



PLACEMAKING PROCESS OF SOCIABLE PUBLIC PLACES IN NEW URBAN COMMUNITIES

**By
Lamyaa Mohamed Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata**

Thesis submitted to
The Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Architectural Engineering

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020**

PLACEMAKING PROCESS OF SOCIABLE PUBLIC PLACES IN NEW URBAN COMMUNITIES

By
Lamyaa Mohamed Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata

Thesis submitted to
The Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Architectural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr

Professor of Architecture
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Aliaa Hussein Said Al Sadaty

Associate Professor
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

PLACEMAKING PROCESS OF SOCIABLE PUBLIC PLACES IN NEW URBAN COMMUNITIES

By
Lamyaa Mohamed Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata

Thesis submitted to
The Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Architectural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr,

Thesis Main Advisor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aliaa Hussein Said Al Sadaty, Advisor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mostafa Abdelghaffar, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Basil Ahmed Kamel,
- American University in Egypt

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer's Name: Lamyaa Mohamed Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata
Date of Birth: 09/02/1988
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: lmohamed@msa.eun.eg
Lamiaa-88@hotmail.com
Phone: (+2) 01225476717
Address: 26th July Mehwar Road Intersection
with Wahat Road, 6th of October City, Egypt.
Registration Date: 01/10/2014
Awarding Date:/....../2020
Degree: Doctor of Philosophy
Department: Architectural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aliaa Hussein Said Al Sadaty

Examiners:

Prof. Hisham Sherif Gabr (Thesis main advisor)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aliaa Hussein Said Al Sadaty
(advisor)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mostafa Abdelghaffar
(Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Basil Ahmed Kamel (External examiner)
(**American University in Egypt**)

Title of Thesis:

Placemaking Process of Sociable Public Places in New Urban Communities

Key Words:

Urban spaces; placemaking process; sociability; guideline model; new urban communities.

Summary:

This thesis constructs a development method of constructing an analytical guideline model of redeveloping sociable public spaces in new urban communities for urban designers, architects and landscape architects to use for operating comprehensive evaluation of sociable public spaces. The proposed model is developed based on constructing a conceptual tool to understand placemaking of sociable public places as a “real assemblage”. The key findings lies in presenting a comprehensive evaluation approach that integrate between investigating the sociable qualities of public places and the placemaking process that gave rise to them in order to fill the urban gap of omitting the integration of urban design process with the project final outcome throughout most review processes. The research brings new insights for urban designers, urban researchers, planners and policymakers to establish more comprehensive analytical models for evaluating public places and urban design qualities that are in continuous change state over time.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Lamyaa Mohmed Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata

Date: ../../...

Signature:

Dedication

To my parents...who keep teaching me values, ethics and professionalism with love,
caring and patience ...

To

Mrs. Laila El Badawy

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shehata

Acknowledgements

Having the passion to complete a doctorate was more than a professional experience throughout my life. It was also a personal and social experience. In this regards, it could not have been accomplished without the guidance of my committee members, support from my family, help from colleagues from national and international professors. Throughout their intense support and guidance, my graduate experience has been one that I cherish forever.

Firstly, I would like to express my gratitude to the effort of both my thesis supervisors, who contributed to this work in various ways. Professor Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr has been an endless source of motivation and advice on various levels, both professionally and personally. I wholeheartedly wish to thank him for his guidance, understanding, and effective supervision on my entire work. Associate Professor Dr. Aliaa Hussein El Sadaty was always ready not only to discuss and confront my ideas, but also to offer guidance, support and advice on arguably any theme and concern. Doubtlessly, I could not have survived the period of my study without their patient support.

To the examining committee Prof. Dr. Basil Kamel (the American University in Cairo) and Associate Professor Dr. Ahmed Mostafa Abdelghaffar (Cairo University) thank you for approving this thesis.

I am also grateful to Professor Carlos Marquez Diaz, Studio Tutor at the School of Architecture Design and the Built Environment, Nottingham Trent University, UK and the External Examiner at MSA University, Cairo Egypt on behalf of Greenwich University, for his support throughout the work especially during structuring the theoretical knowledge of the thesis. A special thanks to Professor Dr. Ashraf Kamal, who was ready to offer guidance, support and advice I needed throughout the research. My gratitude extends to my friends and colleagues from MSA University and Cairo University for their love, help and support whenever I ask.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my very appreciated parents Professor Dr. Mohamed Shehata and Mrs. Laila El Badawy. Their unconditional love and motivation spurred me on. I owe a great deal for both of them for their sympathy and care, they have relieved me throughout hard times and injected motivation and enthusiasm. They have shown great understanding, patience and support during the course of my PhD study, without which I could not have approached my aim. I hope that I am able to repay them some of their favours and to fulfill my obligations towards them. Special thanks go to my brothers, Dr. Islam Shehata and Dr. Ahmed Shehata who gave me every possible support, help and care.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer	i
Abstract.....	xiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 The conceptual framework – problem statement.....	3
1.3 Research questions.....	6
1.4 Research hypothesis.....	7
1.5 Research aims and objectives	7
1.6 Research limits.....	8
1.7 Research design and approach	9
1.7.1 The research sequence	14
1.7.1.1 The theoretical framework:	14
1.7.1.2 The operational framework:	15
1.7.2 Methodology of the applied study: Data collection and analysis ...	17
1.7.3 Organization of the thesis	17
2 Placemaking of Sociable Public Places as a Real Assemblage	22
Introduction	23
2.1 Placemaking processes and practices	23
2.1.1 The multiple significances of public spaces	24
2.1.2 The concept of placemaking	25
2.1.3 The practices of making sociable public spaces	26
2.1.3.1 The nature of urban change	26
2.1.3.2 The rise of privatization of public spaces.....	27
2.1.3.3 Toward making successful public places: placemaking approach	28
2.1.4 Placemaking processes as a continuum shaping processes	28
2.1.4.1 Urban design process – the history and development	32
2.1.4.2 Placemaking processes	32
2.1.4.3 Placemaking through design.....	32
2.1.4.4 Shaping through Development.....	35
2.1.4.5 Shaping through Use	37
2.1.4.6 Shaping through Management.....	38
2.1.5 The micro practice of power in placemaking processes	40

2.2	Sociability of Public Places	42
2.2.1	Successful urban places	43
2.2.1.1	Comfort and image	44
2.2.1.2	Access and linkage	44
2.2.1.3	Uses and activity.....	45
2.2.1.4	Sociability of public places	46
2.2.2	The physical qualities of sociability	46
2.2.2.1	Macro-design.....	46
2.2.2.2	Micro -design.....	47
2.2.3	The social qualities of sociability	50
2.2.3.1	Street life: vitality and diversity	50
2.2.3.2	Social Networks.....	51
2.2.3.3	Evening Use.....	51
2.2.3.4	Number of Women, Children and Elderly	51
2.2.4	An integrated approach to understand placemaking process of sociable public places as a real assemblage: an approach to construct a conceptual tool of the study.....	52
2.2.4.1	Understanding places as assemblages	52
2.2.4.2	Placemaking process of sociable public places as a real assemblage – the conceptual tool of the study	54
2.3	Open spaces in Cairo	55
2.3.1	The development of open spaces in the Cairene context from the early Islamic City to the contemporary time	56
2.3.1.1	During the Early Islamic city – sociocultural and political reflection.....	56
2.3.1.2	From the 19 th century to the mid-20 th century.....	56
2.3.1.3	From the mid-20 th century to 70s	57
2.3.1.4	The contemporary development.....	58
2.3.2	The New imported building typology and public spaces life	59
2.3.2.1	The privatization of public space in Cairo	60
2.3.2.2	Business districts: privatized public spaces.....	60
2.3.2.3	Qualities of privatized public spaces in contemporary cities - consuming culture	61
2.3.2.4	Design measurements that code spaces as private:	61
2.3.2.5	Publicness and inclusion of privately owned public spaces POPS.....	62
2.4	Conclusion	63
3	Methodology of the Applied Study - Placemaking of Contemporary Sociable Public Places in New Urban Communities	65

3.1	Introduction.....	66
3.2	Goals of the Applied Study.....	66
3.3	Research Aims and Objectives	66
3.4	The Analytical Model of the study	67
3.4.1	The analytical guideline model objectives.....	67
3.4.2	The model design.....	67
3.4.2.1	The theoretical model and an analytical matrix of the study...	68
3.4.2.2	The analytical guideline model	71
3.5	Methodology of the Applied Study	71
3.5.1	Determining the case/ unit of analysis.....	73
3.5.2	Case studies boundaries: (inclusion and exclusion criteria for sample selection).....	73
3.5.3	The selected case studies	74
3.5.4	Method of data collection	75
3.5.5	Samples design	76
3.5.6	Procedure techniques of data collection – Documentation stage ...	77
3.6	Documentation and data collection stage	77
3.6.1.1	Observation and documentation procedure	77
3.6.1.2	Survey method.....	85
3.7	Analysis and evaluation stage.....	86
3.8	Findings stage	87
3.9	Conclusion	87
4	Results and Discussion of Placemaking Process of Contemporary Sociable Public Places in New Urban Communities in the Greater Cairo Region (GCR)	88
4.1	Introduction.....	89
4.2	Implementation of the applied study methodology on the study area	89
4.2.1	Documentation and observations stage.....	89
4.2.1.1	Documentation of Case Study No.1 – Arkan Plaza in El-Sheikh Zayed City	89
4.2.1.2	Documentation of Case Study No.2 – Syrian Street in 6 th of October City	110
4.2.2	Analysis of the questionnaires ’ data	131
4.2.2.1	Data collection of the questionnaires	132
4.2.2.2	The questionnaires’ analysis.....	132
4.2.2.3	Arkan Plaza outdoor space’s general overview – implementation of the Theoretical Model	199

4.2.2.4	The Syrian Street's general overview – implementation of the Theoretical Model	199
4.2.3	Extrapolating Arkan Plaza's User's Qualitative Analysis with Experts' Evaluation of the Analytical Matrix of sociable Open Spaces	202
4.2.4	Extrapolating the Syrian Street's User's Qualitative Analysis with Experts' Evaluation of the Analytical Matrix of sociable Open Spaces	202
4.3	A guideline model of redevelopment contemporary sociable public places	206
4.3.1	A guideline model of redevelopment Arkan Plaza's open space	206
4.3.2	A guideline model of redevelopment the Syrian Street's open space	210
4.4	Conclusion	221
5	Conclusions and Recommendations	222
5.1	Introduction.....	223
5.2	Research summary.....	223
5.3	Conclusions of the research	228
5.4	The constructed guideline model and the implementation study conclusions and findings.....	228
5.5	Research recommendations	233
5.6	Research contribution	234
5.7	Future researches	238
5.8	Summary.....	239
6	References	241
7	Appendices	248
7.1	Appendix A.....	249
7.2	Appendix B	258

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Indicators and descriptors of placemaking process. Reference: (The researcher: adapted from (Carmona, 2014)).....	31
Table 2-2: The Various aspirations of stakeholder groups who are involved in making public spaces. Reference: The researcher, adapted from Carmona, 2014).	41
Table 2-3: The practice of power relationships in shaping public spaces in London. Reference: (Adapted from Carmona, 2014).	42
Table 3-1: Key attributes of Successful places. Reference: (Carmona, 2010).	44
Table 5-1: The selected case studies of the research.	75
Table 5-2: Documentation table of placemaking process: Design, Development, Space in Use and Management.	78
Table 5-3: Documentation table of the qualities of sociability: The Physical Qualities of Sociability.	81
Table 5-4: Documentation table of the qualities of sociability: The Social Qualities of Sociability.	83
Table 6-1: Documentation table of the existent placemaking process in Arkan Plaza. .	92
Table 6-2: Documentation Table of Social and Physical Qualities of Sociability in Arkan Plaza.	95
Table 6-3: Documentation table of the existent placemaking process in the Syrian Street.	112
Table 6-4: Documentation Table of Social and Physical Qualities of Sociability in the Syrian Street.	116
Table 6-5: Matrix of Placemaking process of Sociable Public Places. The Statistical analysis of the Experts' Questionnaire, Developed by the Researcher.	136
Table 6-6: Matrix analysis of the highly ranked relationship by the expert represents the very strong contribution of placemaking in achieving the sociable qualities, Developed by the Researcher.	137
Table 6-7: Matrix analysis of the highly ranked relationship by the expert represents the strong contribution of placemaking in achieving the sociable qualities, Developed by the Researcher.	138
Table 6-8: Matrix analysis of the moderate ranked relationship by the expert represents the moderate contribution of placemaking in achieving the sociable qualities, Developed by the Researcher.	139
Table 6-9: Matrix analysis of the weak ranked relationship by the expert represents the weak contribution of placemaking in achieving the sociable qualities, Developed by the Researcher.	140
Table 6-10: Arrangement of the resulted highly ranked relationships as a 'very strong contribution' based on their weight score in the matrix, Developed by the Researcher.	141
Table 6-11: Arrangement of the resulted highly ranked relationships as a 'strong contribution' based on their weight score in the matrix, Developed by the Researcher.	141
Table 6-12: Arrangement of the resulted moderate ranked relationships as a 'moderate contribution' based on their weight score in the matrix, Developed by the Researcher.	141

Table 6-13: Arrangement of the resulted weak ranked relationships as a 'weak contribution' based on their weight score in the matrix, Developed by the Researcher.	142
Table 6-14: Final arrangement of the influence of the placemaking process in achieving the qualities of sociability in outdoor places.	142
Table 6-15: Number of participants: users of Arkan Plaza. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	143
Table 6-16: Arkan Plaza's Users' responses percentage in the third section of the questionnaire.	149
Table 6-17: Arkan Plaza's Users' responses percentage in the third section of the questionnaire.	150
Table 6-18: Percentage analysis of social qualities of sociability	158
Table 6-19: Percentage analysis of social groups who most occupy the place.	168
Table 6-20: Percentage analysis of the sociable outcomes in the place.	169
Table 6-21: Percentage analysis of users' suggestions for making the place more appropriate for their social needs in the place.	169
Table 6-22: Number of participants: users of the Syrian Street. Reference: (The researcher, 2019)	174
Table 6-23: The Syrian Street Users' responses percentage in the third section of the questionnaire.	179
Table 6-24: The Syrian Street Users' responses percentage in the third section of the questionnaire.	180
Table 6-25: Percentage analysis of social qualities of sociability	188
Table 6-26: Percentage analysis of social groups who most occupy the place.	192
Table 6-27: Percentage analysis of the sociable outcomes in the place.	194
Table 6-28: Percentage analysis of users' suggestions for making the place more appropriate for their social needs in the place.	194
Table 6-29: The highly influenced placemaking process on the shortcomings outcomes of place sociability in Arkan Plaza Open Space. Reference: The Researcher.	204
Table 6-30: The highly influenced placemaking process on the shortcomings outcomes of social and physical sociability in the Syrian Street Open Space. Reference: The Researcher.	207

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Monumental Plazas: World Trade center Plaza free from people activities. Reference:(Google search for the original World Trade center plaza).	4
Figure 1-2: What Makes a Great Places? Reference: (Spaces, 2009b)	5
Figure 1-3: The Urban Planning of El Sheikh Zayed City Offered Different Business Parks. Reference: (The researcher, 2017).....	10
Figure 1-4: Some of publicly owned public spaces in El Sheikh Zayed and 6th of October City. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).....	11
Figure 1-5: The first research case study: Arkan Plaza in El-Sheikh Zayed City. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	12
Figure 1-6: The second research case study: The Syrian Street in 6th of October City. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	13
Figure 1-7: Research Design. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).....	14
Figure 1-8: The Theoretical Framework of the Thesis. Reference: (The researcher)	16
Figure 1-9: The Theoretical and Operational Framework of the study.....	20
Figure 1-10: Organization of the Study.....	21
Figure 2-1: Al-Tahrir square during the January 25, 2011 revolution in Egypt representing the power of mass mobilization of citizines change the political state of Egypt. Reference:BBC News.	25
Figure 2-2: The Theory of Urban Design Process as a placemaking continuum. Reference: (Carmona, 2014).	29
Figure 2-3: The Theory of Urban Design Process. Reference: (The author: adapted from the theory of urban design process)	30
Figure 2-4: Three types of design strategies of public spaces in England. Shaping a space that: (a) is notable by design a unique physical appearance which attract users attention; (b) involves a range of commercial uses that invite users to use the space; (c) involves engagement and interacting activities or ‘fun’ within the space. Reference: (The researcher, 2017).....	33
Figure 2-5: Innovation in the physical appearance versus innovation in function: (i) Swiss Cottage Community Square, the space used by students to make dance rehearsals (ii) Sloane Square, the square is used by passersby as it didn’t propose any interactive activities. Reference: (Carmona, 2014)	34
Figure 2-6: Experience of aesthetic versus use value within public spaces: (a) Empire Square (b) Euston Piazza, in London. Reference: (Carmona, 2014).....	34
Figure 2-7: Somrset House Courtyard, London. Reference: https://www.somersethouse.org.uk/plan-your-visit	35
Figure 2-8: The concatenation of power practices in placemaking process. Reference: (The author: adapted from Carmona, 2014)	41
Figure 3-1: The Place Diagram of Successful Public Places. Reference: (Carmona, 2010; Spaces, 2009b).....	45
Figure 3-2: Representation of the schematic concept of multiplicity throughout time. Reference: (Muminovic, 2015)	54
Figure 3-3: The adapted schematic diagram of multiplicity – conceptual tool of placemaking of sociable public places as a real assemblage. Reference: (The researcher, adapted from Muminovic, 2015).	55
Figure 5-1: Theoretical Model of Placemaking of Sociable Public Spaces. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).....	69

Figure 5-2: The proposed analytical matrix of placemaking process versus the qualities of sociability in public open spaces. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	70
Figure 5-3: The basic concept of the implementation study of this research. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	72
Figure 5-4: Methodology and Procedures of the Applied Study. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	73
Figure 5-5: Methodology of the Analysis Procedure. Reference: (The researcher, 2019).	86
Figure 6-1: Location and context of Arkan Plaza in El-Sheikh Zayed City. Reference: (Google Earth image adapted by the author, 2019).	90
Figure 6-2: Design proposal for the new expansion of Arkan. Reference: (Arkan Development website).	90
Figure 6-3: Plaza configuration around main axe. Reference: (Google Earth image adapted by the author, 2019).	91
Figure 6-4: Subareas of the open space in Arkan Plaza. Reference: (Google Earth image adapted by the researcher, 2019).	91
Figure 6-5: Location and context of the Syrian Street in 6 th of October City. Reference: (Google Earth image adapted by the researcher, 2019).	111
Figure 6-6: The Syrian Street configuration around main axe. Reference: (Google Earth image adapted by the researcher, 2019).	111
Figure 6-7: Mini-Matrix of the Experts' Questionnaire, Developed by the researcher.	133
Figure 6-8: Percentage analysis of the various ranks count in the matrix.	134
Figure 6-9: Section One in the Users' Questionnaire, Developed by the Researcher.	143
Figure 6-10: Frequency of participants based on their age group in Arkan plaza.	144
Figure 6-11: Frequency of living districts of users in place.	144
Figure 6-12: Section Two in the Users' Questionnaire, Developed by the Researcher.	145
Figure 6-13: Percentage of user types in Arkan Plaza	146
Figure 6-14: Percentage of time users usually spent in the place.....	147
Figure 6-15: Percentage of daytime users usually visit the place	147
Figure 6-16: Percentage of day(s) users prefer to go out in this place	147
Figure 6-17: Types of social networks users prefer to gather with in the place.....	148
Figure 6-18: Percentage of activities users prefer to do in the place.....	148
Figure 6-19: Degree of user satisfaction after visiting the place.....	148
Figure 6-20: The analysis of centrality and connectivity of the public place	150
Figure 6-21: visual permeability of the public place.....	151
Figure 6-22: Thresholds and gateways percentage analysis.....	152
Figure 6-23: Passive engagement percentage analysis.....	152
Figure 6-24: Active engagement percentage analysis	153
Figure 6-25: Discovery percentage analysis.....	154
Figure 6-26: Comfort percentage analysis	155
Figure 6-27: Public Sitting percentage analysis	156
Figure 6-28: Shelter percentage analysis.....	156
Figure 6-29: Percentage analysis of the variety of users' numbers across different times throughout the day	159
Figure 6-30: Percentage analysis of users' types in the place.....	160
Figure 6-31: Percentage analysis of the variety of different services and facilities in the place.....	160