



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Structural Engineering

# **Study the Structural Behavior of Turbo Generator Foundation Connected with Passive Supplemental Devices**

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of  
Master of Science in Civil Engineering  
(Structural Engineering)

By

**Mohamed Adel Mohamed Ahmed**

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan Ghallab**

Dept. of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University

**Assistant. Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Said Alzeni**

Dept. of Structural Engineering, Alexandria University

**Cairo - (2019)**

# ABSTRACT

The study of the dynamic properties of Turbo-Generator foundation with and without using isolators and supplemental damping devices are considered in this thesis using both basic principles calculation method and finite element method. The high vibrations of machines especially Turbo-Generators machines leads to use massive masses of foundations to absorb the dynamic loads generated by machines. The work in this thesis investigates the effect of using isolators and passive supplemental damping devices in accommodate these big amount of dynamic loads, also investigates how it could lead to change the dynamic responses of Turbo-Generator foundations to be in the safe frequency range.

First the basic principles computation method is performed to accurately determine how isolators could lead to decrease the dynamic loads generated by machines and how it could lead to control the transmitted dynamic loads to the foundation, and the results shows that isolators could resist up to 90% of dynamic loads generated by T.G machines, which ensure that isolators have a significant effect in decreasing the amount of dynamic loads. And this basic principle computation is validated by using the finite element analysis method to compare the results which shows a similar results.

This is followed by study the dynamic response of Turbo-Generator foundation with two approaches: (1) by applying the dynamic forces at the Turbo-Generator machine foundation without using damping devices to evaluate the dynamic response of the foundation. (2) by applying the dynamic forces at the Turbo-Generator machine foundation with using damping devices inserted under the top deck of machine foundation and above the columns to study its effect on the critical dynamic response of the structure to machine dynamic loads.

A detailed finite element analysis model of the Turbo generator foundation is constructed by using SAP2000 program to perform a detailed comparison study between using supplemental damping devices and without using supplemental damping devices, and the damping device used in this study is lead rubber bearing (LRB) according to its high effect in dissipating the dynamic loads. And a large study of the mechanism of lead rubber bearing dampers is presented in this thesis.

The results shows the significant effect of using passive supplemental damping devices in T.G foundation not only in the dynamic properties of foundation but also it could lead to decrease the masses of top deck of the machine foundation by 20% or more with acceptable dynamic responses compared with foundations without damping devices. Which is a proof of the high significant of damping devices specially lead rubber bearings in decreasing the construction cost for Turbo-generator machine foundations with good dynamic behavior and reasonable masses compared with foundations without supplemental damping devices.

Keywords: Structural Dynamic Analysis, Concrete structure, Machine Foundations, Turbo Generators foundations, Passive supplemental damping devices, Lead Rubber Bearings, Isolators, Finite Element Modelling, dynamic response.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*This thesis is based on the research work carried out at Ain Shams University in the Department of Civil, Structural Engineering, I owe my deepest appreciation and truthful gratitude to Professor Dr. Ahmed Hassan Ghallab, Professor of concrete structure, for his scientific supervision. I am really sincerely and profoundly indebted to him for his priceless guidance, innovative ideas, endless support and immense knowledge during all stages of this work. I am heartily grateful to his indispensable opinion, real interest, invaluable advices, trust, caring, eminent guidance, and untiring help throughout the whole work. I truly thank him for his great efforts which allowed this thesis to appear in its final form.*

*I owe my truthful gratitude to Dr. Yasser Elzeni, Assistant Professor of structure engineering, for his encouragement and support, help, valuable assistance and encouragement. My cordial gratitude extends to him for his guidance and assistance all throughout the time spent in this thesis work.*

*I acknowledge with thankfulness Dr. K.G. Bhatia for his fully support during my work in this thesis and his fast response and feedback for any question I sent to him.*

*Finally, I am profoundly indebted to my father and family for their unconditional love and aid, endless patience, understanding, encouragement and full support all throughout the whole long way.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF TABELS .....</b>	<b>XIII</b>
<b>LIST OF CHARTS.....</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Objective and Scope of Work.....	2
1.3 Thesis Contents.....	3
<b>2. Literature Review on Turbo-Generator Machine Foundations .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 System Description .....	5
2.1.1 Types of turbo generator machines and Foundations .....	6
2.1.1.1 Machines .....	6
2.1.1.2 Foundations .....	7
2.2 Loads and Design Parameters of T.G Foundation System .....	10
2.2.1 Design of Turbo-Generator Machine Parameters .....	10
2.2.2 Design of Turbo-Generator Foundation Parameters .....	22
2.3 Methods of Analysis and Modelling For Turbo-Generator Foundation .....	38
2.4 Vibration Isolation and Types of Damping Systems .....	47

2.5 Analysis of system using passive dampers and factors affecting it .....	57
2.5.1 Sizing of inertia block .....	57
2.5.2 Selection of Isolators .....	58
2.5.3 Location of Isolators .....	58
2.5.4 Dynamic analysis .....	58
2.5.5 Strength analysis of Inertia block .....	59
2.5.6 Stiffness of support structure .....	59
2.6 Further works and Points need more study .....	59
<b>3. Dynamic Analysis of T.G Foundation by Using both Basic Principles Computation Method and FEM Method .....</b>	<b>60</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	60
3.2 Machine Data .....	60
3.3 Design of T.G Foundation .....	67
3.3.1 Sizing of Foundation .....	67
3.3.2 Top Deck Eccentricity .....	67
3.3.3 Frame Masses .....	68
3.3.4 Dynamic Analysis .....	71
3.4 VALIDATION USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS (SAP2000) .....	76
3.4.1 Mathematical Model .....	77
3.4.2 Material Properties for Dynamic Model .....	77
3.4.3 Geometry .....	78
3.4.4 Load Definitions .....	82

3.4.5 Time History Function Definition .....	87
3.4.6 Dynamic Load Cases .....	88
3.4.7 Mass Source Definition .....	89
3.4.8 Load Combinations Generation .....	89
3.4.9 Free Vibration Analysis .....	90
<b>4. The Isolation Effect on Turbo-Generator Frame Foundation .....</b>	<b>95</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	95
4.2 Principle of Isolation .....	96
4.3 Definition of Transmissibility Ratio .....	96
4.4 Isolation Efficiency .....	97
4.5 Isolation Requirements .....	98
4.6 Isolator Selection .....	99
4.7 Study the behavior of T.G foundation using vibration Isolation system .....	100
4.8 Finite Element Analysis .....	109
4.8.1 Maximum Velocities at Foundation top deck along X and Z .....	110
4.8.2 Maximum Amplitudes at Foundation top deck along X and Z .....	110
<b>5. Types of Passive Damping Devices and Its Effect on dynamic response of TG</b>	
<b>Foundation using Finite element model .....</b>	<b>111</b>
5.1 Types of base Isolation .....	111
5.1.1 Pure friction base isolation .....	111
5.1.2 Laminated Rubber Bearing .....	112
5.1.3 Resilient-friction base isolator (R-FBI).....	112

5.1.4 Electric de France system (EDF) .....	113
5.1.5 Lead Rubber Bearings (LRB) .....	113
5.1.6 Friction Pendulum Bearings (LRB) .....	114
5.2 Description of Lead Rubber Bearing (LRB) Isolation System .....	115
5.3 Dynamic response for Turbo Generator Foundation with and without supplemental passive damping devices using FE model .....	120
5.3.1 Design Limits and Checks without Using Damping Devices .....	120
5.3.2 Design Limits and Checks with using Passive Damping Devices .....	124
5.4 Comparison between dynamic responses with/without LRB .....	131
5.4.1 Time/Frequency without using LRB .....	131
5.4.2 Time/Frequency with using LRB .....	131
5.4.3 Velocity comparison with/without using LRB .....	132
5.4.4 Displacement comparison with/without using LRB .....	132
5.5 Study the Dynamic Response of T.G Foundation after Decreasing Top Deck Mass using Lead Rubber Bearings .....	133
5.5.1 Mode shapes and frequencies .....	133
5.5.2 Frequency Range Check .....	134
5.5.3 Time/Frequency Chart with using LRB after reducing 20% of top deck .....	135
5.5.4 Velocity Check after reducing 20% of top deck .....	136
5.5.5 Displacement Check after reducing 20% of top deck .....	136
5.6 Comparison between T.G table top foundation cost with/without using LRB .....	137
<b>6. Summary and Conclusion .....</b>	<b>139</b>
6.1 Summary .....	139
6.2 Conclusion .....	140
<b>7. LIST OF REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>8. APPENDIX .....</b>	<b>144</b>

## LIST OF FIGUERS

Figure 2.1	Machine foundation system qualification subjected to dynamic loads .....	5
Figure 2.2	A typical machine foundation system .....	6
Figure 2.3	Types of machine foundation .....	7
Figure 2.4	Frame foundation with and without Dampers (Isolators).....	7
Figure 2.5	Typical Rotating Machine .....	11
Figure 2.6	Rotor eccentricity e in microns vs. Rotor speed for balance quality grades G <sub>2.5</sub> and G <sub>6.3</sub> .....	13
Figure 2.7	Unbalance force of rotor .....	14
Figure 2.8	Machine with two rotor – Unbalance forces out of phase .....	15
Figure 2.9	Unbalance Force During Start-up, Steady-State & Shut-Down for Rotor Eccentricity 50 Microns - Max Machine Speed 50 Hz .....	17
Figure 2.10	Vibration limits for rotary machines .....	21
Figure 2.11	A Typical Frame Machine Foundation .....	22
Figure 2.12	Magnification factor vs. Frequency ratio for various values of damping $\pm 20\%$ Frequency Margin Region .....	26
Figure 2.13	Foundation tuning .....	27
Figure 2.14	Typical frame with haunches .....	30
Figure 2.15	Typical portal frame supporting machine mass at beam center .....	31
Figure 2.16	Modeling of Machine with Foundation .....	39

Figure 2.17	Various Methods of Soil Representation for FE .....	41
Figure 2.18	Frame foundation – Solid Element Model & Shell Beam Model .....	42
Figure 2.19	transient response amplitudes for typical TG machine .....	46
Figure 2.20	Transmissibility ratio vs. Frequency ratio for various values of damping .....	48
Figure 2.21	Isolation efficiency $\eta$ vs. Frequency ratio $\beta$ for different values of damping ratio $\zeta$ .....	49
Figure 2.22	Isolation efficiency $\eta$ above 80% vs. Frequency ratio $\beta > 2$ .....	50
Figure 2.23	Natural frequency of isolation $\omega_{ni}$ vs. Static deflection of isolation $\delta$ .....	50
Figure 2.24	Vibration isolation – Active type .....	51
Figure 2.25	Vibration isolation – Passive type .....	52
Figure 2.26	Natural Rubber Bearing (NRB) .....	54
Figure 2.27	Lead Rubber Bearing (LRB) .....	55
Figure 2.28	Flat Friction pendulum section cut .....	55
Figure 2.29	Curved/Spherical Friction pendulum section cut .....	56
Figure 2.30	Sheet/pad Type isolator under Inertia Block .....	58
Figure 3.1	Typical Frame showing mathematical model for lateral vibration .....	68
Figure 3.2	Typical Frame Showing Mathematical Model for Vertical Vibration .....	71
Figure 3.3	SHELL-BEAM ELEMENT METHOD .....	76
Figure 3.4	SHELL-BEAM ELEMENT METHOD (EXTRUDED VIEW).....	76
Figure 3.5	Material Properties definition .....	77
Figure 3.6	Top-Deck shell element definition .....	78

Figure 3.7	Columns and Beam local coordinates system and Definitions .....	79
Figure 3.8	Rigid Link Element Definition .....	80
Figure 3.9	Restraints simulation and Definitions .....	81
Figure 3.10	T.G Elevation, Side and Plan 3D view in SAP2000 model .....	81
Figure 3.11	Load pattern definition .....	82
Figure 3.12	Assigned Equipment Own-Weight at the mass points .....	82
Figure 3.13	Assigned unbalance vertical load in ton at point W1 – Load pattern W1 (V) .....	83
Figure 3.14	Assigned unbalance Horizontal load in ton at point W1–Load pattern W1(H) ....	83
Figure 3.15	Assigned unbalance vertical load in ton at point W2 – Load pattern W2(V) .....	84
Figure 3.16	Assigned unbalance Horizontal load in ton at point W2–Load pattern W2(H) ....	84
Figure 3.17	Assigned unbalance vertical load in ton at point W3–Load pattern W3 (V).....	85
Figure 3.18	Assigned unbalance Horizontal load in ton at point W3–Load pattern W3(H) ...	85
Figure 3.19	Assigned unbalance vertical load in ton at point W3–Load pattern W4 (V).....	86
Figure 3.20	Assigned unbalance Horizontal load in ton at point W4–Load pattern W4 (H) ...	86
Figure 3.21	Time History Function definition of 2.5 Hz Frequency .....	87
Figure 3.22	Mass Source Definition .....	89
Figure 3.23	Mode 1 of T.G foundation - 2.664 Hz .....	90
Figure 3.24	Mode 2 of T.G foundation - 2.731 Hz .....	90
Figure 3.25	Mode 3 of T.G foundation – 3.47 Hz .....	91
Figure 3.26	Mode 4 of T.G foundation – 23.957 Hz .....	91

Figure 3.27	Mode 5 of T.G foundation – 30.67 Hz .....	92
Figure 3.28	Mode 6 of T.G foundation – 31.70 Hz .....	92
Figure 3.29	Mode 7 of T.G foundation – 37.49 Hz .....	93
Figure 3.30	Mode 8 of T.G foundation – 38.71 Hz .....	93
Figure 4.1	Transmissibility Ratio TR VS. Frequency Ratio $\beta$ .....	97
Figure 4.2	Isolation Efficiency $\eta$ vs. Frequency Ratio $\beta$ for different Damping Values $\zeta$ ....	98
Figure 4.3	Isolation Efficiency $\eta > 80\%$ vs. Frequency Ratio $\beta > 2$ .....	98
Figure 4.4	Isolator system frequency vs. static deflection of isolator .....	98
Figure 4.5	Machine mass points and coordinates with respect to origin .....	100
Figure 4.6	Isolation number and location .....	106
Figure 4.7	Simulating Isolators In sap model .....	110
Figure 5.1	Schematic Diagram of pure friction base isolator .....	111
Figure 5.2	Schematic Diagram of Laminated Rubber Bearing system .....	112
Figure 5.3	Schematic Diagram of Resilient-friction base isolator .....	112
Figure 5.4	Schematic Diagram of Electric de France system .....	113
Figure 5.5	Schematic Diagram of Lead Rubber Bearings .....	113
Figure 5.6	Schematic Diagram of Friction Pendulum Bearing .....	114
Figure 5.7	Real Section Through an Elastomeric Bearing .....	115
Figure 5.8	Construction Details for a Lead-Rubber Bearing .....	116
Figure 5.9	Construction of an Elastomeric Bearing .....	117

Figure 5.10	Section Through a Rubber Bearing to Show Internal Construction .....	117
Figure 5.11	View of Lead Rubber Bearing during Large Lateral Deformation .....	118
Figure 5.12	Turbo Generator foundation system without using Damping devices .....	120
Figure 5.13	LRB Above columns locations .....	125
Figure 5.14	Simulating LRB In Sap2000 model .....	125
Figure 5.15	Define the stiffness for LRB in Sap2000 model .....	126
Figure 5.16	Insert the stiffness of $K_y$ of LRB in Sap model .....	126
Figure 5.17	Insert the stiffness of $K_x$ of LRB in Sap model.....	127
Figure 5.18	Insert the stiffness of $K_z$ of LRB in Sap model.....	127
Figure 5.19	T.G Foundation after decreasing Top Deck with 20% .....	137

## LIST OF TABELS

Table 2.1	Balance quality grades for rigid rotors .....	12
Table 2.2	Service factors for machine vibration limits.....	20
Table 2.3	Properties of concrete with respect to concrete grade .....	23
Table 2.4	Elastic modulus with respect to concrete grade .....	23
Table 2.5	Stress Properties for different Grades of Concrete .....	24
Table 2.6	Allowable stresses with respect to steel type .....	24
Table 2.7	Permissible amplitudes for rotary machines .....	28
Table 2.8	Load classifications for ultimate strength design .....	35
Table 2.9	Codal provision for design of turbo-generator machine foundation .....	36
Table 2.10	Isolation efficiency vs. Frequency ratio for different damping ratios .....	49
Table 2.11	Comparison of Passive and Active Vibration systems .....	53
Table 3.1	Validation Table shows Frame natural frequencies .....	94
Table 4.1	Joint Velocities - Absolute for T.G foundation with isolators .....	110
Table 5.1	Production of Elastomeric Bearings .....	118
Table 5.2	Joint Velocities - Absolute for T.G foundation without using LRB .....	121
Table 5.3	Joint Displacement - Absolute for T.G foundation without using LRB .....	121
Table 5.4	Modal Participating Mass Ratios for T.G foundation without using LRB for (case 1) .....	122
Table 5.5	Modal Participating Mass Ratios for T.G foundation without using LRB for (case 2) .....	123

Table 5.6	Modal Periods And Frequencies for T.G foundation without using LRB .....	123
Table 5.7	Joint Velocities - Absolute for T.G foundation with using LRB .....	128
Table 5.8	Joint Displacement - Absolute for T.G foundation with using LRB .....	128
Table 5.9	Modal Participating Mass Ratios for T.G foundation with using LRB (Case1).	129
Table 5.10	Modal Participating Mass Ratios for T.G foundation with using LRB (Case2).	130
Table 5.11	Modal Periods And Frequencies for T.G foundation with using LRB.....	130
Table 5.12	Modal Periods And Frequencies after reducing 20% of Top deck with using LRB .....	133
Table 5.13	Modal Participating Mass Ratios after reducing 20% of Top deck with using LRB for (case 1).....	134
Table 5.14	Modal Participating Mass Ratios after reducing 20% of Top deck with using LRB for (case 2).....	135
Table 5.15	Joint Velocities - Absolute after reducing 20% of Top deck with using LRB ..	136
Table 5.16	Joint Displacements - Absolute after reducing 20% of Top deck with using LRB .	136