

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

Therapeutic Equivalence of Generic Product Versus Reference Product of Ivabradine in patients with Chronic Heart Failure: A Comparative Study A thesis Submitted for the partial fulfillment of the Master's Degree in Pharmaceutical Sciences (Clinical Pharmacy)

By:

Hadeer Eid Eliwa

B. Pharm. Sci.

Teaching assistant at Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Pharmacy Department
Faculty of Pharmaceutical sciences and Pharmaceutical Industries
Future University in Egypt

Under Supervision of:

Prof.Dr. Nagwa Ali Sabri

Head of Clinical Pharmacy Department
Professor of Clinical Pharmacy
Faculty of Pharmacy
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ebtissam Abdel Ghaffar Metwally Darweesh

Head of Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Pharmacy Department

Professor of Clinical Pharmacology

Faculty of Pharmaceutical sciences and Pharmaceutical Industries

Future University in Egypt

Dr. Naglaa Samir Bazan

Fellow (Lecturer) and Head of Clinical Pharmacy
Critical Care Medicine Department
Cairo University Hospitals

Faculty of Pharmacy
Ain Shams University

Acknowledgements

I am deeply thankful to "Allah" by the grace of whom, this work was possible. I would like to express my deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Nagwa Ali Sabri**, Prof and Head of Clinical Pharmacy Department – Faculty of Pharmacy - Ain Shams University, for her sincere help, valuable guidance and continuous support in completing this work. I am very grateful to **Prof. Dr. Ebtissam Abdel Ghaffar Metwally Darwesh**, Prof. of Pharmacology and Head of Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Pharmacy Department -Faculty of Pharmaceutical sciences and Pharmaceutical Industries-Future University in Egypt, for her great assistance, precious advice and valuable guidance in completing this work. I am greatly thankful to **Dr. Naglaa Samir Bazan**, Fellow and Head of Clinical Pharmacy-Critical Care Medicine Department-Cairo University Hospitals, for her close supervision, valuable efforts and continuous encouragement during the progress of this work.

I am greatly indebted to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Alsherif**, professor of Critical Care Medicicine-Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University and **Dr. Hazem Khorshid**, Lecturer of Cardiology-Cardiology Department - Ain Shams University, for their great and valuable help in the clinical aspects of the study. I would like to thank all members of Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy - Future University in Egypt, for their support. I am very grateful to **Future University in Egypt** for its great assistant and Fund my research. I dedicate my thesis to the soul of my father who always live in my heart and mind forever, to my beloved mother. And to all my family and best friends for their kind and sincere help, love and support during the progress of this work.

List of Contents

Contents	Page
List of Tables	<u>i</u>
List of Figures	<u>ii</u>
List of Abbreviations	<u>iv</u>
Abstract	<u>vi</u>
Introduction	
 Types of Heart Failure Epidemiology Etiology Pathophysiologic changes and compensatory mechanisms in HF. Classification of HF. Treatment of Chronic HF Ivabradine Generic Products 	1 2 7 10 15 17 33 42
Aim of the Work.	<u>46</u>
Patients and Methods	<u>47</u>
Results	<u>55</u>
Discussion	<u>73</u>
Summary	<u>80</u>
References	<u>82</u>

List of Contents

Contents	Page
Appendices	
Appendix A: Questioner	<u>96</u>
Appendix B: Follow up sheet	<u>97</u>
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table	Page	
Table I. The New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification		
(FC).		
Table II. The American Heart Association Stages.		
Table 1 . Demographic characteristics at baseline of patients (mean \pm SD for		
continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables).		
Table 2. Cardiac Parameters at baseline of patients (mean ± SD for		
continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables).		
Table 3. Comorbidities and HF etiology at baseline of patients (mean \pm SD	<u>58</u>	
for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables).		
Table 4 . Laboratory tests at baseline of patients (mean \pm SD for continuous		
variables and number (%) for categorical variables).		
Table 5. Guideline directed medical therapy of randomized patients at		
baseline in both group A and group B (mean \pm SD for continuous variables)		
Table 6. Differences in the mean of HR, QOL and LVEF between the		
baseline and at the end of week 12 in Group A and Group B (phase1)		
Table 7: Differences in the mean of HR, QOL and LVEF between the end of		
12 week and at the end of week 24 in Group A and Group B (phase2)		
Table 8: % change of HR, QOL and LVEF between the baseline and at the		
end of 24 week in Group A and Group B (Phase1)		
Table 9: % change of HR, QOL and LVEF between the end of 12 week and		
at the end of 24 week in Group A and Group B(phase2)		
Table 10: Cost Saving.	<u>72</u>	

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure I: The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association	
heart failure (HF) staging system.	
Figure II: Overview of therapeutic goals in heart failure (HF) treatment.	<u>18</u>
Figure III: Pathophysiologic mechanisms promoted by an increased heart rate	<u>29</u>
Figure IV: Mode of action of ivabradine: by inhibiting ion flow though the f-	<u>38</u>
channel, ivabradine reduces the slow diastolic depolarization phase of the action	
potential of Sino-atrial node cells, thereby reducing heart rate.	
Figure V: Study flow Diagram	<u>53</u>
Figure 1: Mean resting HR in 16 patients started with the brand ivabradine	<u>62</u>
(Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine (Bradipect) (Group A) and 16	
patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect) followed by branded	
ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group B) during the study period	
Figure 2: Mean resting heart rate in 16 patients started with the brand ivabradine	<u>63</u>
(Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine (Bradipect) (Group A) and 16	
patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect) followed by branded	
ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group B)during the whole study period	
Figure 3 . Percentage of patients with EF≤35 in 16 patients started with the brand	<u>65</u>
ivabradine (Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine (Bradipect) (Group A)	
and 16 patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect) followed by brand	
ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group A) during the study period	
Figure 4. Proportion of patients in different NYHA classes in 16 patients started	<u>66</u>
with the brand ivabradine (Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine	
(Bradipect) (Group A) and 16 patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect)	
followed by brand ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group A) during the study period	
Figure 5. Mean score of ML WHF for QoL in 16 patients started with the brand	<u>67</u>
ivabradine (Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine (Bradipect) (Group A)	
and 16 patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect) followed by brand	
ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group A) during the study period	

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure 6. Mean score of ML WHF for QoL in 16 patients started with the brand	<u>68</u>
ivabradine (Procoralan®) followed by generic ivabradine (Bradipect) (Group A)	
and 16 patients started with generic ivabradine (Bradipect) followed by brand	
ivabradine (Procoralan®) (Group A) during the whole study period	

List of Abbreviations

ACC/AHA	American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association
ACEI	Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor
AHF	Acute Heart Failure
ALT	Alanine Transaminase Enzyme
ARBs	Angiotensin Receptor Blockers
AST	Aspartate Transaminase Enzyme
AV node	Atrioventricular Node
BEAUTIFUL	Morbidity-mortality evaluation of the If-inhibitors ivabradine
	in patients with CAD and left ventricular dysfunction
BUN	Blood Urea Nitrogen
CAD	Coronary artery disease
cAMP	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CARVIVA	Carvedilol, ivabradine or their combination on exercise
	capacity in patients with HF
cGMP	Cyclic guanosine monophosphate
CHF	Chronic Heart Failure
CO	Cardiac Output
COMET	Carvedilol or Metoprolol European Trial
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DCM	Dilated cardiomyopathy
DIG	Digitalis intervention Group
ESC	European society of cardiology
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
HCN	Hyperpolarization activated cyclic nucleotide-gated
HF	Heart Failure
HFpEF	Heart Failure with preservative Ejection Fraction
HFrEF	Heart Failure with reduced Ejection Fraction
HTN	Hypertension
IHF	Ischemic heart failure
LVEF	Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction

MI	Myocardial infarction
NIHF	Non-ischemic heart failure
NYHA FC	New York Heart Association Functional Classification
RAAS	Renin- angiotensin -aldosterone system
RHR	Resting heart rate
SAN	Sino-atrial node
SHIFT	Systolic HF treatment with the If inhibitor ivabradine trial
SNS	Sympathetic nervous system
Sr.cr	Serum Creatinine

Abstract

Background:

Generic substitution of brand ivabradine prescriptions can reduce drug expenditures and improve adherence. However, the distrust of generic medicines by practitioners and patients due to doubts regarding their quality and fear of counterfeiting compromise the acceptance of this practice.

Aim of the Work:

The current study was designed to compare the therapeutic equivalence of brand product versus generic product of ivabradine in adult patients with chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (\leq 40%) (HFrEF).

Patients and Methods:

The study was carried out on 32 Egyptian patients with HFrEF who were randomized to one of the two groups: Group A received branded ivabradine (Procrolan ©) for 12 weeks followed by another 12 weeks of receiving generic (Bradipect ©), while Group B received the same intervention with opposite order without washout period. All patients received their guideline directed medical therapy throughout the study period. The following parameters were evaluated at the end of the 12th week and 24th week of either receiving branded or generic ivabradine: Primary outcomes were resting heart rate (HR), New York Heart Association NYHA FC, Quality of life (QoL) using Minnesota Living with Heart Failure (MLWHF) and EF. Secondary outcomes were number of hospitalizations for worsening HFrEF and adverse effects. Washout period was not allowed for ethical reasons.

Results:

At the 12th week, the reduction in HR was comparable in the two groups (90.13±7.11 to 69±11.41 vs 96.13±17.58 to 67.31±8.68 bpm in group A and group B, respectively). Also, the increase in EF was comparable in the two groups (27.44 ±4.59 to 33.38±5.62 vs 32±5.96 to 39.31±8.95 in group A and group B, respectively). The improvement in NYHA FC was comparable in both groups (87.5% in group A vs 93.8% in group B). The mean value of the QOL improved from 31.63±15.8 to 19.6±14.7 vs 35.68±17.63 to 22.9±15.1 for the group A and group B, respectively. Similarly, at end of 24th week, no significant changes were observed from data observed at 12th week regarding HR, EF, QoL and NYHA FC. Only

minor side effects, mainly phosphenes, and a comparable number of hospitalizations were observed in both groups.

Conclusion:

The study revealed no statistically significant differences in the therapeutic effect and safety between generic and branded ivabradine. Study results supports the assumption that practitioners can safely switch to generic ivabradine for economic reasons.

Key words:

Heart Failure, Ivabradine, Bradipect ©, Procoralan ©, Therapeutic Equivalence, Crossover study

1. Introduction

European Society of Cardiology (ESC) defined heart failure (HF) as a clinical syndrome characterized by typical symptoms (e.g. breathlessness, ankle swelling and fatigue) that may be accompanied by signs (e.g. elevated jugular venous pressure, pulmonary crackles and peripheral edema) caused by a structural and/or functional cardiac abnormality, resulting in a reduced cardiac output and/ or elevated intracardiac pressures at rest or during stress (Ponikowski et al., 2016).

1.1 Types of Heart Failure

The main terminology used to describe HF is historical and is based on measurement of the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). HF comprises a wide range of patients, from those with normal LVEF [typically considered as \geq 50%; HF with preserved EF (HFpEF)] to those with reduced LVEF [typically considered as \leq 40%; HF with reduced EF (HFrEF)] (Ponikowski et al., 2016). Differentiation of patients with HF based on LVEF is important due to different underlying a etiologies, demographics, co-morbidities and response to therapies (Butler J et al., 2012).

1.1.1. Heart Failure with Preserved EF (HFpEF) (diastolic dysfunction)

Definition of HFpEF based on American Colleague of Cardiology/American Heart Association American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) and ESC is LVEF ≥50% (Yancy et al., 2013; Ponikowski et al., 2016; Yancy et al., 2017). It has been suggested that the incidence of HFpEF is increasing and that a greater portion of patients hospitalized with HF have HFpEF (Steinberg et al., 2012).

HFpEF is sub classified into a) HFpEF, borderline with LVEF 41% to 49%. These patients fall into a borderline or intermediate group. Their characteristics, treatment patterns, and outcomes appear similar to those of patients with HFpEF. b) HFpEF, improved with LVEF >40%. It has been recognized that a subset of patients with HFpEF previously had HFrEF. These patients with improvement or recovery in EF may be clinically distinct from those with persistently preserved or reduced EF (Yancy et al., 2013).