



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

USING 5D MODELLING IN CONSTRUCTABILITY ASSESSMENT OF TRADITIONAL STRUCTURES

By

Mohamed Moataz El-Marashly

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

**USING 5D MODELLING IN
CONSTRUCTABILITYASSESSMENT
OF TRADITIONAL STRUCTURES**

By
Mohamed Moataz El-Marashly

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hany Abdalla

Professor of Structural Engineering
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Karim El-Dash

Professor of Construction Management
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Shubra University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

USING 5D MODELLING IN CONSTRUCTABILITY ASSESSMENT OF TRADITIONAL STRUCTURES

By
Mohamed Moataz A. El-Marashly

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Abdalla **Thesis Main Advisor**
Professor of Structural - Structural Engineering Department - Cairo
University

Prof. Dr. Karim Mohamed El-Dash **Advisor**
Professor of Construction Engineering and Management - Structural
Engineering Department - Shoubra University

Prof. Dr. Hesham Maged Osman **Internal Examiner**
Professor of Construction Engineering and Management - Structural
Engineering Department - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Manal Sayed Abdelhamid **External Examiner**
Professor of Construction Engineering and Management - Structural
Engineering Department - Housing and Building National Research Center
(HBRC)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Moataz Abdelrheim El-Marashly
Date of Birth: 22/03/1994
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: mohamadmoataz@gmail.com
Phone: 01119891065
Address: Nasr city, Cairo
Registration Date: 01/10/2015
Awarding Date:/....../2020
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Hany Abdalla(Cairo University)-Main Supervisor
Prof. Karim El-Dash

Examiners:

Prof. Hany Ahmed Abdalla-	Cairo University (Main advisor)
Prof. Karim Mohamed El-Dash-	Shoubra University (Advisor)
Prof. Hesham Maged Osman-	Cairo University (Internal examiner)
Prof. Manal S. Abdelhamid-	Housing and Building research center (External examiner)

Title of Thesis:

USING 5D MODELLING IN CONSTRUCTABILITY ASSESSMENT OF TRADITIONAL STRUCTURES

Key Words:

Constructability; Assessment; BIM; SMART; AHP

Summary:

Constructability Assessment application is necessary in all construction projects because it reduces conflicts, increases productivity and most importantly saves time as well as cost; resulting in practical and financial benefits. Construction sector is crucial to driving growth in the domestic economy and it's a key to government's plan for successful reforms. Unfortunately, the construction industry is unpredictable and there are many factors that can impact the project delivery success if they were overlooked. Therefore, the owner/designer/contractor must make sure that the project is constructible in the best possible manner and it is preferable to involve all the project parties since the beginning in integrated project delivery (IPD) approach. This research proposes a constructability assessment tool using 5D Building Information Modelling (BIM) incorporating selected 23 constructability factors. The platform helps giving an alert during all the project phases about the areas of concern which should be strengthened to enhance the constructability score. Two decision making techniques were used in the research to determine the overall constructability score of the project within the provided evaluation scheme. Constructability factors weightages are calculated using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the utility values are calculated using Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique (SMART).

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Mohamed Moataz Abdelrheim El-Marashly

Date:

Signature:

Acknowledgments

I cannot express the joy associated to this piece without communicating my deepest and most transparent sense of gratitude to those whom helped in achieving this lifelong goal. First and foremost, I would like to express gratitude to almighty Allah, the most gracious, the most merciful for helping throughout this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Karim El-Dash and Prof. Dr. Hany Abdalla for their patience, motivation, generosity, kindness, endless support, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
NOMENCLATURE	VIII
ABSTRACT	IX
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. GENERAL	1
1.2. RESEARCH BACKGROUND.....	1
1.3. RESEARCH MOTIVATION.....	2
1.4. PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.5. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	2
1.6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	3
1.7. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS.....	3
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. INTRODUCTION	5
2.2. CONSTRUCTABILITY CONCEPTS	5
2.2.1. Constructability and Buildability Integration.....	5
2.2.2. Knowledge Management during Constructability	7
2.2.3. Implementation Steps.....	7
2.2.4. Why do we need Constructability?	9
2.2.5. Barriers to implement constructability.....	10
2.2.6. Constructability and BIM.....	10
2.3. METHODS TO IMPROVE CONSTRUCTABILITY	11
2.3.1. Quantified Assessment.....	11
2.3.2. Constructability Review.....	11
2.3.3. Implementation of Constructability Programs	12
2.4. EVALUATION OF CURRENT CONSTRUCTABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOLS ..	13
2.5. BUILDING INFORMATION MODELLING (BIM)	15
2.5.1. BIM Tools.....	15
2.5.2. BIM benefits to construction.....	16
2.5.3. Fourth and Fifth Dimension (4D & 5D)	16
2.6. INTEGRATED PROJECT DELIVERY (IPD)	17
2.6.1. IPD and BIM.....	19
2.6.2. Roles of Contractor/ Designer in IPD Approach	21
2.7. MULTI CRITERIA DECISION MAKING TECHNIQUES	21
2.7.1. Simple Multi-Attribute Rating Technique (SMART)	21
2.7.2. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).....	22
2.8. RELATED WORK	22
2.8.1. Studies on Assessing Constructability	23
2.8.2. Limitation of previous Studies	24
2.9. SUMMARY	26
CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27

3.1.	PREVIOUS STUDIES	27
3.2.	DATA COLLECTION	28
3.3.	BIM MODEL DEVELOPMENT	30
3.4.	CONSTRUCTABILITY EVALUATION RATING TECHNIQUE	31
3.5.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SURVEY RESULTS	32
3.6.	SUMMARY	32
CHAPTER 4 : DATA COLLECTION.....		33
4.1.	CONSTRUCTABILITY FACTORS:	33
4.1.1.	Relative weight of factors	34
4.1.2.	Factors Description (Relation to constructability)	40
4.2.	EVALUATION SCHEMES CRITERIA.....	40
4.3.	DATA IDENTIFICATION FROM BIM.....	40
4.3.1.	DATA IDENTIFICATION FROM 4D/5D MODEL	42
4.4.	SUMMARY	42
CHAPTER 5 : CONSTRUCTABILITY ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK.....		43
5.1.	BIM IMPLEMENTATION :	43
5.1.1.	Model Workflow:.....	43
5.1.2.	Steps of Implementation for Constructability Assessment :	44
5.2.	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS CRITERIA	60
5.3.	ASSESSMENT TOOL DEVELOPMENT	61
5.4.	SUMMARY	67
CHAPTER 6 : DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....		68
6.1.	CONTRIBUTION	68
6.2.	PROPOSED PLATFORM VS PREVIOUS PLATFORMS	68
6.3.	RESEARCH BENEFITS	69
6.4.	RESEARCH LIMITATIONS.....	70
6.4.1.	Model Limitations.....	70
6.4.2.	Tool Limitations.....	70
6.5.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	70
REFERENCES		72
APPENDIX A: PREVIOUS RELATED WORK.....		74
APPENDIX B: DATA COLLECTION.....		82

List of Tables

Table 2-1 shows potential benefits for owners, contractors and designers:	10
Table 2-2: Design Constructability evaluation approaches and previous studies that adopted each approach (Hei, 2007)	12
Table 2-3: Summary of current constructability tools (Jiang, 2016).....	14
Table 2-4: Comparison of previous Studies	24
Table 4-1: Constructability Main factor, Sub factor and Categories.....	33
Table 4-2: AHP Calculations (Aj, Bj & Cj)	36
Table 4-3: AHP Calculations (Ai, Bi & Ci)	36
Table 4-4: Normalized weight and Consistency calculations (Wgt1, Wgt2 & Wgt3) ...	37
Table 4-5: Constructability Factors showing the weight of all attributes	39
Table 5-1: Generic Checklist for Material Access Analysis	56
Table 5-2: Generic Checklist for Personnel Access Analysis	56
Table 5-3: Generic Checklist for Equipment Access Analysis	57
Table 5-4: Generic Checklist for Quality Analysis	57
Table 5-5: Generic Checklist for Material Quality Analysis.....	58
Table 5-6: Example of quality Checklist for site work	58
Table 5-7: Example of quality Checklist for site work	58
Table 5-8: Example of quality Checklist for concrete inspection	59
Table 5-9: Generic Checklist for Safety Analysis (Gambatese et al.,1997).....	60
Table 5-10: Proposed Utility Values for Scale.....	61
Table 6-1: Difference between proposed platform and previous platforms.....	69
Table A-1: Measures of Constructability Factors (Zin Et Al., 2004).....	77
Table A-2: Measures of Trade Variability (Zin Et Al., 2004).....	78
Table A-3: Measures of Specification (Zin Et Al., 2004)	78

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Commonalities amongst different interpretations of Constructability attributes (Wong, 2007).....	6
Figure 2-2: Constructability Categories and Attributes (Hanlon and Sanvido, 1995)	7
Figure 2-3: Major developments of the buildability and constructability concepts in different countries in chronological order (Wong, 2016).....	8
Figure 2-4: Phases of development of constructability concept (Cheetham and Lewis, 2001).....	9
Figure 2-5: BIM Revenue by Market Segment, 2012-2020 (Machinchick, 2012)	16
Figure 2-6: Macleamy Curve (Construction User RoundTable, 2004).....	18
Figure 2-7: IPD Defining Phases (AIA, 2007).....	19
Figure 2-8: BIM Roadmap in an IPD Approach (Krygiel et al., 2008).....	20
Figure 3-1: Constructability criteria to be considered during construction phase (Grift and Sidewell, 1997)	27
Figure 3-2: Research methodology flowchart	29
Figure 3-3: Integration of constructability and BIM- (Fisher, 2007)	31
Figure 4-1: Steps followed to Calculate factors weight by AHP analysis:	35
Figure 4-2: Questionnaire Response Percentage (Discipline wise)	38
Figure 4-3: Constructability factors relative weight.....	39
Figure 4-4: shows the shared parameters that are added to the Revit software.	41
Figure 4-5: Shows Shared Parameter file in a Revit model	41
Figure 5-1 Process for developing BIM model	43
Figure 5-2: BIM Workflow Chart	44
Figure 5-3: Steps followed to assess Constructability using 5D	44
Figure 5-4 Screenshot for the 3D model from Autodesk Revit.....	45
Figure 5-5: Clash between HVAC ducts fresh air & exhaust, chilled water pipes & HVAC ducts	45
Figure 5-6: Clash between Fire protection pipe & cables tray	46
Figure 5-7: Clash between cables tray & fire protection pipe/Exhaust air duct.....	47
Figure 5-8: Clashes between electrical cables trays & HVAC ducts	47
Figure 5-9: Clashes between Electrical cables Trays & HVAC ducts	48
Figure 5-10: Clash between cables tray & Fresh air duct	48
Figure 5-11: Fire protection piping clashes with Smoke exhaust air duct	49
Figure 5-12: Clash due to overlapping of cables trays	50
Figure 5-13: Clash between Cables Trays & Return air duct.....	50
Figure 5-14: Clash between HVAC ducts & Structural beams.....	51
Figure 5-15: Screenshot for importing the Revit model into Naviswork.....	51
Figure 5-16: Screenshot for attaching the schedule into the model in the Navisworks .51	51
Figure 5-17: Addition of materials in Naviswork	51
Figure 5-19: Simulation for the project	51
Figure 5-18: Simulation interface (Timeliner) in the Naviswork.....	51
Figure 5-15: Parameter file imported to Revit model	51
Figure 5-16: Instance properties of a Rectangular concrete beam	52
Figure 5-17: Constructability factors shown in Revit model	52
Figure 5-18: Example of schedule extracted from Revit model.....	53
Figure 5-19: Screenshot for importing the Revit model into Naviswork.....	53
Figure 5-20: Screenshot for attaching the schedule into the model in the Navisworks .54	54

Figure 5-21: Addition of materials in Naviswork	54
Figure 5-22: Simulation interface (Timeliner) in the Naviswork.....	55
Figure 5-23: Simulation for the project	55
Figure 5-24: Rating Scale for constructability	61
Figure 5-25: Interface of Constructability Assessment Developed Tool	62
Figure 5-26: Interface after opening the Design factors entry icon.....	63
Figure 5-27: Interface after opening the Construction factors entry icon	64
Figure 5-28: Interface after opening the External factors icon.....	65
Figure 5-29: Interface of the Generated Summary Report.....	65
Figure 5-30: Interface of the Detailed Analysis Report	66
Figure A-1-1: Buildability Score Formula (BCA, 2015-a)	74
Figure A-2-1: Buildability Score Formula for Hong Kong (Wong, 2007)	75
Figure A-2-2: Buildability Score Formula for Hong Kong (Wong, 2007) Cont'd.....	76
FigureA-4-1: A Typical Task Reduction in Evaluating Constructability of a Design (Ugwu, 2004).....	79
Figure A-5-1: House of Quality for Buildable Design (Yang et al., 2003).....	80
Figure A-5-2: Triangular Fuzzy Numbers (Yang, 2003)	81

Nomenclature

BIM:	Building Information Modelling
AHP:	Analytic Hierarchy Process
SMART:	Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique
IPD:	Integrated Project Delivery
BAC:	Building and Construction Authority
BCA:	Building and Control Act
BDAS:	Building Design Appraisal System
CII:	Construction Industry Institute
MEP:	Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing
HVAC:	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning