سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الحامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Caro-

سامية محمد مصطفي



شبكة العلومات الحامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





سامية محمد مصطفى

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسو

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار



سامية محمد مصطفي



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



المسلمة عين شعور المسلمة عين شعور المسلمة عين شعور المسلمة عين شعور المسلمة ا

سامية محمد مصطفى

شبكة المعلومات الحامعية



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF FLUORIDE
APPLICATION ON DEMINERALIZATION OF TEETH
AFTER DIFFERENT STRIPPING TECHNIQUE

THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Alexandria University in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of Master

in

ORTHODONTICS

By

MAHA ALI EL-DOMIATY

(B. D. S., 1989)

FACULTY OF DENTISTRY
ALEXANDRIA UNIVERSITY
1999

B

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. HASSAN ISMAIL ABDALLA

Professor
Orthodontic Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. SALWA ABD EL-SAMAD YOUNES

Professor
Oral Biology Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Dr. WALID ALY EL-KINANY

Lecturer
Orthodontic Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Dr. MOHAMED MOHAMED TAMISH

Lecturer
Geology Department
Faculty of Science
Alexandria University

Acknowledgement

I am delighted to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to Professor Dr. Hassan Ismail, Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Denistry, Alexandria University, for his close supervision and valuable advice.

I wish also to express my grateful thanks to **Dr. Walid El-Kinany**, Lecturer of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his true advice, meticulous supervision and continuous guidance.

My deepest thanks and grateful appreciation are extended o Professor Dr. Salwa Younes, Chairman of the Oral Biology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for her supervision, sincerest help and understanding.

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude, heartfelt thanks and grateful appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Tamish**, Lecturer of Geology, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, for his close supervision, kind cooperation and great assistance throughout the course of this work.

I would like also to express my thanks to all members of the Orthodontic Department and Oral Biology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for their continuous guidance, help and support.

To my Dearest Parents

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Previous Work	2
1.3 Enamel Stripping Techniques	6
1.3.1 Air-Rotor Stripping (ARS)	7
1.3.2 Manual or Conventional Stripping (MS)	8
1.3.3 Chemical Stripping	8
1.4 Enamel Structure	9
1.5 Characterization of Human Enamel	15
1.5.1 Microscopic Methods	15
1.5.2 Analytical Methods	16
CHAPTER 2 AIM OF THE WORK	20
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	21
3.1 Sampling Collection and Storage	21
3.2 Grouping of Samples	22
3.3 Preparation of Samples	24
3.4 Stripping Techniques	26
3.4.1 Air-Rotor Stripping Technique (ARS)	26 31
3.4.2 Manual Stripping Technique (MS)3.4.3 Storage of Stripped Samples	31
3.5 Treatment Solutions	35
3.5.1 Artificial Saliva Solution (ASS)	35
3.5.2 Artificial Caries Solution (ACS)	35
3.5.3 Dental Paste Containing Fluoride (DPCF)	35
3.5.4 Stannous Fluoride Solution (SFS)	36
3.6 Samples Treatment	36
3.6.1 No Treatment Subgroups	36

		Page
3.6.2 1	OPCF-Treated Subgroups	38
3.6.3	SFS-Treated Subgroups	38
3.7	Samples Preparation for Caries Measurements	39
3.7.1	Microscopic Determination of Caries Depth	43
3.7.2	Infrared Spectral Analysis	43
СНА	PTER 4 RESULTS	54
4.1	Microscopic Examination of Caries Enamel	54
	Infrared Spectra of Caries-like Lesions	65
4.3	Effects of Stripping Techniques on Enamel Surfaces	67
4.4	Effects of Fluoride Treatments on the Stripped Enamel	
	Surfaces	77
4.4.1	Air-Rotor Stripping Subgroups (7 days incubation)	77
	Manual Stripping Subgroups (7 days incubation)	78
4.4.3	Air-Rotor Stripping Subgroups (14 days incubation)	82
4.4.4	Manual Stripping Subgroups (14 days incubation)	85
4.5	Effects of Different Stripping Techniques and Fluoride	
•	Treatments on Enamel Surfaces	87
4.6	Demineralization Rate	93
СНА	PTER 5 DISCUSSION	99
СНА	PTER 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	113
REFI	ERENCES	115
PRO	TOCOL	
ARA	BIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

	T. T	Page
Table	1: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAN7, airrotor stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with no treatment).	68
Table	2: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMN7, manual stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with no treatment).	68
Table	3: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAD7, airrotor stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with dental paste treatment).	70
Table	4: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between ntact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMD7, manual stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with dental paste treatment).	70
	5: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAS7, airrotor stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with stannous fluoride treatment).	71
Table	6: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMS7, manual stripping, 7 days incubation in the ACS with stannous fluoride treatment).	7.1
	7: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAN14, airrotor stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with no treatment).	73

Table	8: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMN14, manual stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with	
	no treatment).	73
Table	9: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAD14, airrotor stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with dental paste treatment).	75
Table	10: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMD14, manual stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with dental paste treatment).	75
Table	11: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IAS14, airrotor stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with stannous fluoride treatment).	76
Table	12: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between intact and stripped enamel surfaces (subgroup: IIMS14, manual stripping, 14 days incubation in the ACS with stannous fluoride treatment).	76
Table	13: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between stripped surfaces of air-rotor stripping subgroups (7 days incubation).	79
Table	14: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between stripped surfaces of manual stripping subgroups (7 days incubation).	79
Table	15: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between stripped surfaces of air-rotor stripping subgroups (14 days incubation).	83
	16: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements between stripped surfaces of manual stripping subgroups (14 days incubation).	83

Table 17: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements in stripped surfaces between air-rotor and manual stripping subgroups (7 days incubation).	89
Table 18: Comparison of caries-like lesion measurements in stripped surfaces between air-rotor and manual stripping subgroups (14 days incubation).	90
Table 19: Comparison of caries measurements in the air-rotor stripped surfaces between 7 days and 14 days incubation subgroups.	94
Table 20: Comparison of caries measurements in the manually stripped surfaces between 7 days and 14 days incubation subgroups.	95
1	. ,
	:

*

v

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1: Flow chart showing the main stripped teeth groups and the fluoride application program used in the present study.	23
Fig. 2: The Gel FRESHDENT toothpaste.	25
Fig. 3: A tooth sample mounted by pink wax in the acrylic block.	25
Fig. 4: The acrylic block inside the isolated transparent box.	27
Fig. 5: An electronic digital caliper, Semiec.	27
Fig. 6: Measurement of sample tooth diameter.	28
Fig. 7: The burs used in the ARS.	29
Fig. 8: Air-rotor stripping technique.	30
Fig. 9: Stainless steel abrasive strips.	32
Fig. 10: A copper holder with an abrasive strip and a twister key.	32
Fig. 11: Manual-stripping technique (top view).	33
Fig. 12: A labelled plastic bag containing a stripped tooth sample.	34
Fig. 13: The pocket-sized pH meter.	37
Fig. 14: The teeth samples immersed in the ACS and placed in the incubator.	40
Fig. 15: Determination of the pH of the ACS after incubation of 24 hours.	41
Fig. 16: Dissection of a tooth sample into buccal and lingual halves	. 42
Fig. 17: Extraction of the carious enamel using a diamond bur.	45
Fig. 18: Sartorius research balance showing the accurate weight of caries enamel powder.	46