



□ □ □

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

### ملاحظات:

ملاحظات:



# **Outcome Assessment of Closed Negative pressure wound therapy use to decrease Surgical Site Infection in Elective Paediatric Colorectal Procedures in Comparison with the Standard Wound Care**

A Thesis Submitted for Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of Doctorate Degree in Paediatric Surgery

By

**Ahmed Mahmoud Ali Mohamed Moubarak**

M.B., B. Ch. (2013) - M.Sc. (2018)

Faculty of Medicine – Suez Canal University

**Supervisors**

**Prof. Dr. Osama Abdul Ellah El-nagar**

Professor of Pediatric surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelhamid Zaki**

Prof. of Pediatric surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Karam El Sayem Ahmed**

Assistant Professor of Pediatric Surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University

**Ass.Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeid EL Sherbeny**

Assistant Professor of Pediatric surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

**Ass.Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hisham Ahmed**

Assistant Professor of Pediatric surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

**Ain-Shams University**

**2021**

**Author**

Ahmed Mahmoud Ali Mohamed Moubarak<sup>1</sup> ; Assistant Lecture of Pediatric surgery

**Co-Authors**

**Prof. Dr. Osama Abdul Ellah El-nagar<sup>2</sup>**; Professor of Pediatric surgery

**Prof.Dr. Amr Abdelhamid Zaki<sup>2</sup>**; Professor of Pediatric surgery

**Ass.Prof.Dr. Karam El Sayem Ahmed<sup>2</sup>**; Assistant Professor of Pediatric surgery

**Ass.Prof.Dr. Mohamed Saeid EL Sherbeny<sup>2</sup>**; Assistant Professor of Pediatric surgery

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of medicine, Suez Canal University Hospital

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ  
لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ  
أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

(سورة البقرة الآية ٣٢)

## ***Acknowledgements***

First and Foremost, praise is to **Allah** for giving me the opportunity, the determination and the strength to do this work. Without his blessings, this achievement would not have been possible.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to

**Prof. Dr. Osama Abdul Ellah El-nagar**

**Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelhamid Zaki**

Professor of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University; for their kind guidance and valuable advice all through my career.

I'm deeply grateful to

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Karam El Sayem Ahmed**

Asst. Prof. of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University; for his sincere efforts and fruitful encouragement that made this work possible.

Also, I would like to thank

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeid EL Sherbeny**

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hisham Ahmed**

Asst. Prof. of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University; for their genuine efforts and wonderful encouragement that made this work possible

Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved family; for their love, endless support and sacrifices.

**Ahmed Mahmoud Ali Mohamed Moubarak**

**2021**

# Content

Content	Page
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>Introduction and Rationale</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Aim and objectives</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Review of Literature</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Surgical Site Infection in Elective Colon Surgery</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT)</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Wound Dressings</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Patients and Methods</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Results</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>CONCLUSION and Recommendations</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Arabic summary</b>	<b>96</b>

## List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full terminology
<b>SSI</b>	Surgical site infections
<b>NPWT</b>	Negative pressure wound therapy
<b>SIP</b>	Superficial Incisional Primary
<b>SIS</b>	Superficial Incisional Secondary
<b>DIP</b>	Deep Incisional Primary
<b>DIS</b>	Deep Incisional Secondary
<b>NHSN</b>	National Healthcare Safety Network
<b>SIR</b>	Standardized Infection Ratio
<b>KCT</b>	Kinetic Concepts Inc
<b>CSI</b>	closed surgical incision
<b>COI</b>	closed orthopaedic incisions
<b>FDA</b>	Food and Drug Administration
<b>VEGF</b>	vascular endothelial growth factor
<b>RCTs</b>	randomised controlled trials
<b>PU</b>	polyurethane
<b>SC</b>	standard care

## List of Tables

Table No.	List of tables of the review	PAGES
<b>Table I</b>	Definitions of superficial, deep, and organ/space SSIs	<b>12</b>
<b>List of tables of the results</b>		
<b>Table 1</b>	Baseline characteristics of the study population	<b>58</b>
<b>Table 2</b>	Lab results of the study population	<b>60</b>
<b>Table 3</b>	Procedures among the study population	<b>61</b>
<b>Table 4</b>	Frequency of infection in the study population	<b>62</b>
<b>Table 5</b>	Frequency of complication according to the age distribution of the study groups	<b>63</b>
<b>Table 6</b>	Follow up of the study population	<b>64</b>
<b>Table 7</b>	Frequency of infection and the discharge according to the different surgical procedures	<b>65</b>
<b>Table 8</b>	: Culture of infected wounds with discharge	<b>66</b>

## List of Figures

Figure No.	List of figures	PAGES
<b>Figure 1</b>	Abdominal and pelvic abscess	<b>18</b>
<b>Figure 2</b>	Sterile gauze sponge (MSEC) and tulle	<b>36</b>
<b>Figure 3</b>	Semi-permeable film	<b>38</b>
<b>Figure 4</b>	SRestore® Adhesive Foam Dressing with TRIACT™ Technology	<b>39</b>
<b>Figure 5</b>	Hydrocolloid dressing, DuoDerm	<b>41</b>
<b>Figure 6</b>	Alginate dressing (Healios™)	<b>42</b>
<b>Figure 7</b>	: Aquacel Ag hydrofiber wound dressing with ionic silver	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure 8</b>	VAC® WHITEFOAM™ dressing (KCI™)	<b>45</b>
<b>Figure 9</b>	VAC® GranuFoam™ dressing (KCI™)	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure 10</b>	VAC® VERAFLOR™ dressing (KCI™)	<b>47</b>
<b>Figure 11</b>	VAC unite	<b>53</b>
<b>Figure 12</b>	length measures of the study population	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure 13</b>	weight measures of the study population	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure 14</b>	Infection rates in percentage	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure 15</b>	Sigmoid closure and culture	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure 16</b>	Transverse closure and culture	<b>67</b>
<b>Figure 17</b>	Small bowel Stoma Closure and culture	<b>67</b>
<b>Figure 18</b>	Resection and anastomosis of bowel and culture	<b>68</b>
<b>Figure 19</b>	Total	<b>68</b>
<b>Figure 20</b>	After 5 days of negative wound therapy dressing post elective right hemicolectomy	<b>69</b>
<b>Figure 21</b>	Post 7 days of standard wound care post adhesive io repair	<b>70</b>
<b>Figure 22</b>	After 5 days of negative wound therapy dressing post ileal atresia	<b>71</b>
<b>Figure 23</b>	Post 7 days of sigmoid stoma closure with standard wound care dressing	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 24</b>	Post 6 days of transverse stoma closure with standard wound care dressing	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 25</b>	After 5 days of negative wound therapy dressing post HSD repair post leveling stoma	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 26</b>	<b>Post 6 days of stoma closure with standard wound care dressing</b>	<b>73</b>

## **Outome Assessment of Closed Negative pressure wound therapy use to decrease Surgical Site Infection in Elective Paediatric Colorectal Procedures in Comparison with the Standard Wound Care.**

### **Summary**

**Background:** Surgical site infections (SSI) are the most common and costly of all hospital-acquired infections. Negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) is a relatively new treatment option which considered in our study to decrease surgical nosocomial events in elective paediatric colorectal procedures in comparison with the standard wound care. **Method:** A randomized control study was conducted in paediatric surgery department. Lower statistical difference of infection frequency in NPWT group comparing to standard wound care group was revealed. **Results:** We enrolled 300 children who underwent a colorectal procedure. The study population was randomly allocated into two groups: Group A: standard wound care (n=150), Group B: negative pressure wound care (n=150). There was no statistical difference among the different group according to baseline characteristics, lab investigation and the surgical procedures. There was significant difference between the two groups according the frequency of infection (P value >0.001). Also, subgroup analysis regarding to the age group showed significant difference according to the frequency of the complication. Regarding hospitalization, there was statistical difference of the duration of hospitalization (P value >0.001). there was no statistical difference among the different group according to the frequency of infection, discharge and results of culture of the discharged infection. **Conclusion:** Our study concluded that NPWT use in the colorectal surgical procedure in paediatric has beneficial in the setting of decrease the incidence of SSI and the need for home nursing post-surgery and also decrease the duration of home nursing in those whom need it.

Key word: Negative pressure, Wound

# **Introduction and Rationale**



## **Introduction and Rationale**

Surgical site infections are both common and morbid. Surgical site infections are now the most common and costly of all hospital-acquired infections, accounting for 20% of all hospital-acquired infections. Surgical site infections are associated with increased length of stay and a 2- to 11-fold increase in the risk of mortality. Although most patients recover from an SSI without long-term adverse sequelae, 77% of mortality in patients with an SSI can be attributed to the infection itself (**Anderson et al. 2014; Bratzler et al. 2013**).

The incidence of SSI is 2% to 5% in patients undergoing inpatient surgery. Estimated annual incidence varies widely, ranging from 160,000 to 300,000 in the US. These estimates are likely understated, given the surveillance challenges after discharge. The financial burden of SSI is considerable; it ranks as the costliest of the hospital-acquired infections. The annual cost of SSI in the US is estimated at \$3.5 to \$10 billion. Increased costs from SSIs are driven by increased length of stay, emergency department visits, and readmissions. On average, SSI extends hospital length of stay by 9.7 days, and increases the cost of hospitalization by more than \$20,000 per admission. More than 90,000 readmissions annually are attributed to SSIs, costing an additional \$700 million per year. Because up to 60% of SSIs were estimated to be preventable with the use of evidence-based measures, SSI has become a pay-for-performance metric and a target of quality improvement effort (**Mangram et al. 1999; Magill et al. 2014**).

Resections of colonic and rectal segments have been associated with the highest rates of SSI amongst cases without perforation of gross intra-abdominal contamination, likely secondary to the inherently contaminated intra-luminal environment, in such circumstances, despite best practice recommendations of pre-operative antibiotic use and aseptic operative technique, SSIs are reported at rates of 15 to 30% (**Kobayashi et al. 2008; Konishi et al. 2006**).

According to the literature, anastomotic dehiscence consecutive to colostomy closure in the pediatric population can occur with a frequency that varies from 0 to 12.5% ;and wound infection from 40 to 45%. Other complications such as anastomotic stricture (**Ekenze, Agugua-Obianyo, and Amah 2007**).

Few interventions beyond pre-operative antibiotic prophylaxis and aseptic technique have been shown to decrease rates of SSI. Negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) is a relatively new treatment concept, initially reported on in 1997 by Morykwas et al. as a novel therapy for chronic wounds (**Morykwas et al. 1997**)

The first identifiable report in the literature on incisional NPWT (iNPWT) is a case-series from Gomoll et al. in the setting of orthopedic trauma. They demonstrated a decrease in serous exudative rates and good healing of incisions post-operatively (**Gomoll, Lin, and Harris 2006**).

Cardiac surgery patients are known to be susceptible to mediastinitis, associated with significant morbidity and mortality. A retrospective review of 57 such patients who received iNPWT was reported in 2009 whereby an absence of any sternal wound infections was demonstrated(**Atkins et al. 2009**).

In 2009, Stannard randomized 263 blunt orthopedic trauma patients with high-risk tibial and calcaneal fractures requiring surgical stabilization to either usual care or iNPWT (**Stannard et al. 2009**).

Here they demonstrated a relative risk of infection of 1.9 times higher in control patients with no significant difference in the injury severity scores of each group. In a recently published series, 27 patients, post abdomino-perineal resection, with significant risk factors for wound infection had iNPWT applied to their perineal incisions until the fifth post-operative day (**Chadi et al. 2014**).

When compared to a recent historical control group, a statistically lower rate of perineal SSI was detected in the intervention group. Furthermore, on multivariate analysis, when other risk factors were controlled for, the use of iNPWT was found to be protective against the outcome of perineal SSI. Finally, Matatov et al. performed a retrospective cohort study using the same NPWT dressing as the current proposal and found a significant difference (24 % versus 6 %) in SSI compared to standard dressing following 115 femoral cut-downs for vascular procedures (**Matatov et al. 2013**).

Decreased superficial surgical site infections, shortened hospital stay, and improved quality of life due to negative pressure wound therapy after colorectal procedures in children.