



**Cairo University**  
**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine**



# **Trials for Preparation of Vaccine against *Campylobacter* Species in Chicken**

**A thesis submitted by**

**Amani El-Sayed Mahdi Marawan**

(BVSc, Mansoura University, 2005)

(MVSc, Zagazig University, 2008)

**For the degree of the (Ph.D.)**

**(Microbiology)**

**Under Supervision of**

**Heidy M. Shawky**

Prof. of Microbiology,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Cairo University.

**Ahmed S. Mohamed**

Prof. Microbiology,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Cairo University.

**Ahmed M. Ammar**

Emeritus Prof. of Microbiology,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Zagazig University.

**Attia A. El-Gedawy**

Chief Researcher of  
Microbiology, Animal Health  
Research Institute, Dokki, Giza.

**2019**

# Approval Sheet

This is to approve that thesis presented by

**Amani El-Sayed Mahdi Marawan**

For the degree of PhD. (Microbiology) has been approved by the examining committee.


**Supervisors:**

**Prof. Dr. Ashraf Awad Abdel**



Prof. of Microbiology  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Mushther University

**Prof. Dr. Jakeen Abdel Haleem El Jakee**



Emeritus Prof. of Microbiology  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Heidy Mohamed Shawky**



Professor of Microbiology  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Cairo University (Main supervisor)

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Samir Mohammed**



Professor of Microbiology  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Cairo University (Supervisor)

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Ammar**



Emeritus Prof. of Microbiology  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Zagazig University (Supervisor)

**Cairo University**

**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine**

**Department of Microbiology**

**Name:** Amani El-Sayed Mahdi Marawan

**Date of birth:** 01/02/1984

**Place of birth:** Egypt

**Specification:** Microbiology

**Title of thesis:** Trials for preparation of vaccine against *Campylobacter* species in chicken

**Supervisors:**

**Prof. Dr. Heidy Mohammed Shawky**

Professor of Microbiology, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Samir Mohammed**

Professor of Microbiology, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Ammar**

Professor of Microbiology, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University.

**Dr. Attia Abdullah El-Gedawy**

Chief Researcher of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza.

**Abstract:**

*Campylobacter* is a worldwide infection, which has been estimated as the most significant economic burden by EFSA and ECDC in 2016. It caused mainly by either *Campylobacter jejuni* or *Campylobacter coli*. *Campylobacter* species are one of the main cause of gastroenteritis for humans in developed and developing countries. The disease is endemic in Egypt and is a major cause of diarrhea in children. Good understanding of epidemiology and surveillance of *Campylobacter* will help in the elimination and prevention of it among animals and humans. Several intervention strategies have been implemented to reduce the intestinal colonization in chicken and vaccination measures is one of the effective control methods. The main goal of the present study was to develop a vaccine for reducing the intestinal burden of *Campylobacter* in chickens. To achieve this, 290 samples were collected from broiler flocks and slaughter market from Cairo governorate, Egypt. Vaccination of chickens with a prepared killed whole-cell vaccine was carried out. The *Campylobacter* specific IgG were measured in collected serum samples using ELISA assay. Also, *Campylobacter* count in the cecal content and serum antibody which have been measured. The greatest reduction in *C.jejuni* colonization was determined. Production of *Campylobacter* specific IgG antibodies as well as a marked decrease in *C.jejuni* colonization were recorded in chickens. In conclusion, we approved that the vaccination with CWC provides 73.3% protection of chickens from *C.jejuni* colonization.

**Keywords:** *Campylobacter jejuni*, colonization, chicken, vaccination, killed whole cell vaccine.

# *Dedication*

*I am indebted to my family who endured with me the hardships of long hours necessary for completing this work. I am so grateful to my mother, she supported me in the hard times. I would like to thank my father, my brother Mohammed and my sisters Sara and Marwa for their prolonged support. Finally, I hope that they will be happy and proud.*

# Acknowledgement

*In the name of **ALLAH**, the beneficent, the merciful. First and above all praise be to ALLAH, who has provided me this opportunity and granted me the ability to proceed successfully. This thesis appears in its current form due to the assistance and guidance of several people. I would therefore like to offer my sincere thanks to all of them.*

*Foremost, many thanks to my advisor **Dr. Heidy Mohammed Shawky**, Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for her generous supervision, endless support, immense knowledge, and continuous guidance which helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my thesis. Words could not express my deep appreciation to her for her everlasting effort and continuous cooperation to provide all facilities and to overcome the difficulties which met me during the course of this work,*

*I would like to thank **Dr. Ahmed Samir Mohammed**, Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for his support for continuous guidance, his valuable advice during publication and his efforts in improving the scientific scope of the thesis.*

*I wish to express my sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to my supervisor, **Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Ammar**, Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, for endless support and continuous advising and guidance.*

*I would like to thank **Dr. Attia Abdullah El-Gedawy** Chief Researcher of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, for his help during my practical work, kindness, valuable advice, and sincere cooperation and efforts to improve the scientific value of this thesis. I would never have been able to finish my dissertation without him for which I am extremely grateful.*

*Finally, I wish to extend my thanks to all my colleagues and my professors and to everyone who gave me a hand during this work,*

## LIST OF CONTENTS

| Title  | Page       |
|--|------------|
| <b>Introduction</b>                                      | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>Review of Literature</b>                              | <b>4</b>   |
| • History of <i>Campylobacter</i> .                      | <b>4</b>   |
| • Taxonomy of <i>Campylobacter</i> .                     | <b>5</b>   |
| • Isolation and identification of <i>Campylobacter</i> . | <b>6</b>   |
| • Genotypic Identification of <i>Campylobacter</i> .     | <b>11</b>  |
| • Molecular typing tools of <i>Campylobacter</i> .       | <b>13</b>  |
| • Transmission of <i>Campylobacter</i> .                 | <b>18</b>  |
| • <i>Campylobacter</i> in Chicken and farm animals.      | <b>22</b>  |
| • <i>Campylobacter</i> in human.                         | <b>26</b>  |
| • Virulence factors of <i>Campylobacter</i> .            | <b>32</b>  |
| • Control strategies against <i>Campylobacter</i> .      | <b>38</b>  |
| • Vaccination trials.                                    | <b>42</b>  |
| <b>Published paper</b>                                   | <b>48</b>  |
| <b>Discussion</b>  | <b>72</b>  |
| <b>Conclusion and Recommendations</b>                    | <b>79</b>  |
| <b>English Summary</b>                                   | <b>81</b>  |
| <b>References</b>  | <b>83</b>  |
| <b>Appendix</b>  | <b>126</b> |
| <b>Arabic Summary</b>                                    | <b>١</b>   |
| <b>المستخلص العربي</b>                                   |            |

## List OF TABLES

| Title   | Page |
|---|------|
| Biochemical tests used to identify <i>Campylobacters</i> .  | 9    |
| Advantages and disadvantages of phenotypic and genotypic methods for typing <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. in poultry. | 15   |
| Species within the genus <i>Campylobacter</i> and their clinical relevance to humans.                             | 30   |
| Oligonucleotide primers used for genus confirmation, species identification and virulence factors.                | 52   |
| Distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> species in different types of samples.                                       | 53   |
| Results of virulence genes characterization of some <i>C.jejuni</i> isolates.                                     | 56   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Title  | Page |
|--|------|
| Most important routes for human infection by <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> .   | 21   |
| Environmental reservoirs, routes of transmission, and clinical manifestations associated with <i>Campylobacter</i> species.                              | 21   |
| The molecular background of the <i>C.jejuni</i> induced autoimmune GBS.  | 29   |
| Flagellar structures and regulatory cascades of <i>C.jejuni</i> .  | 33   |
| Different strategies to counteract <i>Campylobacter</i> contamination in broilers.   | 39   |
| Agarose gel electrophoresis of conventional PCR for detection of 23S rRNA gene for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. (650-bp fragment) in examined samples.      | 55   |
| Agarose gel electrophoresis of conventional PCR for detection of mapA gene for <i>C.jejuni</i> . (589-bp fragment) in examined samples.                  | 55   |
| Phylogenetic tree of <i>flaA</i> gene sequences of <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> recovered from broiler chicken.   | 57   |
| Cecal colonization response following intramuscular immunization with CWC vaccine.   | 130  |
| Serum IgG antibody response in chicken intra muscularly immunized with CWC.  | 131  |
| Agarose gel electrophoresis of conventional PCR for detection of <i>flaA</i> gene for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. (855-bp fragment) in examined samples.   | 132  |
| Agarose gel electrophoresis of conventional PCR for detection of <i>virB11</i> gene for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. (494-bp fragment) in examined samples. | 132  |
| Agarose gel electrophoresis of conventional PCR for detection of <i>wlaN</i> gene for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. (672-bp fragment) in examined samples.   | 133  |
| Phylogenetic tree for the nucleotide sequence for <i>flaA</i> gene of the selected starins.  | 134  |
| The identity % of nucleotide sequence of the isolates in the current study.  | 134  |
| The acceptance letter of the published paper.  | 135  |
| Photo of the first page of the published paper.  | 135  |



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation        | Full Name  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>API</b>          | Analytical Profile Index   |
| <b>AFLP</b>         | Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism                                       |
| <b>BB</b>           | Bolton Broth   |
| <b>BSA</b>          | Bovine Serum Albumin   |
| <b>CEB</b>          | <i>Campylobacter</i> Enrichment Broth  |
| <b>CFU</b>          | Colony Forming Unit  |
| <b>CPS</b>          | Capsular Polysaccharide  |
| <b>CWC</b>          | <i>Campylobacter</i> Whole Cell  |
| <b>ECDC</b>         | European Centere for Disease Prevention and Control                          |
| <b>EFSA</b>         | European Food Safety Authority   |
| <b>EU</b>           | European Union   |
| <b>Fla</b>          | Flagellin Gene   |
| <b>GBS</b>          | Guillain Barre Syndrome  |
| <b>HL</b>           | Heat Labile  |
| <b>HRM</b>          | High Resolution Melting  |
| <b>HS</b>           | Heat Stable  |
| <b>IBD</b>          | Inflammatory Bowel Syndrome  |
| <b>MACPs/MCPs</b>   | Methyl Accepting Chemotaxis Proteins   |
| <b>MALDI-TOF/MS</b> | Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry |
| <b>mCCDA</b>        | Modified Charcoal Cefoperazone Deoxycholate                                  |
| <b>MLST</b>         | Multilocus Sequence Typing   |

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| <b>MOMP</b>   | Major Outer Membrane Protein             |
| <b>MPCR</b>   | Multiplex PCR                            |
| <b>ODN</b>    | Oligodeoxynucleotides                    |
| <b>PB</b>     | Preston Broth                            |
| <b>PBS</b>    | Phosphate Buffer Saline                  |
| <b>PCR</b>    | Polymerase Chain Reaction                |
| <b>PFGE</b>   | Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis          |
| <b>PNS</b>    | Peripheral Nervous System                |
| <b>RAPD</b>   | Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA         |
| <b>RFLP</b>   | Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism |
| <b>RT-PCR</b> | Real Time PCR                            |
| <b>SDA</b>    | Sabroud Dextrose Agar                    |
| <b>SECPs</b>  | Surface Exposed <i>C.jejuni</i> Proteins |
| <b>SNP</b>    | Single Nucleotide Polymorphism           |
| <b>SVR</b>    | Short Variable Region                    |
| <b>T3SS</b>   | Type III Secretion System                |
| <b>USDA</b>   | United states Department of Agriculture  |
| <b>VBNC</b>   | Viable But Non Culturable                |
| <b>VNTR</b>   | Variable Number of Tandem Repeats        |
| <b>WHO</b>    | World Health Organization                |

## **Chapter (1)**

### **Introduction**

## Introduction

*Campylobacter* species are Gram-negative spiral, rod-shaped, or curved bacteria with a single polar flagellum, bipolar flagella, or no flagellum, depending on the species (Man, 2011). *Campylobacter* species are non-spore-forming, are approximately 0.2 to 0.8 by 0.5 to 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and are chemoorganotrophs, which obtain their energy sources from amino acids or tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates (Vandamme *et al.*, 2005).

Genus *Campylobacter* belongs to the family *Campylobacteraceae*, the order *Campylobacterales*, the class *Epsilonproteobacteria*, and the phylum *Proteobacteria*. In 2010, the number of species reached to 32, while the number of subspecies remained as 13 subspecies (Euzéby, 2010), with no change since (Euzéby, 2014). The most common thermophilic species are; *C.jejuni*, *C.coli*, *C.lari*, and *C.upsaliensis*, particularly the first two species (EFSA, 2013).

*Campylobacters* have been known to be the cause of diseases in animals since 1909, but they have been generally recognized as a cause of human disease, only since about 1980. Public health services worldwide pay a great attention to *Campylobacter* spp. because these microorganisms are pathogenic to humans and commonly found in the gastrointestinal tracts of cattle, dogs, cats, and sheep, though poultry and pigs are the most common reservoirs (USDA 2013).

Domestic poultry (e.g., chickens, turkeys, ducks, and geese) and wild birds are frequently infected with thermophilic *Campylobacter* (Sahin *et al.*, 2002; Zhang and Sahin, 2013; Golz *et al.*, 2014). The prevalence rates of *Campylobacter*, especially in slaughter-age conventional broiler flocks, could reach as high as 100% on some farms worldwide.

Both *C.jejuni* and *C.coli* are well adapted to the avian host and reside mainly in the intestinal tract of birds. However, limited data suggest that *Campylobacter* colonization may be associated with disease production in poultry under certain conditions. For example, a very-recent study reported the production of intestinal inflammation and diarrhea in fast growing breeds of broiler chickens following experimental challenge (Humphrey *et al.*, 2014). In addition, vibronic hepatitis with

high morbidity and mortality associated with *Campylobacter* infection was reported in laying hens and ostriches (Stephens *et al.*, 1998 and Burch, 2005).

Campylobacteriosis is the most common zoonotic and bacterial foodborne disease in humans (Zendehbad *et al.*, 2015). Most *Campylobacter* infections are associated with consumption of contaminated or undercooked poultry and by-products that have been contaminated during processing (Hermans *et al.*, 2011; Wagenaar *et al.*, 2013). The number of human campylobacteriosis cases has been dramatically increased worldwide, surpassing the number of cases of salmonellosis and shigellosis (Cover *et al.*, 2014). Although the number of human cases of campylobacteriosis in the European Union (EU) decreased in 2012 for the first time in over a 5 years' period (EFSA, 2014).

The epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection in developed countries is totally different than in the developing world. Previously, *Campylobacter* infection is sporadic, the prevalence of asymptomatic infection is low, and marked seasonal variation is seen (Nichols *et al.*, 2012). While, *Campylobacter* is endemic in developed countries, asymptomatic infections that are usually limited to children are common and seasonality are less prominent or even absent (Taniuchi *et al.*, 2013 and Lee *et al.*, 2013).

The disease is endemic in Egypt and it is a major cause for pediatric diarrhea. Nonetheless, the epidemiology in animals and humans has not been fully characterized. The main source of *Campylobacter* transmission is the backyard chickens (Khalifa *et al.*, 2013; El-Tras *et al.*, 2015; Omara *et al.*, 2015). From Zagazig governorate in Egypt, *C.jejuni* and *C.coli* were isolated from 47.5% of chicken samples and 2.7% of human samples (Awadallah *et al.*, 2014).

In recent years, isolates from both developed and developing countries have shown resistance to several antimicrobials, including fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, beta-lactams, aminoglycosides and macrolides (Luangtongkum *et al.*, 2009; Shobo *et al.*, 2016; Reddy and Zishiri, 2017), which are the most frequently used antimicrobials for the treatment of campylobacteriosis (Abdi-Hachesoo *et al.*, 2014; Shobo *et al.*, 2016; Reddy and Zishiri, 2017). This has led the World Health Organization in 2017

to list *Campylobacter* spp. as one of the six high priority antimicrobial resistant pathogens (WHO,2017).

It is extremely difficult to keep poultry flocks free of *Campylobacter* which is commonly present in the poultry environment. Various intervention strategies have been implemented to reduce colonization rates, including on-farm biosecurity measures, vaccination, genetic selection, dietary manipulation, and the use of antimicrobial alternatives (de Zoete *et al.*, 2007 and Lin, 2009). However, these strategies have been only partially effective in reducing the burden of *C.jejuni*. Among the above strategies, immunological interventions such as vaccines are of note.

There is no vaccine available to date to control *Campylobacter* infections in poultry. A successful chicken vaccine should prevent colonization or cause a strong reduction of *Campylobacter* numbers in chickens (>2 log units) (de Zoete *et al.*, 2007).

### **The aim of the present work:**

The main goal of the present study was to develop a vaccine for reducing intestinal burden of *Campylobacter* in chickens which can be achieved through:

- 1- Isolation and identification of *Campylobacter* species from collected chicken samples.
- 2- Confirmation of *Campylobacter* species using PCR technique.
- 3- Identification of the pathogenic (virulent) strains of *Campylobacter* species.
- 4- Detection of genetic similarity between isolates of different sources using sequencing technique.
- 5- Preparation of a vaccine from the selected *Campylobacter* strains.
- 6- Evaluation of the immunizing potential of the prepared vaccine by measuring *Campylobacter* specific IgG antibody titre.
- 7- Detection of *Campylobacter* load in the cecum of vaccinated chicken.

## **Chapter (2)**

### **Review of Literature**