



□ □ □

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات:

ملاحظات:



Nutritional Screening of Sick Neonates in NICU and its Relation to Short Term Outcome

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.Sc degree
in *Pediatrics*

By

Doaa Nagy Ali

M.B.B.Ch.

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Safaa Shafik Emam

Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Nour ElDin Mohamed

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Yamin Gamal Abdou Elgendy

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا انك لا تعلم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Safaa Shafik Emam**, Professor of Pediatrics - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Assist. Prof. Hour ElDin Mohamed**, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Assist. Prof. Yamin Gamal Abdou Elgendy**, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Doaa Nagy Ali

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations.....	iv
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
☞ Enteral Feeding Method	4
☞ Parenteral Nutrition.....	16
☞ Nutritional Risk and Relation to Short Term Outcome ..	29
Patients and Methods	42
Results	56
Discussion	87
Summary	97
Conclusion	100
Recommendations.....	101
References	102
Arabic Summary	1

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Protein and energy requirements in preterm infants according to body weight	20
Table (2):	Fluid requirements according to birth weights and postnatal age	47
Table (3):	Protein and energy requirements in preterm infants according to body weight	49
Table (4):	Demographic data of the studied patients	56
Table (5):	Diagnosis of admission of the studied patients	57
Table (6):	Comparison between anthropometric measurement at admission and before discharge of the studied patients	58
Table (7):	Skin fold thickness measurements at different times of postnatal age of the studied patients	59
Table (8):	Laboratory data at admission of the studied patients	60
Table (9):	Laboratory data before discharge of the studied patients	60
Table (10):	Demographic data of the studied patients of the three risk groups	62
Table (11):	Comparison between the three risk groups of the studied patients as regard their demographic data	64
Table (12):	Comparison between the studied patients according to their risk groups and the diagnosis of admission	65
Table (13):	Comparison between the studied patients of different risk groups and their anthropometric measurements	67
Table (14):	Comparison between the studied patients of the different risk groups and their skin fold thickness measurements at different times of postnatal age	69
Table (15):	Comparison between the studied patients of the different risk groups and their laboratory data at different times of postnatal age	70

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (16):	Comparison between the studied patients of the three risk groups and their days to reach target calories, nutritional intake and short term outcome.....	71
Table (17):	Comparison between the studied patients of the three risk groups and their nutritional parameters at different times of postnatal age	72
Table (18):	Outcome data among the studied patients	74
Table (19):	Comparison between hospital discharge mortality of the studied patients and their anthropometric measurements	75
Table (20):	Comparison between hospital discharge mortality of the studied patients and their nutritional intake, days to reach target calories and length of hospital stay	76
Table (21):	Comparison between septic and a septic studied patients as regard their anthropometric measurements	77
Table (22):	Comparison between septic and a septic studied patients as regard their nutritional intake, days to reach target calories and length of hospital stay	78
Table (23):	Correlation for length of hospital stay of the studied patients with the other studied parameters	79
Table (24):	Correlation for length of hospital stay of the studied patients with their laboratory data.....	81
Table (25):	Correlation between length of hospital stay of the studied patients and their nutritional parameters	83
Table (26):	Follow up for laboratory data of the studied patients at different times of measurement	85
Table (27):	Follow up for nutritional data of the studied patients at different times of measurement	86

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Neonatal Nutrition Screening Tool	30
Figure (2):	Measurement of infant length	45
Figure (3):	Gestational age of the studied patients	57
Figure (4):	Follow up protein intake at different times of postnatal age for the studied patients	61
Figure (5):	Follow up Total caloric intake at different times of postnatal age for the studied patients	61
Figure (6):	Diagnosis of the studied patients according to Risk groups	63
Figure (7):	Comparison between the studied patients of the three risk groups as regard their gestational age.....	66
Figure (8):	Comparison between the studied patients of different risk groups and their anthropometric measurements.....	68
Figure (9):	Comparison between the studied patients of the different risk groups and their skin fold thickness measurements at different times of postnatal age	69
Figure (10):	The percentage of hospital discharge mortality among studied patients.....	74
Figure (11):	Comparison between hospital discharge mortality of the studied patients and their enteral nutrition.	76

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>AAP:</i>	<i>American academy of pediatrics</i>
<i>Alb:</i>	<i>Albumin</i>
<i>ALP:</i>	<i>Alkaline phosphatase</i>
<i>BM:</i>	<i>Breast milk</i>
<i>BPD:</i>	<i>Broncho pulmonary dysplasia</i>
<i>Ca:</i>	<i>Calcium</i>
<i>CBC:</i>	<i>Complete blood count</i>
<i>cc:</i>	<i>Cubic centimeter</i>
<i>CHD:</i>	<i>Congenital heart disease</i>
<i>CHO:</i>	<i>Carbohydrates</i>
<i>Cm:</i>	<i>Centimeter</i>
<i>CNS:</i>	<i>Central nervous system</i>
<i>CRP:</i>	<i>C-reactive protein</i>
<i>d:</i>	<i>day</i>
<i>DIC:</i>	<i>Disseminated intravascular coagulation</i>
<i>dl:</i>	<i>Deciliter</i>
<i>ELBW:</i>	<i>Extremely low birth weight</i>
<i>FEN:</i>	<i>Full enteral nutrition</i>
<i>GIR:</i>	<i>Glucose infusion rate</i>
<i>GIT:</i>	<i>Gastrointestinal tract</i>
<i>gm:</i>	<i>gram</i>
<i>HB:</i>	<i>Hemoglobin</i>
<i>HC:</i>	<i>Head circumference</i>
<i>IL:</i>	<i>Interleukin</i>
<i>IM:</i>	<i>Intramuscular</i>
<i>IU:</i>	<i>International unite</i>
<i>IUGR:</i>	<i>Intrauterine growth retardation</i>
<i>IV:</i>	<i>Intravenous</i>
<i>IVF:</i>	<i>Intravenous fluid</i>

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
<i>Kcal:</i>	<i>Kilocalorie</i>
<i>KCL:</i>	<i>Potassium chloride</i>
<i>Kg:</i>	<i>Kilogram</i>
<i>LBW:</i>	<i>Low birth weight</i>
<i>MAC:</i>	<i>Mid arm circumference</i>
<i>MCT:</i>	<i>Medium chain triglyceride</i>
<i>MEF:</i>	<i>Minimal enteral feeding</i>
<i>mEq:</i>	<i>milliequivalent</i>
<i>mg:</i>	<i>Milligram</i>
<i>min:</i>	<i>Minute</i>
<i>MVI:</i>	<i>Multivitamin injection</i>
<i>NaCl:</i>	<i>Sodium chloride</i>
<i>NEC:</i>	<i>Necrotizing enterocolitis</i>
<i>NICU:</i>	<i>Neonatal intensive care unite</i>
<i>NNST:</i>	<i>Neonatal nutrition screening tools</i>
<i>no:</i>	<i>Number</i>
<i>NST:</i>	<i>Nutrition screening tools</i>
<i>OP:</i>	<i>Oro-gastric</i>
<i>P.O.:</i>	<i>per-os</i>
<i>PI:</i>	<i>Ponderal index</i>
<i>PLT:</i>	<i>Platelets</i>
<i>PN:</i>	<i>Parenteral nutrition</i>
<i>PO4:</i>	<i>Phosphorus</i>
<i>PUFA:</i>	<i>Polyunsaturated fatty acid</i>
<i>RDS:</i>	<i>Respiratory distress syndrome</i>
<i>RR:</i>	<i>Respiratory rate</i>
<i>S.SC:</i>	<i>Septic Score</i>
<i>SGA:</i>	<i>Small for gestational age</i>
<i>TLC:</i>	<i>Total leukocyte count</i>

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
<i>TNF:</i>	<i>Tumor necrosis factor</i>
<i>TPN:</i>	<i>Total parenteral nutrition</i>
<i>VLBW:</i>	<i>Very low birth weight</i>
<i>wk:</i>	<i>week</i>

ABSTRACT

Nutritional Screening of Sick Neonates in NICU and its Relation to Short Term Outcome

**Prof. Safaa Shafik Emam, Assist. Prof. Nour ElDin Mohamed,
Assist. Prof. Yasmin Gamal Abdou Elgendy and Doaa Nagy Ali***

Pediatrics Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

***Corresponding Author:** Doaa Nagy Ali

Phone No.: (+2) 01227189170

E-mail: doaa_nagy_85@yahoo.com

Background: Malnutrition during infancy has long-term adverse consequences for both physical and psychological development. Early detection of malnutrition among hospitalized infants is essential to provide optimal nutrition support. So neonatal nutritional assessment is very important and determines the daily energy and nutrient requirements for optimal growth and whether these nutritional goals are met.

Aim of the Work: To assess the validity of Nutrition risk screening tools, and to stratify neonates admitted to the NICU according to their nutritional risk and correlate this to their outcome.

Patients and Methods: This Cross sectional study was conducted in NICU at Ain Shams University Hospitals. All neonates in a set period of 6 months from December 2017 to June 2018 were enrolled in the study after written and verbal consent take from parents. Number of patients started with 200 and ended with 150.

Results: There were statistically significant difference between the three studied risk groups as regard their gestational age, APGAR score and history of chronic illness of their mothers. There were statistically significant difference between the patients with different risk groups and the diagnosis of admission except urinary tract infection, transient tachypnea of newborn, intrauterine growth retardation, respiratory distress for investigations, blood group incompatibility and meconium aspiration syndrome shows no statistically significant difference with risk groups.

Conclusion: It is important for the clinician to appreciate the specific nutrient requirements associated with various disease states and their therapies for example, in the ill newborn. Neonatal illnesses significantly alter energy, protein and mineral metabolism in a disease-specific manners.

Keywords: NICU; Necrotizing enterocolitis; Extremely low birth weight; Congenital heart disease

INTRODUCTION

Hospitalized children are at increased risk of malnutrition, especially preterm infants, often experience poor growth (*Koletzko et al., 2014*).

Adequate nutrition and growth during neonatal period are important, this has important implications for their health in later life. Increased nutritional support at neonatal intensive care unit has been shown to increase growth, such support is not universally available. Being able to carry out and interpret a nutritional assessment is an important skill for paediatricians caring for neonates (*Johanson, 2014*).

Carrying out a nutritional assessment can be time consuming, and may be unnecessary for every patient in the NICU, ‘Nutritional screening’ is a way to identify patients at the highest nutritional risk and in need of full nutritional assessment, and is currently recommended by ESPGHAN. However, the only NICU specific tool is the ‘Ohio Neonatal Nutritionists Screening Criteria for identifying Hospitalized Infants at Highest Nutritional Risk’ (*Wargo, 2000*).

The ‘Ohio Neonatal Nutritionists tool is a complex tool incorporates nutritional assessment and has not been formally validated, but considers infants with a birth weight <1 kg, those with poor growth (<10 g/kg/day after 2 weeks of age) and infants with necrotising enterocolitis, chronic lung disease or

gastrointestinal surgical conditions to be at the highest nutritional risk. In the absence of a validated screening tool, these parameters seem a reasonable basis to direct nutritional assessments. Using a ‘screening’ approach on a weekly basis ensures that all infants are given brief consideration of their growth and nutritional risk, and also allows the use of nutritional care pathways for specific groups of patients (*Wargo, 2000*).

While there is no specific evidence that nutritional assessment per se improves outcomes in neonates, there is evidence that improved nutritional support for preterm infants improves growth (*Sneve et al., 2008*). Nutritional assessment is essential to properly direct such increased support, such as dietetic input or revision of parenteral nutrition and feeds to address shortfalls in intake.

Nutritional screening enables nonspecialist staff to identify patients at nutritional risk and in need for further assessment and support by specialist staff.

AIM OF THE WORK

To assess the validity of Nutrition risk screening tools, and to stratify neonates admitted to the NICU according to their nutritional risk and correlate this to their outcome.