic outcome and arm lymphoedema, with decreased early skin reactions; the DFS was 32.4&36.2 months in conventional and hypofractionated arms respectively, (p:0.6),no statistical significant difference in the excellent/good cosmetic score; 46.2%&71.4% in patient scoring respectively (p:0.182), 8%&29% in doctor scoring (p:0.32) respectively, the prevalence of arm lymphoedema was 40% & 22.2% respectively (p:0.149), while hypofractionated radiotherapy significantly lower the incidence of dry desquamation 28.1% in comparison to conventional fractionation 52.9% (p:0.04), with border line significant lowering of skin darkness (p:0.054), but insignificantly lower wet desquamation (p:0.601).



These results are consistent with the results of the main randomized trials comparing hypofractionation radiotherapy versus conventional fractionation in breast cancer, proving that they are equally effective as regard the loco-regional control, systemic metastasis, overall survival, excellent/good cosmetic outcome, radiation induced pneumonitis, ischemic heart disease and radiation predisposed rib fraction, with lower costs and better quality of life; making hypofractionation a preferred choice for early breast cancer management.

Keywords: Radiation Induced Brachial Plexopathy - Regional Nodal Irradiation - Tumor Control Probability

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among US women, accounting for 30% of all newly diagnosed cancer cases and the second most common cause of death after lung cancer. The American cancer society estimated that 266,120 new cases of invasive breast cancer diagnosed among women in 2018, and approximately 41,400 women are expected to die from breast cancer (*American Cancer Society, 2018*).

Estimated New Cases

			Males	Females			
Prostate	164,690	19%			Breast	266,120	30%
Lung & bronchus	121,680	14%			Lung & bronchus	112,350	13%
Colon & rectum	75,610	9%			Colon & rectum	64,640	7%
Urinary bladder	62,380	7%			Uterine corpus	63,230	7%
Melanoma of the skin	55,150	6%			Thyroid	40,900	5%
Kidney & renal pelvis	42,680	5%			Melanoma of the skin	36,120	4%
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	41,730	5%			Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	32,950	4%
Oral cavity & pharynx	37,160	4%			Pancreas	26,240	3%
Leukemia	35,030	4%			Leukemia	25,270	3%
Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	30,610	4%			Kidney & renal pelvis	22,660	3%
All Sites	856,370	100%			All Sites	878,980	100%

Estimated Deaths



			Males	Females			
Lung & bronchus	83,550	26%			Lung & bronchus	70,500	25%
Prostate	29,430	9%			Breast	40,920	14%
Colon & rectum	27,390	8%			Colon & rectum	23,240	8%
Pancreas	23,020	7%			Pancreas	21,310	7%
Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	20,540	6%			Ovary	14,070	5%
Leukemia	14,270	4%			Uterine corpus	11,350	4%
Esophagus	12,850	4%			Leukemia	10,100	4%
Urinary bladder	12,520	4%			Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	9,660	3%
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	11,510	4%			Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	8,400	3%
Kidney & renal pelvis	10,010	3%			Brain & other nervous system	7,340	3%
All Sites	323,630	100%			All Sites	286,010	100%

Figure (1): Ten main Cancer Types for the Estimated New Cancer Cases and Deaths by Sex, United States, 2018 (*Siegel et al., 2018*).

In Egypt, according to the population-based cancer registry, the estimated incidence rates of breast cancer among females in Lower, Middle, and Upper Egypt are 53/100000 (33.8%) in 2009-2011, 35.6/100000 (26.8%) in 2009 and 64.5/100000 (38.7%) in 2008, respectively (*Amal et al., 2014*).

The estimated total number of breast cancer patients in the department of Clinical Oncology & Nuclear medicine, Ain Shams University Hospitals in the period between Jan 2010 to December 2014 was 1906 patients, 1412(74%) of them in the adjuvant setting including 1087 (57%) patients with node positive disease (*Gado et al., 2017*).

While in the United States it is estimated that 93% of breast cancer cases are diagnosed with localized and regional stage with 31 % representing the node positive cases (*Siegel et al., 2018*).

Therefore those patients with node positive disease constitute a big load to the radiotherapy department which led us to think to apply a shorter course of radiation which would result in considerable decrease in machine time, working hours and less patients visits so as to perform our task efficiently without compromising either the locoregional control and the overall survival benefit proven for adjuvant radiotherapy or increasing its toxicity leading to impairing the quality of life.

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of our study is to prospectively compare between node positive breast cancer patients operated with modified radical mastectomy or breast conservative surgery and axillary clearance receiving adjuvant conventional radiotherapy versus hypofractionated radiotherapy for chest wall or whole breast and regional lymphatic areas, in terms of the locoregional control, disease free survival, cosmetic outcome, arm lymphoedema and acute skin reactions. To explore the best options across the continuum of care for patients at Ain Shams University Hospitals.