



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Comparison between AFI and DVP in predicting adverse neonatal respiratory outcomes in women with prolonged pregnancy undergoing induction of labour

Thesis

*Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Obstetrics & Gynecology*

By

Hamada Farag Abu zaid

M.B.B.Ch, October 6 University, 2014

Obstetrics and Gynecology Resident at Elgalaa Teaching Hospital

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Elkady

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Dina Yahia Mansour

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2020

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا أنك لا تعلم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا أنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Elkady**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Dina Yahia Mansour**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

Hamada Farag Abu Zaid

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations.....	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
- Induction of Labor.....	4
- Abnormalities of AFI.....	18
Oligohydramnios	29
Polyhydramnios	38
Patients and Methods.....	52
Results	60
Discussion	83
Summary	92
Conclusion	95
Recommendations	96
References	97
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Contraindications of induction of labor.	7
Table (2):	Bishop Scoring System.	9
Table (3):	Fetal/neonatal etiologies of polyhydramnios	39
Table (4):	Detailed ultrasound examination for severe polyhydramnios.....	43
Table (5):	Outcomes of polyhydramnios based on severity.	45
Table (6):	Bishop Scoring System.	56
Table (7):	The demographic characteristics of the included women.	60
Table (8):	The obstetric history of the included women.....	61
Table (9):	The delivery characteristics of the included women.....	62
Table (10):	AFI and SDPV of the included women.	62
Table (11):	The neonatal outcomes of the included women.....	63
Table (12):	Relation between AFI and demographic characteristics of the included women.	64
Table (13):	Relation between AFI and obstetric history of the included women.	65
Table (14):	Relation between AFI and delivery characteristics of the included women.	66
Table (15):	Relation between AFI and neonatal outcomes of the included women.	67
Table (16):	Relation between SDPV and demographic characteristics of the included women.	69
Table (17):	Relation between SDPV and obstetric history of the included women.	70
Table (18):	Relation between SDPV and delivery characteristics of the included women.	71
Table (19):	Relation between SDPV and neonatal outcomes of the included women.	72

List of Tables Cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (20):	Relation between combined AFI plus SDPV and demographic characteristics of the included women.	73
Table (21):	Relation between combined AFI plus SDPV and obstetric history of the included women.	74
Table (22):	Relation between combined AFI plus SDPV and delivery characteristics of the included women.	75
Table (23):	Relation between combined AFI plus SDPV and neonatal outcomes of the included women.	76
Table (24):	Correlation between different parameters and AFI and SDPV.	77

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Correlation between AFI and age.	78
Figure (2):	Correlation between AFI and 5-min. apgar score.	78
Figure (3):	Correlation between SDPV and age.	79
Figure (4):	Correlation between SDPV and 5-min. apgar score.	79
Figure (5):	Correlation between AFI and induction to delivery interval.	80
Figure (6):	Correlation between SDPV and induction to delivery interval.	80
Figure (7):	Correlation between SDPV and parity.	81
Figure (8):	Correlation between AFI and parity.	81
Figure (9):	Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) for AFI and SDPV as a predictor for admission to NICU.	82

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AC.....	Abdominal circumference
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
AFI.....	Amniotic fluid index
AFV.....	Amniotic fluid volume
BPD	Biparietal diameter
CS	Cesarean section
DVP	Deepest vertical pocket
EDD.....	Estimated date of delivery
EFW	Estimated fetal weight
FL	Femur length
GI.....	Gastrointestinal
HC	Head circumference
IQR	Interquartile range
IRB.....	Institutional review board
LMP	Last menstrual period
MVP	Mitral valve prolapse
NICU	Neonatal intensive care unit
NIHF	Nonimmune hydrops fetalis
PGE2	Prostaglandin E2
PPROM.....	Preterm premature rupture of membranes
SD	Standard deviation
SDP.....	Single deepest vertical pocket
TE	Tracheoesophageal
UPJ.....	Ureteropelvic junction
US.....	Ultrasound

INTRODUCTION

Terms of post term and prolonged pregnancy are poorly defined. Prolonged pregnancy is considered as menstrual age ranged from 41 to 41 6/7 weeks of gestation, while a pregnancy beyond 42 0/7 weeks is defined postterm (*ACOG Practice Bulletin, 2004*) Frequently, postterm pregnancy is associated with oligohydramnios. It is probably related to a decrease in placental function and/or in fetal renal perfusion with reduction of urine production (*Magann et al., 2011*). Post term pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of fetal and neonatal mortality and morbidity (*Olesen et al., 2003*) as well as an increased maternal morbidity (*Caughey et al., 2007*).

In this period of pregnancy, amniotic fluid volume is considered an important predicting factor of fetal wellbeing. In fact, oligohydramnios is usually associated with an increased risk of fetal heart rate tracing abnormalities, meconium-stained amniotic fluid and caesarean sections for fetal distress (*Sherer, 2002*). At present, there is no consent about the efficacy in predicting adverse perinatal outcome with the ultrasonographic methods currently used to determine amniotic fluid volume (*Nabhan and Abdelmoula, 2009*).

The limitations of direct invasive measurement of amniotic fluid volume led to the use of ultrasound for amniotic fluid volume estimation, most often carried out by assessment of the amniotic fluid index (AFI) or the single deepest vertical

pocket (SDP) technique. However there is no clear consensus on the best method to assess amniotic fluid adequacy (*Sherer, 2002*). Both techniques are similarly poor predictors, with AFI overestimating and SDP underestimating actual low amniotic fluid volumes (*Magann et al., 2003*). A Cochrane review concluded that the use of AFI increases the rate of diagnosis of oligohydramnios and the rate of induction of labor without improving peripartum outcome (*Nabhan and Abdelmoula, 2008*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is the comparison between MVP and AFI in predicting neonatal respiratory outcomes in induction of labour in prolonged pregnancies.

Chapter 1

INDUCTION OF LABOR

Background

For expecting mothers, the onset of labor is a highly-anticipated process; however, close to 25% of women will have their labor induced. In fact, the rate of induction of labor doubled between 1990 and 2006 and has continued to trend upwards (*ACOG, 2009*). Regardless of whether labor is induced or spontaneously occurs, the goal is vaginal birth.

Indications

Induction is indicated when the benefits to either mother or fetus outweigh those of pregnancy continuation. The more common indications include membrane rupture without labor, gestational hypertension, oligohydramnios, nonreassuring fetal status, postterm pregnancy, and various maternal medical conditions such as chronic hypertension and diabetes (*ACOG, 2013*).

Induction is indicated when the risk of continuing the pregnancy, for the mother or the fetus, exceeds the risk associated with induced labour and delivery. The indication must be convincing, compelling, consented to, and documented. The reason for and method of induction should be discussed between the care provider and the woman in order to