



Predictors of Hemodynamic Changes after Carotid Artery Stenting

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Neuropsychiatry*

Presented By

Omar Mohamed Abdel-Megeed Hashim

M.B. B.Ch.ain shams university

Prof. Dr. Hany Mahmoud Zaki el-Dine

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Assistant Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khaled Elewa

Assistant Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Tamer Mahmoud El Sayed Roushdy

Lecturer in Neurology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا أنك لا تعلم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا أنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First of all, thanks **GOD**, the merciful, the beneficent for helping me during this work.

I would like to express my indebtedness and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hany Mahmoud Zaki el-Dine**, Professor of Neuropsychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, *Ain Shams University* for his valuable advice, guidance and constructive criticism, also for the invaluable assistance and efforts he devoted in the supervision of this study.

I'll never forget, how co-operative was **Assistant Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khaled Elewa**, Assistant Professor of Neuropsychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, *Ain Shams University*, also he was encouraging all the time. It is honorable to be supervised by him.

I would like also, to express my great thanks to **Dr. Tamer Mahmoud El Sayed Roushdy**, Lecturer of Neuropsychiatry, and Faculty of Medicine – *Ain Shams University*. His valuable advises and continuous support facilitated completing this work.

I would like to thank all the staff members of the Neuropsychiatry department.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to all my family, especially my caring and loving **parents** who enlighten my life. my wife **SHIMA** my sons **YOUSEF & EYAD&LOJY**.

 **Omar Mohamed Abdel-Megeed Hashim**



List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	5
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	9
Abstract	10
Introduction	1
Hypothesis.....	14
Aim of the Work.....	15
Review of Literature	
<i>Anatomy of Carotid Artery</i>	16
<i>Carotid Endarterectomy versus carotid stenting</i>	23
<i>Hemodynamic changes during Carotid artery stenting</i>	42
Patients and Methods.....	50
Results	61
Discussion	92
Limitations.....	102
Conclusion	103
Recommendations	104
Summary	105
References	110
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
------	-----------

ACT	Asymptomatic Carotid Trial
CAD	Coronary artery deices
CAS	Carotid artery stenting
CCA	Common carotid artery
CEA	carotid endarterectomy
CREST	Carotid Revascularization versus Stenting Trial
CSTC	The Carotid Stent Trialists Collaboration
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
DM	Diabetes millets
DW-MRI	Diffusion weighted magnetic resonance image
ERMED	Egyptian Railway Medical Center
ESVS	European Society for Vascular Surgery
EVA-3S	Endarterectomy Versus Angioplasty
HDI	Hemodynamic instability
HI	Hemodynamic instability
HR	Heart rate
HRR	Heart rate recovery
HTN	Hypertension

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
------	-----------

ICA	Internal carotid artery
ICSS	International Carotid Stenting Study
MCA	Middle cerebral artery
MI	Myocardial infarction
MMD	Moyamoya disease
NASCET	North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
SPACE	Stent-Protected Angioplasty versus Carotid Endarterectomy
STA	Superficial temporal artery
STA-ACA	Superficial temporal artery to anterior cerebral artery
STA-MCA	Superficial temporal artery to middle cerebral artery
TIAs	Transient ischemic attacks
TTT	Treatment
NIHSS	National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	ESVS Recommendations for interventions in symptomatic patients.....	26
Table (2):	ESVS Recommendations for Interventions in asymptomatic patients	27
Table (3):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to Demographic data	62
Table (4):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to risk factors.....	63
Table (5):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to plaque characteristics.....	66
Table (6):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to the characteristics of stenting	69
Table (7):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to HR	72
Table (8):	Comparison between Hemodynamic changes regarding to SBP.....	75
Table (9):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events regarding to DBP.....	78
Table (10):	Comparison between Hemodynamic events with different parameters as drugs and chest pain and pulmonary edema.....	80
Table (11):	Correlation between of age with percent of change in HR, SBP and DBP in hemodynamic events group.....	82

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (12):	Correlation between of stent diameter and percent of dilatation with percent of change in HR, SBP and DBP in hemodynamic events group.....	82
Table (13):	Risk Factor Analysis of percent of change of HR immediately after stenting	84
Table (14):	Risk Factor Analysis of percent of change of SBP immediately after stenting	87
Table (15):	Risk Factor Analysis of percent of change of DBP immediately after stenting.....	90

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Diagram of types of aortic arches	17
Figure (2):	Circulation of the Carotid system.....	18
Figure (3):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic changes regarding to risk factors.....	64
Figure (4):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic changes regarding to degree of stenosis	67
Figure (5):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to Calcification and Ulceration.....	68
Figure (6):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to Stent diameter.....	70
Figure (7):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to HR	73
Figure (8):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to SBP.....	76
Figure (9):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to DBP.....	79
Figure (10):	Comparison between the Hemodynamic events regarding to hemodynamic treatment.....	81
Figure (11):	Comparison between the percent of change of HR regarding to HTN.	85
Figure (12):	Comparison between the percent of change of SBP regarding to CAD.....	88
Figure (13):	Comparison between the percent of change of DBP regarding to Vasopressor.....	91

Abstract

Background: Carotid artery stenting implies instrumentation of the carotid bulb where the baroreceptors are placed and therefore baroreceptor's dysfunction may provoke hemodynamic instability, Hemodynamic depression has been reported after carotid artery stenting (CAS) and carotid endarterectomy (CEA).

Aim and objectives: This study aimed to assess the predictors of hemodynamic Changes peri carotid artery stenting.

Subjects and methods: This was Prospective observational study that was Conducted at Ain shams university hospitals –Railway hospital (ERMED) and Suez insurance hospital, the study was conducted on 20 patients that developed carotid artery stenosis.

Results: the results revealed that there was no statistically significant difference between presence and absence of hemodynamic changes as regard Lesion length, Dilatation %, and Lesion location. There was high statistically significant difference between Hemodynamic changes as regard Stent diameter, where $p=0.005$.

Conclusion: Hemodynamic instability due to hypotension and bradycardia in response to carotid artery stenting occurs in a relatively high proportion of patients. This study reemphasizes the importance of close hemodynamic monitoring during and after CAS and is consistent with the previous studies which showed that the instability didn't translate into major neurologic complications.

Keywords: Carotid, Stent, Instability, Hemodynamic, Baroreceptors, CAS.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebrovascular disease is a leading cause of death and a major cause of permanent neurological and physical impairment in adults. In the United States, cerebrovascular disease is the second most common cause of death, with approximately 795,000 strokes occurring each year (*Benjamin et al., 2017*).

Carotid revascularization prevents recurrent ischemic stroke in patients with significant symptomatic carotid artery stenosis. Carotid endarterectomy has been the gold standard treatment for symptomatic significant carotid artery stenosis for more than 60 years (*Benjamin et al., 2017*).

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) (or carotid artery stent implantation) has developed rapidly over the last 30 years, and its frequency is increasing because it is less invasive than carotid endarterectomy with a low risk of cardinal injury and fewer surgical complications (*De Rango et al., 2011*).

The revascularization of the carotid artery stenosis by CEA rapidly resolves chronic pressure differences in patients, so that a large blood flow and high blood pressure are delivered to the brain parenchyma without adaptation. Most patients show cerebral vasoconstriction from autoregulation of the brain, and elevated perfusion pressure is restored to a normal level within a few minutes due to this mechanism in CAS. However, in

some patients, this auto-regulating ability is impaired due to long-term excessive lowering of cerebral blood flow, which can result in persistently elevated intracranial pressure (lasting from a few hours to days), thereby causing hyperperfusion syndrome (*Kim et al., 2013*).

Hemodynamic complications that occur after carotid artery stenting probably are mediated through dysfunction of adventitial baroreceptors. During the percutaneous artery stenting of carotid artery stenosis, the interventionist places a small guide catheter through the stenosis to allow the placement of the stent. Then he inserts an autoexpandable stent which covers the stenosis and reestablishes the blood flow. Sometimes, the interventionist uses a balloon to expand the blood vessel. This extensive manipulation of the carotid sinus and carotid walls leads to activation of the baroreceptors and hypotension and/or bradycardia (*Popescu et al., 2011*).

Prediction of hypotension after CAS is important for preventing periprocedural ischemic complications. Diabetes mellitus, severe calcified plaque, balloon dilatation pressure, octogenarians, contralateral occlusion, female sex, distance from carotid bifurcation to maximum stenotic lesion, eccentric plaque formation, open-cell stent, and asymptomatic lesion are the reported risk factors that are independently associated with hemodynamic depression after CAS (*Csobay-Novák et al., 2015*).

HYPOTHESIS

Hemodynamic instability following CAS has been associated by some with worse clinical outcomes. However, significant controversy still exists regarding the predictors of HI. associated by more or less hemodynamic changes . Thus, the purpose of this study will be to determine the predictors of hemodynamic instability following carotid artery stenting (CAS) from a single institutional series.

AIM OF THE WORK

This study aims to assess the predictors of hemodynamic changes after carotid artery stenting.