

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY

USE OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA IN CONTROLLING SUGARCANE SMUT DISEASE

SHADIA TAGHIAN AHMED MOHAMED

B.Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Ain Shams University, 2002M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Plant Pathology), Zagazig University, 2009

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirements for the Degree of

in
Agricultural Sciences
(Plant Pathology)

Plant Pathology Department Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

USE OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA IN CONTROLLING SUGARCANE SMUT DISEASE

By

SHADIA TAGHIAN AHMED MOHAMED

B.Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 2002M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Plant Pathology), Zagazig University, 2009

This thesis for PhD. degree has been approved by: Dr. Mohamed Radaa Tohamy Prof. Emeritus of Agric. Plant Pathology, Fac. of Agric., Zagazig University Dr. Medhat Kamal Ali Prof. Emeritus of Agric. Plant Pathology, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University Dr. Nagy Yassin Abd El-Ghaffar Prof. of Emeritus of Agric. Plant Pathology, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University

Date of examination: / / 2020

USE OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA IN CONTROLLING SUGARCANE SMUT DISEASE

By

SHADIA TAGHIAN AHMED MOHAMED

B.Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Ain Shams University, 2002M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Plant Pathology), Zagazig University, 2009

Under the Supervision of:

Dr. Nagy Yassin Abd El-Ghaffar

Prof. of Emeritus of Plant Pathology, Agric. Fac. and Plant Path. Dept. Ain Shams Univ. (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Afaf Zein Elabden

Assistant Prof. of Plant Pathology, Agric. Fac. and Plant Path. Dept. Ain Shams Univ.

Dr. Ayman Mohamed H. Esh

Head Researchers of Sugar Crops, Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center. Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

SHADIA TAGHIAN AHMED MOHAMED "Use of Endophytic Bacteria In Controlling Sugarcane Smut Disease" PhD, Thesis, Plant Pathology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2020

Sugar cane (Saccharum spp.) is considered as one of the most vital crops grown for sugar making all over the sphere. In Egypt the economic sugar cane smut disease is sugar cane smut disease caused by *Sporisorium* scitamineum. Atotal of 240 isolates of endophytic bacteria were isolated from 160 samples of sugarcane stalks (variety, GT-54-9). The isolated endophytes were screened for its antagonistic activity against *Sporisorium* scitamineum, In vitro, using dual culture method. Only 62 isolates showed different degrees of antagonistic activity. 29 isolates of endophytic bacteria were selected according to the efficacy of pathogen inhibition to study their potential in producing the enzymes as $(\beta-1, 3]$ glucanase ,chitinase, polypenoloxidase, nitrogenase and peroxidase) as well as siderophores, salicylic acid and indol acetic acid). The *In vitro* studies showed that, isolate BAN32 followed by Q54, Sp-80-1842, Q15, Q14 and Q16 isolates were the highest in indol acetic acid production. while, isolate of LU23 followed by LU26, Sp-70-1143, BAN32, LU25, Q16, Q19, Q60 and LU51 were the highest in producing siderophores. The production of salicylic acid was detected in only nine isolates, LUX 26 and SOH isolates were the highest production followed by BAN39, LUX25 BAN32, BAN3, LUX46 and BAN34 isolates. Chitinase activity was recorded with only eight isolates, (BAN39, BAN37 and MEX-2001-80 gave the highest activity followed by isolates of LUX46, LUX50. Only ten isolates were able to hydrolyze β -1, 3 glucanase (Q19, BAN33, BAN34, SOH28, LUX45, MEX-2001-80, Q17, Q62, Q56 and LU440.Q16 isolates). Almost all isolates where able to produce nitroginase, the highest nitroginase activity LUX24 followed by SOH28,

LUX23. and isolates of BAN33 and Sp-27-5181 gave the lowest nitrogenase activity.

Treated sugarcane plants with pathogenic fungus and endophytic bacteria led to produce of indol acetic acid (IAA) with bacterial isolates (SOH29, BAN32, LUX41, LUX45, LUX46, BAN37, Sp-27-5181, Q16, Q18 and LUX2) compared with untreated healthy and infected control, but highest salicylic acid (SA) levels were recorded with isolates of BAN33, LUX42, LUX43, LUX44, LUX49, Mex-2001-80, Q16, LUX24, LUX27 and SOH29 compared to control treatment and highest chitinase levels were recorded with isolates of Sp-79-2233, BAN33, Q16, Q19, LUX27, BAN39, Q15, LUX23, LUX26, LUX43, Q17, LUX50, Sp-27-5181 and SOH28 compared to control. While, the highest glucanase activity were recorded with isolates of LUX24, LUX45, BAN33, LUX27, Sp-27-5181, SOH29, Q19, Q17, LUX41, BAN37, Mex-2001-80, LUX43, LUX23, BAN32, LUX44, Q18, Q14, LUX50, BAN39 and Sp-79-2233 compared to control treatment, but the highest Peroxidase activity were recorded with isolates of LUX44, Sp-27-5181, SOH28, Mex-2001-80, LUX23, LUX41, SOH29, Q14, Q19, LUX42 and Sp-79-2233 compared to control treatment and highest Polypenoloxidase levels were recorded with isolates of Q56, LUX26, Sp-79-2233, Q17 and Q15 compared to control treatment. The incase of treated sugarcane plants with only endophytic bacteria, showed that, the highest IAA levels were recorded with isolates of Sp-79-2233, Q16 and LUX41 compared to the untreated healthy and infected, but highest SA levels were recorded with isolates of Sp-79-2233, SOH29, LUX27 Q17 and BAN33 compared to control treatment and the highest chitinase levels were recorded with isolates of Sp-79-2233, BAN33 and BAN39 compared to control treatment. Meantime, highest glucanase levels were recorded with isolates of BAN33, LUX24, LUX27, SOH29, Q19 and Sp-27-5181 compared to the untreated healthy and infected control, but highest Peroxidase levels were recorded with isolates of LUX46, LUX49, LUX44, SOH28, Q56, Q61, Q19, LUX50, Q14 and LUX42 compared with control treatment and the

highest Polypenoloxidase levels were recorded with isolate of Q56 compared with control treatment.

Also, all the tested endophytic bacteria isolates were completely reduced the disease except isolates Sp-79-2233, Q15, LUX26, SOH28, LUX43, LUX45 and LUX50 under artificial inoculation conditions. The number of tileries appeared the highest with Q18 isolate, but Sp-79-2233 and LUX44, Q14, Q18, LUX23 and LUX50 isolates led to increase diameter of stalk sugarcane compared with the control and Q19, BAN37, LUX41, LUX42, Q14, Q18 and BAN33 isolates were the most effective on plant length compared with other isolates. On the other hand, Mex-2001-80, Q16, Sp-79-2233, Sp-27-5181, Q15 and Q18 isolates were the most effective on leaf area, but LUX26, Q19, BAN32, Q61, Q18, LUX23, SOH29 and LUX49 isolates were the most effective on leaf area compared with other isolates and BAN33, Q17, BAN39, BAN32, LUX27, LUX49 and BAN37 isolates led to increase total chlorophyll of sugarcane plants compared with other treatments.

The isolates Mex-2001-showed a close identity to the genus Enterobacter, gave 100% similarity to *Enterobacter ludwigii* and the isolate the isolate LUX 27 and BAN 33 showed 100% similarity to *Enterobacter sp.* Also the isolates LUX 27, LUX 41, BAN 33 and BAN 39 recorded a similarity (100, 100, 99.88 and 100% respectively) to *Kosakonia radicictans*. On the other hand the isolate LUX 41 showed also a 100% similarity to *Kosakonia oryzae* and the isolate BAN 39 recorded a 100% similarity to *Klabsiella oxytoca*..

The isolates Q17 and Q24 shoed a high similarity to Bacillus sp.,the isolate Q17 showeda100% similarity to *Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorumwhile the isolate* Q24 showed a 100% similarity to *Bacillus anyloliquefucanes* and *Bacillus velezensis*. On the other hand, the isolate SOH 29 showed a high similarity (99%) to different two genera. *Pantoea sp. And Pseudomonas. Agglomerans*.

Key words: Endophytic bacteria, biological control, sugarcane, smut disease, *Sporisorium scitamineum* chitinase, β 1,3 glucanase, sidrophores ,Indol acetic acid (IAA),Saliciylic acid (SA) and phenylalanine ammonia lyase *Enterobacter sp Kosakonia sp., Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus anyloliquefucanes*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are due to God, who blessed me with kind professors and colleagues, and gave me the support to dispatch this thesis.

It is an extremely pleasure to thank those who made this thesis possible. I am so grateful to **Prof. Dr. Nagi Yassin Abd El-Ghaffar,** Professor of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for her supervision, help, valuable advices and continuous encouragement during this study. I would like to show my gratitude and grateful to **Dr. Ayman Mohamed H. Esh,** Professor of Plant Pathology and head of Sugar Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza-Egypt for his kind attention, scientific and parental advices as well as his commitment and value assistance to complete this work. I owe my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Afaf Zein El-Bdeen Abd El-Aziz El-Meneisy,** Assistant professor of Plant Pathology, Dept. of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, who have made available their support in several ways.

Very special thanks go to **Dr. Mohamed Ebrahim** Lecture of Plant Pathology, Dept. of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable help.

Thanks also extended to all staff members of Plant Pathology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. I would like to thank my colleges and assistants in the department sugar crops pests and disease research specially **Mr. Mohamed Abd Elmotaal** for his kind assistance in lab and greenhouse experiments.

I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my parents, brothers and especially my husband who was always a source of inspiration, encouragement and support I would like also to thank my kids Adam, Nour and Omar, for patient and support during my study.

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGERS	V
I INTROUDUCTION	1
II REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
1. Sugarcane smut	4
1.1. Causal agents	4
1.2. Disease symptoms.	4
1.3. Pathogenesis	6
2. Plant Endophytes:	7
2.1. History of plant endophytes:	
2.2. The Endophytic bacteria	8
2.3. Mechanisms of host plant growth promotion.	9
2.3.1. The direct effects of Endophytic bacteria on host plant.	9
2.3.2. The indirect effects of Endophytic bacteria on host plant.	11
2.4. Endophytic Bacteria as a Biocontrol Agent	13
MATERIALS AND METHODS	16
1- Survey of sugarcane smut causal organism in the main growing	16
areas:	
1.1- Isolation of sugarcane smut causal organism.	
1.2- Purification and preservation of smut causal organism isolates.	16
1.3- Identification of smut causal organism (S. scitaminenum)	17
using specific primers.	
1.3.1. Isolation S. scitaminenum Genomic DNA	17
1.3.2. PCR reaction using specific primers of S. scitaminenum	17
2. Isolation and purification of endophytic bacteria from sugarcane plants:	18
3. Antagonistic effect of the different isolated entophytic bacteria	19
on Sporisorium scitamineum growth in vitro.	
3.1. Conventional streak method:	19
4. The ability of Endophytic bacteria isolates on producing growth	20
factors and compounds related to induce resistance in vitro:	
4.1. Determination of Indol acetic acid (IAA).	20
4.2. Determination of Siderophores.	20
4.3. Determination of Salicylic acid (SA).	20
4.4. Determination of Chitinase.	21
4.5. Determination of β 1, 3 glucanase.	21
4.6. Determination of nitrogenase activity.	22
5. Identification of the selected endophytic bacteria isolates.	23
5.1. Morphological characteristics.	23
5.2. Biochemical and physiological characteristics.	23

6. In vivo studies on the relationship between the selected endophytic bacteria and the pathogen S. scitaminea				
6.1. Greenhouse trials.				
6.1.1. Effect of the selected different isolates of endophytic bacteria on sugarcane smut disease incidence in vivo.	23			
6.1.2. Inoculum preparation of the selected entophytic bacteria organisms:	23			
6.1.3. Inoculation of sugarcane plants with the pathogen and the selected endophytic bacteria isolates.	23			
6.1.3.1. Testing the incidence of the pathogen in treated plants	24			
6.2. Effect of the selected different isolates of endophytic bacteria on sugarcane plant characteristics (plant height, stalk diameter, leaf length and plant weight.	24			
6.3. Effect of the selected bioagents on total chlorophyll in the	25			
treated sugarcane plants.				
6.4. Effect of bioagent application on some Pathogenesis related	25			
proteins (PR) and growth factors in sugarcane plants.				
6.4.1. Preparation of enzyme source.	25			
6.4.2. Indole acetic acid (IAA).	25			
6.4.3. Salicylic acid (SA).				
6.4.4. Chitinase.				
6.4.5. β-1, 3 glucanase.				
6.4.6. Determination of peroxidase (PO):				
6.4.7. Determination of Polyphenoloxidase (PPO).				
7. Identification of the selected active endophytic bacteria using				
16S rRNA gene methods.				
7.1. Extraction of bacterial DNA.	26			
7.2. PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S rRNA.	27			
7.3. Identification of 16S RNA sequence of the selected endophytic	27			
bacteria isolates in gene bank.				
RESULT	29			
DISCUSSION				
SUMMARY	102			
REFERENCES	106			
ARABIC SUMMARY				

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1):	Isolation of smut causal fungus from infected sugarcane samples collected from different localities in five governorates in Upper Egypt, during the growing seasons 2013 to 2016.	29
Table(2):	Survey of different endophytic bacteria in sugarcane stalks collected from different localities in five governorates in upper Egypt, during growing season of	32
Table (3):	2013 to 2016 Antagonistic effect of selected sugarcane entophytic bacterial isolates on the growth of S. scitamineum, on PDA medium using dual culture assay.	35
Table (4):	Estimation of indole acetic acid (IAA), salicylic acid (SA) and siderophores content (Optical Density at 700 nm) produced by different endophytic bacteria, In vitro.	38
Table (5):	Activity of chitinase, β -1,3-glucanase and enzymes of different endophytic bacteria, isolated from sugarcane plant.	41
Table(6):	Determination of nitrogenase activity (nmole/c2H4/ml/hr) in endophytic bacteria from sugarcane plants	44
Table (7).	Morphological characteristics, biochemical and physiological characteristics of the selected endophytic bacteria isolated from sugarcane plants.	48
Table (8):	Effect of some endophytic bacteria on severity of sugarcane smut disease, under artificial inoculation conditions	53
Table (9).	Detection of S. scitamineum in the 1st ration sugarcane plants resulted from artificially infected plants treated with the selected endophytic bacteria using the conventional isolation methods and PCR using the fungus specific primers.	56
Table(10)	Effect of the selected endophytic bacteria on Leaf Area,	58