



Biological Activity of Polymer Bound Bacteriogenic Nanoparticles

By

Omnia Mohammed Abdulla Ahmed

(M.Sc. Microbiology, 2015)

A thesis

Submitted to Department of Microbiology

Faculty of Science

Ain-Shams University

For Ph.D. degree in Science (Microbiology)

Microbiology

(2020)



Ph.D Thesis

Name: Omnia Mohammed Abdulla Ahmed

**Title: Biological Activity of Polymer Bound
Bacteriogenic Nanoparticles**

Thesis Supervisors

Supervisors

Prof. Khaled Zakaria El-Baghdady

*Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Mostafa Mohamed Hassan Khalil

*Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Mervat Ismail EL Borhamy

*Professor of Microbiology, Head of Microbiology Department, Faculty of
Pharmacy, Misr International University*

Dr. Gamal Abd El aziz Meligi

*Associate Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*



Approval sheet

Biological Activity of Polymer Bound Bacteriogenic Nanoparticles

By

Omnia Mohammed Abdulla Ahmed

(M.Sc. Microbiology, 2015)

Supervisors

Approved

Prof. Khaled Zakaria El-Baghdady

*Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Mostafa Mohamed Hassan Khalil

*Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Mervat Ismail EL Borhamy

*Professor of Microbiology, Head of Microbiology Department, Faculty of
Pharmacy, Misr International University*

Dr. Gamal Abd El aziz Meligi

*Associate Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Examination committee

Prof. Soad Ahmed Abdallah

*Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Tarek Mohamed Salama

*Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Al Azhar University*

Prof. Khaled Zakaria El-Baghdady

*Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Prof. Mostafa Mohamed Hassan Khalil

*Professor of Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University*

Date of Discussion 15/01/2020

Approval date / /2020

University Council Approved / /2020

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I must acknowledge my infinite thanks to **God the almighty**, for his help and bless.

Dr. Khaled Zakaria El-Baghdady, words would not be enough to express how grateful I am for your guidance and insightful comments that brought this work towards a completion.

To **Dr. Mostafa M. H. Khalil**, I am immensely appreciative to steering me in the right direction, sharing expertise and valuable supervision.

I owe deep debt of gratitude to **Dr. Mervat El Borhamy**, for her open door always, encouragement and patience throughout the journey.

To **Dr. Gamal Meligi**, I am grateful for your infinite support, patience and motivation through the whole project.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank **Misr international university**, a great place to work in and for providing the facilities required to complete my thesis.

This project would not have been possible without the support of **my family and friends** to whom I am greatly indebted. Also Special thanks goes to my best friend **Esraa Gamal** for supporting me throughout this journey.

Special thanks go to the members and head of the **Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University**.

Omnia Mohamed Abdalla

Declaration

This thesis has not been submitted previously for this or other degree in this or any other university.

Omnia Mohamed Abdulla Ahmed

Contents

Title	page
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
List of Abbreviations	
Abstract	1
Aim of work	3
Introduction	4
Review of literature	7
1.1 Introduction.....	6
1.2 Synthesis of nanoparticles.....	10
1.2.1 Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) biosynthesis... .	10
1.2.2 Selenium nanoparticles (SeNPs) biosynthesis... .	14
1.3 Nanocomposite: future approach	20
1.4 Nanocomposite types	21
1.5 Nanocomposite synthesis	22
1.6 Polymers used for synthesis of nanocomposites.....	24
1.6.1 Polystyrene (PS).....	24
1.6.2 Chitosan (CS)	26

1.6.3 Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	28
1.7 Biological activities of nanoparticles and nanocomposites.....	30
1.7.1 Antimicrobial activities	30
1.7.2 Antibiofilm activities	32
1.7.3 Cytotoxic activity	34
Materials and methods	
2.1 Materials	38
2.1.1 Reagents and buffers	38
2.1.2 Media	39
2.1.3 Polymers	41
2.2 Methods	42
2.2.1 Soil samples and bacterial isolation.....	42
2.2.2 Selective isolation of silver and selenium tolerant bacteria	42
2.2.3 Biosynthesis of Silver and Selenium nanoparticles .	43
2.2.3.1 Silver nanoparticles biosynthesis.....	43
2.2.3.2 Selenium nanoparticles biosynthesis	44
2.2.4 Characterization of nanoparticles.....	45

2.2.4.1 UV-Vis Spectrometry.....	45
2.2.4.2 Dynamic light scattering (DLS).....	45
2.2.4.3 Zeta potential analysis.....	46
2.2.4.4 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM)	46
2.2.5 Identification of bacterial isolates by 16S rRNA gene sequencing	47
2.2.6 Sequencing of 16S rRNA genes	48
2.2.7 Synthesis of Polymer nanocomposite.....	48
2.2.7.1 Synthesis of polystyrene nanocomposite (PS-Ag, PS-Se).....	48
2.2.7.2 Synthesis of polyvinyl alcohol nanocomposite (PVA-Ag,PVA-Se).....	49
2.2.7.3 Synthesis of Chitosan nanocomposite (CS-Ag, CS-Se).....	49
2.2.8 Characterization of nanocomposite	50
2.2.8.1 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	50
2.2.8.2 EDX (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Analysis.....	50
2.2.8.3 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS)	51
2.2.9 Antibacterial activity of nanoparticles and nanocomposites	51

2.2.10 Antibiofilm formation.....	53
2.2.11 Cytotoxicity (MTT- Assay).....	54
2.2.12 Statistical analysis	55
Results	
3.1 Selective isolation of silver and selenium tolerant bacteria	56
3.2 Biosynthesis of AgNPs and SeNPs.....	58
3.2.1 Biosynthesis of AgNPs	58
3.2.2 Biosynthesis of SeNPs	61
3.3 Characterization of synthesized nanoparticles AgNPs and SeNPs.....	55
3.3.1 DLS analysis.....	63
3.3.2 Zeta potential analysis.....	68
3.3.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM).....	70
3.4 Molecular identification of the selected bacterial isolate	71
3.5 Synthesis of polymer nanocomposite.....	71
3.5.1 Synthesis of polystyrene nanocomposite (PS-Ag and PS-SeNPs).....	71
3.5.2 Synthesis of Polyvinyl alcohol nanocomposite (PVA-Ag and PVA-SeNPs).....	72

3.5.3 Synthesis of Chitosan nanocomposite (CS-Ag and CS-SeNPs).....	74
3.6 Characterization of nanocomposite.....	75
3.6.1 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).....	75
3.6.2 Scanning Electron microscope attached to EDX (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Analysis.....	77
3.6.3 Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS).....	81
3.7 Antibacterial activity of nanoparticles and nanocomposites.....	81
3.7.1 Antibacterial activity of nanoparticles (AgNPs and SeNPs).....	81
3.7.2 Antibacterial activity of nanocomposites.....	85
3.8 Antibiofilm activity of nanoparticles and nanocomposites	89
3.9 Cytotoxic activity of nanoparticles and nanocomposites using (MTT) assay.....	95
Discussion.....	98
Recommendations	117
References	118
Summary	158
Arabic summary.....	

List of Tables		
Table No.	Title	Page No.
(1)	Biological synthesis pathway for AgNPs using Microorganisms (bacteria, and fungi) and plant parts.	12
(2)	Biological synthesis pathway for SeNPs using microorganisms (bacteria, yeast and fungi) and plant parts.	17
(3)	Polystyrene nanocomposites synthesis methods using different nanoparticles.	25
(4)	Chitosan nanocomposites synthesis methods using different nanoparticles.	28
(5)	Polyvinyl alcohol nanocomposites synthesis methods using different nanoparticles.	29
(6)	DLS analysis of AgNPs and SeNPs distribution biosynthesized by selected bacterial isolates.	64
(7)	Onset and peak temperatures of polymers and nanocomposites.	76
(8)	Antibacterial activity of synthesized AgNPs and SeNPs.	83
(9)	Inhibition zones diameter of polymers, nanocomposites, and antibiotics against different MDR bacteria.	87
(10)	Percentage (%) of biofilm inhibition by nanocomposites and nanoparticles against biofilm forming MDR bacteria.	94
(11)	IC ₅₀ values of nanoparticles and nanocomposites against Huh-7 cell line.	96

LIST OF FIGURES		
Figure No.	Title	Page No.
(1)	Figure (1) Two main routes for polymer/metal nanocomposites. a: <i>In-situ</i> synthesis and b: <i>Ex-situ</i> synthesis.	23
(2)	Bacterial isolates grown on nutrient agar medium supplemented with 5 mM Sodium selenite showing red to orange colonies. A: Ism 26 and B: Ism 3.	57
(3)	Bacterial isolates grown on nutrient agar media supplemented with silver nitrate showing brown colonies. A: 1mM and B: 5 mM silver nitrate.	57
(4)	Visual brown coloured solution of biosynthesized AgNPs using bacterial isolates. A: Ism 1; B: Ism 2; C: Ism 26 and D: Ism 37	59
(5)	UV–Visble spectra of AgNPs biosynthesized by bacterial isolates. A: Ism 1; B: Ism 2; C: Ism 26 and D: Ism 37).	60
(6)	Biosynthesized SeNPs using bacterial pellets showing red to orange coloured solution. A: Ism 1;	61

	B: Ism 2; C: Ism 26 and D: Ism 37.	
(7)	UV-Visible spectra of SeNPs biosynthesized by bacterial isolates. A: Ism 1; B: Ism 2; C: Ism 26 and D: Ism 37.	62
(8)	DLS analysis of nanoparticles biosynthesized by Ism 1 bacterial isolate. A: AgNPs and B: SeNPs.	65
(9)	DLS analysis of nanoparticles biosynthesized by Ism 2 bacterial isolate. A: AgNPs and B: SeNPs.	66
(10)	DLS analysis of nanoparticles biosynthesized by Ism 26 bacterial isolate. A: AgNPs and B: SeNPs.	67
(11)	DLS analysis of nanoparticles biosynthesized by Ism 26 bacterial isolate. A: AgNPs and B: SeNPs.	68
(12)	Zeta potential distribution. A: AgNPs synthesized by Ism 26 and B: SeNPs synthesized by Ism 2.	69
(13)	HTREM picture of AgNPs synthesized by Ism 26.	70
(14)	HTREM pictures of SeNPs synthesized by Ism 2.	70
(15)	Nanocomposite films formed from PS with nanoparticles. (A) PS, (B) PS-Ag and (C) PS-Se nanocomposites.	72
(16)	Nanocomposite films formed from PVA and nanoparticles. (A) PVA; (B) PVA-Ag and (C) PVA-Se nanocomposites.	73
(17)	Nanocomposite films formed from CS and nanoparticles. (A) CS; (B) CS-Ag and (C) CS-Se nanocomposites.	74

(18)	TGA analysis curve of PVA against PVA nanocomposite. A: PVA vs. PVA-Ag and B: PVA vs. PVA-Se.	76
(19)	TGA analysis curve of CS against CS nanocomposite. A: CS vs. CS-Ag and B: CS vs. CS-Se.	77
(20)	EDX analysis of PVA nanocomposites. A: PVA-Ag and B: PVA-Se.	79
(21)	EDX analysis of CS nanocomposites. A: CS-Ag and B: CS-Se.	80
(22)	Antibacterial activity of AgNPs and SeNPs synthesized by bacterial isolates against MDR bacteria. A: <i>S.a.</i> 2, B: <i>E.c.</i> 1, C: <i>K.p.</i> 1 and D: <i>K.p.</i> 3. (1: AgNPs; 2: AgNO ₃ ; 3: SeNPs and 4: Na ₂ SeO ₃).	84
(23)	Antibacterial activity of pure polymer and nanocomposites against MDR bacteria. A: control plate; B: <i>K.p.</i> 2; C: <i>S.a.</i> 1 and D: <i>S.a.</i> 2. (1: CS-Se; 2: CS; 3: CS-Ag; 4: PVA-Se; 5: PVA and 6: PVA-Ag).	88
(24)	Antibacterial activity of PVA and PVA-Ag nanocomposite against MDR bacteria. A: control plate; B: <i>E.c.</i> 1; C: <i>S.a.</i> 3; D: <i>E.c.</i> 2 and E: <i>K.p.</i> 4. (1: PVA and 2: PVA-Ag).	89
(25)	Antibacterial activity of CS and CS-Ag nanocomposite against MDR bacteria. A: control plate; B: <i>S.e.</i> 1; C: <i>S.a.</i> 3 and D: <i>S.a.</i> 2. (1: CS and 2:	90

	CS-Ag).	
(26)	Antibacterial activity of AgNPs discs and CS-Ag nanocomposite against MDR bacteria. A: control plate; B: <i>S.e.</i> 1 and C: <i>E.c.</i> 2 (1: AgNPs and 2: CS-Ag).	91
(27)	Antibacterial activity of AgNPs discs and PVA-Ag nanocomposite against MDR bacteria. A: control plate; B: <i>S.a.</i> 2 and C: <i>E.c.</i> 2. (1: AgNPs and 2: CS-Ag).	92
(28)	Antibiofilm activity of nanoparticles and nanocomposite showing biofilm inhibition percentage, results calculated are means $\pm$ SD statistical significance was recorded at $p < 0.05$, control vs. treated.	95
(29)	Cytotoxic effect of biogenic AgNPs, SeNPs, PVA and CS nanocomposites against human liver cell line (Huh-7) using MTT assay. Results expressed as mean $\pm$ SD ($P < 0.05$ vs. control).	97