

Construction of an Auditory Bombardment Therapy Program for the Egyptian Arabic Speaking children with Functional Speech Sound Disorders

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of Master Degree in **Phoniatrics**

By

Mona Mosaad Mahmoud Ahmed

M.B., B.Ch.

Resident of Phoniatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Nahla Abd-ElAziz Rifaie

Professor of Phoniatrics and Head of Phoniatrics Unit Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Dina Ahmed Elrefaie

Lecturer of Phoniatrics
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University 2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and profound gratitude to Prof. Dr. Nahla Abd-ElAziz Rifaie, Professor of Phoniatrics and head of Phoniatrics unit, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her support and efforts to this work and whatever has been said is little to express my thanks.

I would also like to thank Dr. Dina Ahmed Elrefaie, Lecturer of Phoniatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her valuable advice, her help and support.

I am also thankful for all **staff members** and all my **colleagues** in the phoniatrics unit in Ain Shams University, for their help and support.

Endless thanks for my great family, my husband (the mate of my journey), my lovely daughter "Talia" and my friends for their love and support.

Finally, very special thanks and love to my **parents** who always encourage me the most throughout my life and support me reaching my dreams.

Thank you all, Mona

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviation	1s5
List of Tables	6
List of Figures	12
Introduction	1 -
Aim of the Work	17
Review of Literatur	re
Chapter (1):	Speech Sound Production and its Disorders 18
Chapter (2):	Assessment of Speech Sound Disorders32
Chapter (3):	Phonological Approaches to Correct Errors of Speech Sound Production40
Subjects and Metho	ods64
Results	70
Discussion	122
Summary	127
Conclusion and Red	commendations131
References	132
Appendix	148
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ASHA	American Speech-Language-Hearing Association
MATPP	The Mansoura Arabic test for phonological processes
MLR	Mean length of response
MLU	Mean length of utterance
PACT	Parents and children together
PCC	Percentage of Consonants Correct
<i>S</i>	Strong
SSD	Speech sound disorders
W	Weak

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table 1:	The demographic data of group (1) and g (2) regarding chronological age, IQ, lang	guage	71
Table 2:	Comparison between group (1) and grou regarding the results of the articulation before receiving the therapy	p (2) test	71
Table 3:	Comparison between group (1) and grou regarding the results of the articulation after receiving therapy	p (2) test	
Table 4:	Comparison between the results of articulation test of group (1) before and receiving conventional therapy	the after	
Table 5:	Comparison between the results of articulation test of group (2) before and receiving conventional therapy and aud bombardment	the after litory	
Table 6:	Comparison between the differences of g (1) and group (2) regarding the articulation results	group n test	
Table 7:	Comparison between group (1) and grou in consonant assimilation processes regatest for identification for phonological processes and the comparison between group (1) and group in consonant assimilation processes regardless for identification for phonological processes and the consonant assimilation processes are consonant assimilation processes and the consonant assimilation processes are consonant assimilation processes and the consonant assimilation processes are consonant assimilation are consonant assimilation processes are consonant assimilation are consonant assimilation are consonant assimilation are consonant assimilation are consonant as a consonant are consonant are consonant as a consonant are consonant as a consonant are consonant as a consonant are consonant are consonant as a consonant are consona	p (2) rding	
Table 8:	processes before receiving the therapy	p (2) rding ogical	

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table 9:	Comparison between the results of cons assimilation processes regarding test identification for phonological process group (1) before and after receiving conventional therapy	t for es of g the	86
Table 10:	Comparison between the results of cons assimilation processes regarding test identification for phonological process group (2) before and after receiving conventional therapy and authombardment	t for es of the ditory	88
Table 11:	Comparison between the difference consonant assimilation processes regarding for identification for phonological process	es in ng test ses of	89
Table 12:	group (1) and group (2)	up (2) for pefore	
Table 13:	receiving the therapy	up (2) for after	
Table 14:	receiving the therapy	oicing n for e and	
Table 15:	Comparison between the results of vertical change regarding test for identification phonological processes of group (2) before after receiving the conventional therapy auditory bombardment	oicing n for e and y and	

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table 16:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in voicing change reg test for identification for phono processes	arding logical	96
Table 17:	Comparison between group (1) and gro in syllable-structure processes regarding for identification for phonological pro- before receiving the therapy	g test cesses	98
Table 18:	Comparison between group (1) and gro in syllable-structure processes regarding for identification for phonological pro- after receiving the therapy	g test cesses	99
Table 19:	Comparison between the results of systructure processes regarding test identification for phonological processes group (1) before and after receiving conventional therapy	for es for g the	100
Table 20:	Comparison between the results of systructure processes regarding test identification for phonological processes group (2) before and after receiving conventional therapy and authombardment	for for g the ditory	101
Table 21:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in syllable-str processes regarding Test for identificati phonological processes	ucture on for	102

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table 22:	Comparison between group (1) and groin substitution processes regarding to identification for phonological processes receiving the therapy	est for before	104
Table 23:	Comparison between group (1) and groin substitution processes regarding to identification for phonological processe receiving the therapy	est for s after	105
Table 24:	Comparison between the results of subst processes regarding test for identificati phonological processes for group (1) before after receiving the conventional therapy	on for ore and	106
Table 25:	Comparison between the results of subst processes regarding test for identificati phonological processes for group (2) before after receiving the conventional therap auditory bombardment	on for ore and oy and	107
Table 26:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in substitution proregarding test for identification phonological processes	cesses	108
Table 27:	Comparison between group (1) and groin unusual processes regarding testidentification for phonological processes receiving the therapy	st for before	110

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table 28:	Comparison between group (1) and grouin unusual processes regarding testidentification for phonological processes receiving the therapy	t for after	111
Table 29:	Comparison between the results of un processes regarding test for identification phonological processes for group (1) before after receiving the conventional therapy	on for re and	112
Table 30:	Comparison between the results of un processes regarding test for identification phonological processes for group (2) before after receiving the conventional therapy auditory bombardment	on for re and y and	113
Table 31:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in unusual processer for identification phonological processes	cesses	114
Table 32:	Comparison between group (1) and ground in total scores of phonological processes before receiving therapy	cesses for g the	116
Table 33:	Comparison between group (1) and ground in total scores of phonological processer after receiving therapy	for the	117

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 34:	Comparison between the results of total of phonological processes regarding te identification for phonological processes group (1) before and after receivin conventional therapy	st for es for g the
Table 35:	Comparison between the results of total of phonological processes regarding te identification for phonological processe group (2) before and after receivin conventional therapy and au bombardment	st for es for g the ditory
Table 36:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in total scores of phonol processes regarding test for identification phonological processes	logical on for

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Causes of speech sound disorders	22
Figure 2:	The place of articulation for bilabial, alvand palatal-velar stops and nasals	
Figure 3:	The place of articulation for the frical libiodental, linguadental, alveolar and pal	
Figure 4:	Onset-rhyme syllable tree	53
Figure 5:	Mora syllable tree	54
Figure 6:	The demographic data of group (1) and (2) regarding chronological age and lau age	ngage
Figure 7:	The demographic data of group (1) and (2) regarding IQ.	
Figure 8:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) regarding the articutest results	lation
Figure 9:	Comparison between the total different group (1) and group (2) regarding articulation test results	g the
Figure 10:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in consonant assimi processes regarding test for identification phonological processes	lation on for
Figure 11:	Comparison between the total different group (1) and group (2) in consummers assimilation processes regarding testidentification for phonological processes.	onant t for

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 12:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in voicing change reg test for identification for phono processes	arding logical
Figure 13:	Comparison between the total differe group (1) and group (2) in voicing or regarding test for identification phonological processes.	change for
Figure 14:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in syllable-str processes regarding test for identification phonological processes	ucture on for
Figure 15:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in substitution proregarding test for identification phonological processes	cesses for
Figure 16:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in unusual proregarding test for identification phonological processes	cesses for
Figure 17:	Comparison between the differences of (1) and group (2) in total scor phonological processes regarding te identification for phonological processes	es of st for

ABSTRACT

Speech sound disorder is a communication disorder in which children have persistent difficulty saying words or sounds correctly. Speech sound production describes the clear articulation of the phonemes (individual sounds) that make up spoken words. It requires both the phonological knowledge of speech sounds and the ability to coordinate the jaw, tongue, and lips with breathing and vocalizing in order to produce speech sounds. Children with speech sound disorder may have difficulty with the phonological knowledge of speech sounds or the ability to coordinate the movements necessary for speech. These communication difficulties can result in a limited ability to effectively participate in social, academic, or occupational environments.

The aim of this study is to construct an Arabic auditory bombardment therapy program and measure its effectiveness in treatment of functional speech sound disorders.

This study was applied on 60 participants diagnosed with functional speech sound disorder without language disorders or with mild delayed language development who were chosen according to inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria was that they should range in age from 3-5 years presented with functional speech sound disorder without language disorders or with mild degree of delayed language development, with average IQ and without any organic causes of speech sound disorders (motor/neurological, structural, or sensory/perceptual causes) and exclusion criteria were illiterate or non-cooperative family and care givers with speech sound disorders. The participants were divided into 2 equal groups. Both groups were subjected to the assessment protocol. After assessment, both groups received the conventional therapy for 3 months but only group (2) received the constructed auditory bombardment therapy program.

Keywords: Auditory Bombardment Therapy Program, Egyptian Arabic Speaking children, Functional Speech Sound Disorders



Introduction

C peech sound disorder is a communication disorder in which children have persistent difficulty saying words or sounds correctly. Speech sound production describes the clear articulation of the phonemes (individual sounds) that make up spoken words. It requires both the phonological knowledge of speech sounds and the ability to coordinate the jaw, tongue, and lips with breathing and vocalizing in order to produce speech sounds. Children with speech sound disorder may have difficulty with the phonological knowledge of speech sounds or the ability to coordinate the movements necessary for speech. These communication difficulties can result in a limited ability to effectively participate in social, academic, or occupational environments (Martin, 2014).

speech Children with sound disorders (SSDs) delayed acquisition of demonstrate developmentally appropriate speech sounds, resulting in reduced intelligibility of their speech. Idiopathic SSDs are not caused by known etiological factors, such as cleft palate, hearing loss, craniofacial or dental abnormalities. Instead, SSDs are hypothesized to reflect a central cognitive and neurological deficiency in how phonemes are represented and organized within the language system (Fey, 1992).

Speech sound disorders refer to any difficulty or combination of difficulties with perception, motor production,