



MONITORING VEGETATION COVER CHANGE IN EASTERN NILE BASIN USING REMOTE SENSING

By Mohammed Salah Yousef Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE In IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2020

MONITORING VEGETATION COVER CHANGE IN EASTERN NILE BASIN USING REMOTE SENSING

By Mohammed Salah Yousef Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE In IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Wagdy Abdeldayem	Prof. Dr. Nadia Mohamed Eshra
Professor of Hydrology	Associate Professor of Hydropower
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department	National Water Research Center
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation Cairo, Egypt
Dr. Mohame	ed Aly Elkordy
Assistan	nt Professor
Irrigation and Hy	draulics Department

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2020

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

MONITORING VEGETATION COVER CHANGE IN THE EASTERN NILE USING REMOTE SENSING

By Mohammed Salah Yousef Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Wagdy Abdeldayem, Thesis Main Advisor

Professor of Hydrology Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Alaa El-Din Mohamed El Zawahry, Internal Examiner

Professor of Water Resources Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Ashraf Mohamed Elmoustafa, External Examiner

Professor of Engineering Hydrology Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University **Engineer's Name:** Mohammed Salah Yousef Marzouk

Date of Birth: 13/10/1990 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: mohammedsalahyousef@gmail.com

Phone: 01004855836

Address: 22 a.b.c Gameaa El Ismaili, khayrat,

Lazoughly, Cairo, Egypt

Registration Date: 01 / 03 / 2014 **Awarding Date:** ... / ... / 2020 **Degree:** Master of Science

Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Ahmad Wagdy Abdeldayem Associate Prof. Nadia Mohamed Abdelsalam Eshra Dr. Mohamed Aly Elkordy

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Wagdy, (Thesis main advisor) Prof. Dr. Alaa Elzawahry, (Internal Examiner)

Prof. Ashraf Mohamed Elmoustafa, (External Examiner)

- Professor of Engineering Hydrology,

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Title of Thesis:

Monitoring vegetation cover change in the Eastern Nile using remote sensing

Kev Words:

Eastern Nile; Vegetation Cover Change Detection; Evapotranspiration; GERD Dam; Remote Sensing

Summary:

As a result of this research, a technique is now made available to continuously monitor the dynamic changes in the green cover within the Eastern Nile basin. The monitored irrigated land areas in dry and wet seasons are used to calculate the water consumption based on provided actual evapotranspiration and interception data. The technique aims at producing consistent spatial and temporal maps for green cover in the Eastern Nile in both seasons (wet and dry) using available remote sensing data. Several remote sensing indices (water and vegetation) are tested to determine their applicability in detecting the green cover changes. Among these indices, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index is chosen to map the green cover and giving a unique pattern for every land use upon analyzing the time series results. The method has been applied on Sudan main irrigation schemes (Gezeira- Rahad – Kenana) and the time series vegetation cover maps are generated for the study areas over the period from 2000 till 2016. The resulted classification maps were compared to the FAO classification maps generated at the Wapor project, and the results prove to be consistent and water consumption is calculated accordingly.



Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Mohammed Salah Yousef Marzouk	Date:
Signature	

Acknowledgments

At the beginning and the end, thanks to Allah for everything.

I am very grateful to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Ahmad Wagdy, Assoc. Prof. Nadia Mohamed Eshra, and Dr. Mohamed Aly Elkordy for their continuous support.

I would like to thank Dr. Mohamed Aly ElKordy, "my pacemaker" for his support and everything he taught me, he helped me in making my mind.

I would like to thank my colleagues and professors, for their support and everything they share with me.

My greetings and thanks to Professor Yasser Raslan for his continuous support.

I would like to thank Eng. Ibrahim Ahmed Kamal, for everything he supported me in and taught me, also for his valuable advices.

I would like to thank Professor Hans Van Leeuwen, for sharing his experience with me.

I would like to thank Eng. Samia Saad for her support and everything she taught me, she helped me in arranging my mind

Special thanks to my dear friends Eng.Omar Abdelwahab, Eng. Mohamed Abdelaziz and Eng. Mohamed Kamal for their continuous encouragement.

My thanks and love to my mother Azza Gaber, My sister Shaima and my brother Amr for their support and continuous encouragement.

Finally, I dedicate this work to my father's soul, who is always with me despite his absence.

Mohammed Salah Yousef, 2020

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XIII
ABSTRACT	XIV
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 INTRODUCTION	
2.2.1. FAMOUS IRRIGATION SCHEMES	8
2.3 REMOTE SENSING HISTORY	9
2.3.1.RADIATION – TARGET INTERACTION	11
2.3.1.1. SURFACE TARGETS INTERACTION WITH VISIBLE AND INFRARED WAVELENGTHS	12
2.4 REMOTE SENSING MATHEMATICAL INDICES	14
2.5 Unmanned Aircraft System Remote Sensing Vegetation Indices	
2.6 VEGETATION CHANGE DETECTION STUDIES	
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	
3.1. Introduction	
3.2. STUDY SETTING UP AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT	
3.2.1. CHALLENGES IN MAPPING VEGETATION COVER IN EASTERN NILE	
3.2.2. SELECTING SATELLITE DATA	34
3.2.3. REMOTE SENSING INDICES	41
3.2.4. STUDY AREA	43
3.3. MAPPING VEGETATION COVER PROCEDURE	44
3.3.1.SELECTING AND PREPROCESSING MODIS SURFACE REFLECTANCE PR	RODUCT
	45

3.3.2. MASKING MODIS DATA FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL	45
3.3.3. CALCULATING REMOTE SENSING INDICES	48
3.3.4. CREATING A COMBINED MOD-MYD PRODUCT	51
3.3.5. GAP FILLING ALGORITHM	53
3.4. TESTING THE REMOTE SENSING INDICES AND CREATING REFERENCE THRESHOL	DS55
3.4.1. TESTING WATER INDICES	56
3.4.2. TESTING VEGETATION INDEX (NDVI)	58
3.4.3. CREATING REFERENCE THRESHOLD FOR NDVI	59
3.4.4. SEPARATING LAND-USES BASED ON REFERENCE THRESHOLD	61
3.5. DETECTING THE CHANGE IN VEGETATION COVER	
3.7. CONSUMED WATER BY IRRIGATED/RAIN-FED LANDS	
3.8. Workflow Automation	
3.9. Calibration	70
CHAPTER 4: APPLICATION TO GEZEIRA AND SURROUNDING SCHE	
AND RESULTS	
4.1. MAPPING IRRIGATED LANDS IN DRY SEASON	
4.1.1. MAIN SCHEMES IRRIGATED LANDS IN DRY SEASON	
4.1.1.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME RESULTS	
4.1.1.2. KENANA SCHEME RESULTS	
4.1.2. CHANGE DETECTION OF IRRIGATED LANDS IN DRY SEASON	
4.1.2. CHANGE DETECTION OF IRRIGATED LANDS IN DRY SEASON	
4.1.2.1 GEZEIRA SCHEME CHANGE DETECTION RESULTS	
4.1.2.3. RAHAD SCHEME CHANGE DETECTION RESULTS	
4.1.3. INTENSIFICATION AND EXTENSIFICATION IN DRY SEASON IRRIGATED)
LANDS	
4.1.3.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME INTENSIFICATION AND EXTENSIFICATION RESULTS 4.1.3.2. KENANA SCHEME INTENSIFICATION AND EXTENSIFICATION RESULTS	
4.1.3.2. RENAINA SCHEME INTENSIFICATION AND EXTENSIFICATION RESULTS	
4.2. Mapping Irrigated Lands in Wet Season	
4.2.1. MAIN SCHEMES IRRIGATED LANDS IN WET SEASON	
4.2.1.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME RESULTS	
4.2.1.2. KENANA SCHEME RESULTS	
4.2.1.3. RAHAD SCHEME RESULTS	
4.2.2. CHANGE DETECTION FOR THE IRRIGATED LANDS IN WET SEASON	
4.2.2.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME CHANGE DETECTION RESULTS	116
4 2 2 2 KENANA SCHEME CHANGE DETECTION RESULTS	117

4.2.2.3. RAHAD SCHEME CHANGE DETECTION RESULTS	•••••
4.3. ACTUAL CONSUMED WATER BY PLANTATION	
4.3.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER BY PLANTATION	
4.3.1.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN DRY SEASON RESULTS	
4.3.1.2. GEZEIRA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN WET SEASON RESULTS	
4.3.1.3. GEZEIRA SCHEME TOTAL CONSUMED WATER RESULTS	
4.3.2. KENANA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER BY PLANTATION	
4.3.2.1. KENANA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN DRY SEASON RESULTS	•••••
4.3.2.2. KENANA SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN WET SEASON RESULTS	
4.3.2.3. KENANA SCHEME TOTAL CONSUMED WATER RESULTS	
4.3.3. RAHAD SCHEME CONSUMED WATER BY PLANTATION	
4.3.3.1. RAHAD SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN DRY SEASON RESULTS	
4.3.3.2. RAHAD SCHEME CONSUMED WATER IN WET SEASON RESULTS	
4.3.3.3. RAHAD SCHEME TOTAL CONSUMED WATER RESULTS	
4.4. TOTAL MAPPED GREEN COVER	
4.4.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME TOTAL GREEN COVER	
4.4.2. KENANA SCHEME TOTAL GREEN COVER	
4.4.3. RAHAD SCHEME TOTAL GREEN COVER	
4.5. A STUDY MADE BY FAO – WAPOR PROJECT	
4.5.1. GEZEIRA SCHEME	
4.5.2. KENANA SCHEME	
4.5.3. RAHAD SCHEME	
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	•••••
5.1 Summary	
5.2 Conclusions	
5.3 FUTURE WORK	

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Eastern Nile basin main sub-catchments characteristics [1]	5
Table 2.2: Visible portion of the Electromagnetic spectrum	
Table 2.3: Comparison between Vegetation Indices for Satellite Imaging Data [27]	
Table 2.4: Irrigated areas classified from Modis image acquired on 29 November 2	
[37]	
Table 3.1: Characteristics of different sensors	
Table 3.2: Science Data Sets for MOD/MYD-09A1 (Collection 6)	
Table 3.3: Science Data Sets for MOD/MYD-09Q1 (Collection 6)	
Table 3.4: Downloaded MOD/MYD09A1 and MOD/MYD09Q1 tiles (collection 6)	
Table 3. 5: Boundaries of the study area (UTM36 – WGS84)	
Table 3.6: 500m state flag quality assurance 16 bit information [42]	
Table 3.7: Surface reflectance band quality SDS 32 bit information	
Table 3.8: Reference threshold determined from the analysis	
Table 4.1: Gezeira irrigated areas in dry season	
Table 4.2: Kenana irrigated areas in dry season	
Table 4.3: Rahad irrigated areas in dry season	
Table 4.4: Change in Gezeira irrigated lands in dry season between every two	//
successive years in the time series	86
Table 4.5: Change in Kenana irrigated lands in dry season between every two	80
successive years in the time series	97
Table 4.6: Change in Rahad irrigated lands in dry season between every two successions the time series	
years in the time series	
Table 4.7: Intensification /Extensification areas every two successive years – Gezei	
scheme.	
Table 4.8: Intensification /Extensification areas every two successive years – Kena	na
scheme.	
Table 4.9: Intensification /Extensification areas every two successive years – Rahae	
scheme.	
Table 4.10: Irrigated lands in wet season – Gezeira scheme.	
Table 4.11: Irrigated lands in wet season – Kenana scheme.	
Table 4.12: Irrigated lands in wet season –Rahad scheme	104
Table 4.13: Change in Gezeira irrigated lands in wet season between every two	
	117
Table 4.14: Change in Kenana irrigated lands in wet season between every two	
successive years in the time series	117
Table 4.15: Change in Rahad irrigated lands in wet season between every two	
successive years in the time series	
Table 4.16: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season –Gezeira scheme	
Table 4.17: System Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season –Gezeira sche	eme.
	120
Table 4.18: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season –Gezeira scheme	121
Table 4.19: Total consumed water by plantation–Gezeira scheme	122
Table 4.20: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Kenana scheme	123
Table 4.21: System consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Kenana sche	eme.
Table 4.22: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season – Kenana scheme	.125

Table 4.23: Total consumed water by plantation – Kenana Scheme	125
Table 4.24: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Rahad scheme	126
Table 4.25: System consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Rahad sch	eme.
	127
Table 4.26: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season – Rahad scheme	
Table 4.27: Total consumed water by plantation – Rahad scheme	128
Table 4.28: Total irrigated areas over the time series –Gezeira scheme	130
Table 4.29: Total irrigated areas over the time series –Kenana scheme	131
Table 4.30: Total irrigated areas over the time series – Rahad scheme	132
Table 4.31: Comparison between total irrigated lands in Gezeira generated by FA	O and
current study.	133
Table 4.32: Comparison between total irrigated lands in Kenana generated by FA	O and
current study.	134
Table 4.33: Comparison between total irrigated lands in Rahad generated by FAC) and
current study.	135

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Eastern Nile Basin [2]	4
Figure 2.2: Gezira Crop Area [6]	
Figure 2.3: Gezira Canal Average Discharge [7]	9
Figure 2.4: Managel Canal Average Discharge [7]	9
Figure 2.5: Visual electromagnetic spectrum wavelengths [9]	
Figure 2.6: (a) Specular and (b) Diffusive reflection [10]	
Figure 2.7: Interaction between radiations and leaves surface [10]	12
Figure 2.8: Interaction between (a) radiations with water surface and (b) radiations	
water surface and suspended soil [10]	
Figure 2.9: Reflectance of green and water land-use response with different wavele	_
[10]	
Figure 2.10: Methodology Flowchart [30]	
Figure 2.11: NDVI Classification for 2001 and 2006 respectively [30]	
Figure 2.12: Study area location [31]	
Figure 2.13: Land use for Hawalbagh block for 1990 & 2010 Landsat TM [31]	23
Figure 2.14: Spectral reflectance of green vegetation [33]	24
Figure 2.15: Resulted NDVI image and the range of each class [32]	
Figure 2.16: NDVI for sugarcane with the range of classes for plant condition [32]	25
Figure 2.17: HSV color spaces [34]	26
Figure 2.18: Hue of vegetation [34]	
Figure 2.19: Crop calendar for major crops in Sudan - [37]	28
Figure 2.20: Location of major irrigation schemes in Sudan - [37]	
Figure 2.21: Monthly gross actual Evapotranspiration calculated for 2001 based on	1km
x1km grid data - [37]	29
Figure 2.22: Gross Evapotranspiration for main irrigation schemes in BCM/Year -	
Figure 2.23: NDVI time series compared to the historical average - [39]	
Figure 2.24: NDVI difference images for the different seasons - [39]	
Figure 3.1: Satellite image pixel size [41]	
Figure 3.2: MODIS land features reflectance	
Figure 3.3: MODIS sinusoidal grid which consist of 460 non-overlapping tiles [42]	
Figure 3.4: Tile h21v07 of MOD09A1 product as RGB composite image {(Red-Ba	
(Green- Band4), (Blue- Band3)}	
Figure 3.5: Selected study area within Eastern Nile	
Figure 3.6: Data processing and indices calculation workflow	
Figure 3.7: Comparison between 250m and 500m resolution	
Figure 3.8: (a) Original RGB composite for tile MOD09A1.2000177.hdf, and (b) the state of the st	ne
created data mask where the white color indicates the No data pixels that will be	
excluded from the calculations.	
Figure 3.9: ERDAS Imagine spatial model for calculating the indices	
Figure 3.10: Calculated NDVI raster from MOD and MYD data	
Figure 3.11: NDVI combined product from MOD and MYD	
Figure 3.12: ERDAS Imagine spatial model for creating combined product	
Figure 3.13: Gap-filling algorithm after [48]	
Figure 3.14: Represent the three layers cooperated in gap-filling process (a) Combi	
MOD/MYD, (b) Mean Raster, (c) Final Gap-filled combined product	54

Figure 3.15: Sample of the selected land-uses within the study area using google eart	th
satellite images, (a) Irrigated land, (b) bare land, (c) Water Body, (d) Rain-fed/Forest	t.55
Figure 3.16: Extracted full time series of each water index for the main three land-us	ses,
(a) Irrigated lands, (b) Bare lands, (c) Water Body.	56
Figure 3.17: Extracted average pattern of each water index for the main three land-us	
over time series, (a) Irrigated lands, (b) Bare lands, (c) Water Body	57
Figure 3.18: Resulted NDVI pattern for the main three land-uses, (a) Irrigated lands,	(b)
Bare lands, (c) Water Body.	
Figure 3.19: Average NDVI pattern for the main three land-uses over time series, (a))
Irrigated lands, (b) Bare lands, (c) Water Body.	
Figure 3.20: Dry and wet season limits	
Figure 3.21: Bare soil and water bodies extracted average NDVI patterns over time,	
Bare lands, (b) water body.	
Figure 3.22: Average NDVI pattern for the three green cover categories, (a) Irrigated	
lands in dry season, (b) Irrigated lands in wet season, (c) Rain-fed/Forests	
Figure 3.23: Thematic map, target land-use is 1 while any other land-use 0	
Figure 3.24: Sample NDVI pattern for a pixel classified as Bare land	
Figure 3.25: Bare land classification based on the reference threshold – from NDVI	
raster to thematic raster	61
Figure 3.26: Inverse thematic raster to omit the bare lands raster and the new NDVI	
raster after omitting the NDVI values for bare lands.	62
Figure 3.27: Irrigated land in dry season average NDVI over times series with the	
reference threshold.	62
Figure 3.28: Irrigated land in wet season average NDVI over times series with the	
reference threshold, (a) Irrigated lands in dry season, (b) Irrigated lands in wet season	n.
	63
Figure 3.29: Land classification Map for 2011.	63
Figure 3.30: Change Detection Map between 2007 & 2008	64
Figure 3.31: Sample Crop pattern cycles	
Figure 3.32: Irrigated land NDVI pattern in two successive years with the reference	
threshold	65
Figure 3.33: Unchanged irrigated lands in dry season NDVI classes	66
Figure 3.34: Sample of the total annual values of Actual Evapotranspiration for the	
study area (a scale factor of 0.1 should be applied to the data before usage)	67
Figure 3.35: Developed algorithm work flow	
Figure 3.36: Comparison between actual bare land chosen from google earth at 2016)
and the detected on 2016 in red color, (a) bare soil chosen from Google Earth 2016,	(b)
bare soil mapped based on the chosen threshold – 2016.	
Figure 3.37: Comparison between actual green cover chosen from google earth at 20	116
and the detected on 2016 in green color, (a) green cover chosen from Google Earth	
2016, (b) green cover mapped based on the chosen threshold – 2016	70
Figure 3.38: Captured green cover in 2013 Vs Mapped green cover in 2013, (a) green	n
cover chosen from Google Earth, (b) green cover mapped based on the chosen	
threshold.	
Figure 3.39: Captured green cover in 2014 Vs Mapped green cover in 2014, (a) gree	en
cover chosen from Google Earth, (b) green cover mapped based on the chosen	
threshold.	
Figure 4.1: Location of the main irrigation schemes in Sudan within the study area	
Figure 4.2: Average NDVI raster for the study area calculated based on the average of	
all year time frame for 2000 & 2016	73

Figure 4.3: Main Nile yield at Aswan High Dam [7]	
Figure 4.4: Gezeira irrigated areas in dry season	.75
Figure 4.5: Kenana irrigated areas in dry season	.76
Figure 4.6: Rahad irrigated areas in dry season	.77
Figure 4.7: Average NDVI in dry season raster for the study for the years from 2000	till
2005	
Figure 4.8: Average NDVI in dry season raster for the study for the years from 2006 2011	
Figure 4.9: Average NDVI in dry season raster for the study for the years from 2012	
2016.	
Figure 4.10: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2000 & 2001.	
Figure 4.11: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2002 & 2003	
Figure 4.12: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2004 & 2005	
Figure 4.13: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2006 & 2007	
Figure 4.14: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2008 & 2009	
Figure 4.15: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2010 & 2011	
Figure 4.16: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2012 & 2013	
Figure 4.17: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2014 & 2015	
Figure 4.18: Mapped irrigated lands in dry season shown in green for 2016	
Figure 4.19: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – Gezeira Scheme	
Figure 4.20: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season –Kenana Scheme	
Figure 4.21: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season –Rahad Scheme	
Figure 4.22: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2000-2001) &	•••
(2001-2002)	.89
Figure 4.23: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2002-2003) &	
(2003-2004).	.89
Figure 4.24: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2004-2005) &	
(2005-2006).	
Figure 4.25: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2006-2007) &	
	.90
Figure 4.26: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2008-2009) &	
(2009-2010).	.91
Figure 4.27: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2010-2011) &	
(2011-2012).	.91
Figure 4.28: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2012-2013) &	
(2013-2014).	
Figure 4.29: Change detection for the irrigated lands in dry season – (2014-2015) &	
(2015-2016).	.92
Figure 4.30: Intensification / Extensification for the irrigated lands in dry season –	
Gezeira Scheme.	.93
Figure 4.31: Intensification / Extensification for the irrigated lands in dry season –	
Kenana Scheme	.94
Figure 4.32: Intensification / Extensification for the irrigated lands in dry season –	
Rahad Scheme	.96
Figure 4.33: Green cover densification mapping for 2002 & 2003	.97
Figure 4.34: Green cover densification mapping for 2004 & 2005	
Figure 4.35: Green cover densification mapping for 2006 & 2007	.98
Figure 4.36: Green cover densification mapping for 2008 & 2009	.98
Figure 4.37: Green cover densification mapping for 2010 & 2011	.99
Figure 4.38: Green cover densification mapping for 2012 & 2013	.99

Figure 4.39: Green cover densification mapping for 2014 & 2015	100
Figure 4.40: Green cover densification mapping for 2016	100
Figure 4.41: Irrigated lands in wet season –Gezeira Scheme	102
Figure 4.42: Irrigated lands in wet season –Kenana Scheme	
Figure 4.43: Irrigated lands in wet season –Rahad scheme	
Figure 4.44: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2000&2001	105
Figure 4.45: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2002&2003	
Figure 4.46: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2004&2005	
Figure 4.47: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	r
over the study area – 2006&2007	
Figure 4.48: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2008&2009	
Figure 4.49: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2010&2011	
Figure 4.50: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2012&2013	
Figure 4.51: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	
over the study area – 2014&2015	
Figure 4.52: Average NDVI raster for the wet period from September till November	100
over the study area – 2016	100
Figure 4.53: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	10)
2000&2001&2002	110
Figure 4.54: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	110
2003&2004&2005	111
Figure 4.55: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	111
2006&2007&2008	112
Figure 4.56: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	112
2009&2010&2011	113
Figure 4.57: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	113
2012&2013&2014	11/
Figure 4.58: Mapped irrigated lands in wet season/ Rain-fed/Forests in green –	117
2015&2016	115
Figure 4.59: Change detection for the irrigated lands in wet season –Gezeira scheme	
Figure 4.60: Change detection for the irrigated lands in wet season –Gezena scheme	
11gure 4.00. Change detection for the infigated failus in wet season – Kenana Scheme	
Figure 4.61: Change detection for the irrigated lands in wet season –Rahad Scheme.	
Figure 4.62: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Gezeira scheme	
Figure 4.63: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season –Gezeira scheme	
Figure 4.64: Total consumed water by plantation—Gezeira scheme	
• 1	
Figure 4.65: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Kenana scheme	
Figure 4.66: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season – Kenana scheme	
Figure 4.67: Total consumed water by plantation – Kenana Scheme.	
Figure 4.68: Consumed water by irrigated lands in dry season – Rahad scheme	
Figure 4.69: Consumed water by irrigated lands in wet season –Rahad scheme	
Figure 4.70: Total consumed water by plantation – Rahad scheme.	
Figure 4.71: Total irrigated areas over the time series —Gezeira scheme.	
Figure 4.72: Total irrigated areas over the time series –Kenana scheme.	130