



□ □ □

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

### ملاحظات:

ملاحظات:



# **Comparison between Wavefront Guided and Wavefront Optimized LASIK as regards the Functional Optical Zone**

**Thesis**

*Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of MD Degree  
in Ophthalmology*

**By**

***Mariam Ahmed El Shawarby***

*M.B.B.Ch, M.Sc., Ophthalmology*

*Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Under supervision of**

**Prof. Dr. Ali Hassan Saad**

*Professor of Ophthalmology*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed El Raggal**

*Professor of Ophthalmology*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr. Thanaa Helmy Mohamed**

*Professor of Ophthalmology*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Moemen Mostafa Seleet**

*Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University**

**2021**

**Type of article:** Original Article

**Full Title:**

**Comparing functional optical zone of wavefront-guided and wavefront-optimized laser in situ keratomileusis**

**Authors:**

1. Mariam A El Shawarby, MSC
2. Ali Saad, MD
3. Thanaa Helmy, MD
4. Moemen Seleet, MD
5. Tamer El Raggal MD

**Department and institution:** Ophthalmology Department, Ain Shams University Hospitals, Cairo, Egypt

**Corresponding Author:**

Mariam El Shawarby, MSc

**Address:** Ramses st., Cairo, Egypt

**Phone number:** +201003675798

**E-mail address:** mariam10@med.asu.edu.eg

**ORCID:** 0000-0002-2911-2933

**Source of support:** None

**Presentation at a meeting:** Not applicable

**Conflicting Interest:** None

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

# قَالَ

سَبَّحَانَكَ لَا يَلْمُ لَنَا  
إِلَّا مَا عَلِمْنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ  
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٢٢

# Acknowledgment

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Ali Hassan Saad*, Professor of Ophthalmology - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to *Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed El Raggal*, Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to *Prof. Dr. Thanaa Helmy Mohamed*, Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.

I wish to introduce my deep respect and thanks to *Dr. Moemen Mostafa Seleet*, Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kindness, supervision and cooperation in this work.

I am deeply grateful to our dear *Prof. Dr. Maged Maher Roshdy*, Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help, guidance and cooperation in this work.

I wish to introduce my deep respect and thanks to *Dr. Sherif M. Fayad*, Alcon technical and clinical Application lead and *Mr. Sameh Mazih*, optometrist at el Watany eye hospital , for their kindness and cooperation in this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

*Mariam Ahmed El Shawarby*

# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures.....	ii
List of Abbreviations .....	v
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Different Laser Modalities.....	4
“Lasik” Laser in Situ Keratomileusis .....	8
Wavefront Guided Lasik.....	19
Wavefront Optimized Lasik .....	29
Functional optical zone.....	34
Patients and methods .....	43
Discussion.....	77
Summary.....	89
Conclusion .....	91
Limitations and recommendations.....	92
References.....	93
Arabic Summary .....	---

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Demographic data of all patients .....	60
<b>Table (2):</b>	Comparison between the studied groups regarding demographic data .....	61
<b>Table (3):</b>	Preoperative examination and pentacam of the 2 groups.....	62
<b>Table (4):</b>	Operative data of the 2 groups. ....	63
<b>Table (5):</b>	Postoperative refraction and visual acuity .....	64
<b>Table (6):</b>	Showing postoperative pentacam findings in the 2 groups.....	65
<b>Table (7):</b>	Showing postoperative Zernike analysis in the 2 groups.....	67
<b>Table (8):</b>	Showing postoperative FOZ in the 2 groups .....	68
<b>Table (9):</b>	IOZ changes in the two LASIK groups .....	70
<b>Table (10):</b>	Pre, postoperative Q value and the difference between them in both groups .....	71
<b>Table (11):</b>	Induced refractive changes in the 2 groups.....	72
<b>Table (12):</b>	FOZ diameter correlations in the two LASIK group .....	74

# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Retroillumination (a, b) revealing macrostriae .....	11
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Stage II of diffuse lamellar keratitis on the first day after laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis .....	12
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Amorphous central opacity in a case of CTK .....	13
<b>Fig. (4):</b>	(a, b) cases of early onset infectious keratitis.....	14
<b>Fig. (5):</b>	(a) Homogeneous milky epith. ingrowth and (b, c) droplet like epith. Ingrowth .....	15
<b>Fig. (6):</b>	Hartmann-Shack sensors measuring a perfect eye with no aberrations. ....	21
<b>Fig. (7):</b>	Some Shack-Hartmann spot array patterns and their associated wave aberrations calculated for four normal subjects .....	22
<b>Fig. (8):</b>	Optical aberrations .....	23
<b>Fig. (9):</b>	In order to compensate for reduce energy in the peripheral secondary to the ‘cosine effect’, additional pulses .....	29
<b>Fig. (10):</b>	Showing conventional treatment profile on the right side and WFO ablation on the left side .....	30
<b>Fig. (11):</b>	Gaussian beam.....	31
<b>Fig. (12):</b>	Broad beam.....	31
<b>Fig. (13):</b>	<u>FOZ<sub>A</sub></u> measurement on the refractive map (Zeiss Humphrey, Version A11.2).....	37
<b>Fig. (14):</b>	Graphical representation of RMS wavefront error.....	38
<b>Fig. (15):</b>	Calculation of the RMS value of various groups of Zernike modes .....	38
<b>Fig. (16):</b>	The curves of MTF in different corneal optical zone.....	41
<b>Fig. (17):</b>	Preoperative pentacam of eye number 57. ....	49
<b>Fig. (18):</b>	Showing WaveLight® FS200 laser (Alcon Labs, Ft Worth, TX, USA) .....	51



# List of Figures *(cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (19):</b>	Showing WaveLight® EX500 excimer laser system (Alcon, Inc., Huenberg, Switzerland).....	51
<b>Fig. (20):</b>	Showing IntraLase iFS (Johnson & Johnson Inc., Santa Ana, CA, USA).....	53
<b>Fig. (21):</b>	Showing VISX CustomVue™ STAR S4 IR™ Excimer Laser.....	53
<b>Fig. (22):</b>	Postoperative pentacam of eye number 69.....	56
<b>Fig. (23):</b>	Zernike analysis of eye number 10.....	57
<b>Fig. (24):</b>	Postoperative pentacam of eye number 7 illustrating FOZ measurement from detailed Holladay report.....	57
<b>Fig. (25):</b>	Postoperative pentacam of eye number 62 also showing how FOZ was measured in our study .....	58
<b>Fig. (26):</b>	Sex distribution of all patients.....	60
<b>Fig. (27):</b>	Max. ablation depth in the 2 groups. ....	63
<b>Fig. (28):</b>	Postoperative anterior K readings of the 2 groups. ....	66
<b>Fig. (29):</b>	Showing the postoperative IHD in the 2 groups. ....	66
<b>Fig. (30):</b>	Mean FOZ diameter in the 2 groups (Pvalue>0.05).....	69
<b>Fig. (31):</b>	Mean EKR of the FOZ in both groups (P value<0.05). ....	69
<b>Fig. (32):</b>	FOZ % (achieved) in both groups (P value>0.05). ....	70
<b>Fig. (33):</b>	Change in the corneal asphericity (Q values) in the 2 groups. ....	71
<b>Fig. (34):</b>	Showing positive correlation of FOZ diameter with preoperative UDVA in group A on the left and group B on the right (P-value<0.05). ....	75
<b>Fig. (35):</b>	Showing positive correlation of FOZ diameter with postoperative corneal thickness thinnest location in group A on the left and group B on the right (P-value<0.05).....	75

# List of Figures *(cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (36):</b>	Showing negative correlation of FOZ diameter with maximal ablation depth in group on the left and group B on the right (P- value<0.05).....	75
<b>Fig. (37):</b>	Showing negative correlation of FOZ diameter with postoperative Q value in group A on the left and group B on the right (P- value<0.05).....	76
<b>Fig. (38):</b>	Showing negative correlation of FOZ diameter with RMS (HOA) in group A on the left and group B on the right (P- value<0.05). ....	76

# List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>APT</i> .....	<i>Advanced Personalized Technology</i>
<i>BCDVA</i> .....	<i>Best corrected distance visual acuity</i>
<i>Cpd</i> .....	<i>Cycles per degree</i>
<i>CTK</i> .....	<i>Central toxic keratopathy</i>
<i>CV LASIK</i> .....	<i>CustomVue LASIK</i>
<i>Cyc / deg</i> .....	<i>Cycles per degree</i>
<i>DLK</i> .....	<i>Diffuse Lamellar Keratitis</i>
<i>EBMD</i> .....	<i>Epithelial basement membrane disease</i>
<i>EKR</i> .....	<i>Equivalent K reading</i>
<i>EOZ</i> .....	<i>Effective optical zone</i>
<i>Epi-LASEK</i> .....	<i>Epithelial LASEK</i>
<i>Epi-LASIK</i> .....	<i>Epithelial LASIK</i>
<i>FLEx</i> .....	<i>Femtosecond lenticule extraction</i>
<i>FOZ</i> .....	<i>Functional optical zone</i>
<i>FOZ<sub>A</sub></i> .....	<i>Functional optical zone Axial power method</i>
<i>FOZ<sub>M</sub></i> .....	<i>Functional optical zone Modulation transfer function method</i>
<i>FOZ<sub>R</sub></i> .....	<i>Functional optical zone Root Mean Square error method</i>
<i>FS-LASIK</i> .....	<i>Femtosecond laser in-situ keratomileusis</i>
<i>GAT</i> .....	<i>Goldmann applanation tonometer</i>
<i>HOAs</i> .....	<i>Higher-order aberrations</i>
<i>HS</i> .....	<i>Highly significant</i>
<i>HSV</i> .....	<i>Herpes simplex virus</i>
<i>HZV</i> .....	<i>Herpes zoster virus</i>
<i>IHD</i> .....	<i>Index of height decentration</i>
<i>IOZ</i> .....	<i>Intended optical zone</i>
<i>ISV</i> .....	<i>Index of surface variance</i>

# List of Abbreviations *(Cont...)*

Abb.	Full term
<i>LASEK</i> .....	<i>Laser epithelial keratomileusis</i>
<i>LASIK</i> .....	<i>Laser in situ keratomileusis</i>
<i>LOAs</i> .....	<i>Lower order aberrations</i>
<i>MRSE</i> .....	<i>Manifest refraction spherical equivalent</i>
<i>MTF</i> .....	<i>Modulation Transfer Function</i>
<i>NS</i> .....	<i>Non significant</i>
<i>OZ</i> .....	<i>Optical zone</i>
<i>PRK</i> .....	<i>Photorefractive keratectomy</i>
<i>Q value</i> .....	<i>Corneal asphericity</i>
<i>RGP</i> .....	<i>Rigid gas permeable</i>
<i>RMS</i> .....	<i>Root-mean-square</i>
<i>RMSHo</i> .....	<i>Root-mean-square of the higher order aberration</i>
<i>S</i> .....	<i>Significant</i>
<i>SA</i> .....	<i>Spherical aberration</i>
<i>SmILE</i> .....	<i>Small incision lenticule extraction</i>
<i>Sph. Eq.</i> .....	<i>Spherical equivalent</i>
<i>TCRP</i> .....	<i>Total corneal refractive power map</i>
<i>T-PRK</i> .....	<i>Transepithelial photorefractive keratectomy</i>
<i>TZ</i> .....	<i>Transition zone</i>
<i>UDVA</i> .....	<i>Uncorrected distance visual acuity</i>
<i>WFG</i> .....	<i>Wavefront-guided</i>
<i>WFO</i> .....	<i>Wavefront-optimized</i>
<i>WO</i> .....	<i>Wavefront-optimized</i>

## ABSTRACT

**PURPOSE:** To compare between wavefront-guided (WFG) and wavefront-optimized (WFO) LASIK as regards functional optical zone (FOZ) in patients with myopia and myopic astigmatism.

**METHODS:** This is a prospective, comparative study. One hundred myopic eyes of 50 patients ( $\pm$  astigmatism) were divided into 2 groups according to laser platform; WFO & WFG where femtosecond assisted LASIK was performed. Using Holladay Equivalent K Reading (EKR) report of Pentacam; FOZ was defined as zone centered on pupil center with standard deviation 0.5D around mean EKR. Three months postoperatively differences in FOZ between two ablation patterns were analyzed. Visual acuity, refractive error, corneal asphericity (Q-value) and higher order aberrations root mean square (HOA RMS) error were evaluated.

**RESULTS:** Mean patients age was  $26.64 \pm 5.67$  years. Preoperative characteristics of eyes in 2 groups were not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). Intended optical zone (IOZ) was 6mm in both groups. Mean laser ablation depth was greater in the WFG ( $18 \mu\text{m}$  per diopter) than in WFO group ( $16 \mu\text{m}$  per diopter) ( $P=0.035$ ). After 3 months, FOZ diameters were  $4.32 \pm 0.94$  ( $71.99 \pm 15.68$  % of IOZ) for WFO &  $4.16 \pm 1.13\text{mm}$  ( $69.33 \pm 18.78$  % of IOZ) for WFG, with no significant difference between 2 groups ( $P=0.622$ ). Induced change in corneal asphericity was greater in WFG ( $P=0.034$ ). Postoperative mean uncorrected distance visual acuity, manifest refraction, HOA showed no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** No significant postoperative difference was found between the WFO and WFG LASIK groups in FOZ size, refractive outcomes, HOA. Whereas, ablation depth and change in corneal asphericity were significantly greater in the WFG group.

**Keywords:** LASIK, Wavefront guided, Wavefront optimized, Functional optical zone

---

# INTRODUCTION

Laser assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) has been the most popular corneal refractive surgery for myopic correction in the past decade. One of the most interesting technical developments was the emergence of the new ultrashort-pulse lasers (femtosecond) which demonstrated more predictable flap thickness, an insignificant increase in higher-order aberrations (HOAs), better uncorrected visual acuity, and decreased epithelial injury relative to mechanical microkeratomes (*Montés-Micó et al., 2007 and Lin et al., 2012*).

Conventional excimer laser treatment modalities are designed to address lower-order sphero-cylinder refractive errors changing the shape of the cornea from prolate to a more oblate profile. This led to an increase in the HOAs which can be associated with undesired symptoms such as glare, halos and starbursts and decrease in contrast sensitivity (*Schallhorn et al., 2008*).

As a result, newer techniques emerged including wavefront-guided (WFG) and wavefront-optimized (WFO) ablations; which take into account the patient's prior HOAs. The WFG ablations are based on preoperative measures of HOAs and can produce a reduction in prior existing HOAs and less induction of new HOAs when compared to conventional treatment. The WFO ablations address variations in the corneal shape when eccentric laser pulses are applied. This decreases

the induction of an oblate profile as well as other HOAs during surgery (*Kim & Chuck, 2008 and Perez-Straziota et al., 2009*).

Several studies comparing LASIK surgery outcome using different excimer laser platforms have yielded mixed results, several of which have shown no difference between them (*Yu & Manche, 2014 and He et al., 2014*).

The Pentacam designed on the Schiempflug photography principle is a non-invasive camera, designed to capture images of the anterior segment of the eye and to produce a comprehensive analysis of the cornea and its densitometry, (*Alzahrani et al., 2017*).

By using Pentacam, it was possible to measure the FOZ (FOZ) of the cornea which is the central cornea with the highest level of optical quality, contrast sensitivity and minimal aberrations. It is measured by using the uniform axial power method, which defines it as the area of the postoperative cornea within a  $\pm 0.5D$  window centered on the mathematical mode (*Tabernero et al., 2007 and Nepomuceno et al., 2005*).

How closely the results of LASIK surgery using different machines corresponded to the intended correction is not well defined by traditional measures of postoperative refraction and measures of visual acuity. In our study, we are going to compare between 2 different laser platforms (WFG and WFO) by measuring the FOZ after LASIK correction for mild to moderate myopia and myopic astigmatism using the pentacam, which has not been done before.