

Salwa Ak1



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

مركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات

قسم التوثيق الإلكتروني



Salwa Ak1



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها

على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



Salwa Akl



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة
وبالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل





STRUCTURAL ENG. DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
TANTA UNIVERSITY

B18427
✓

**PROPOSED PROBABILISTIC MODEL FOR PREDICTING THE
LOWEST BID IN EGYPTIAN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

By

ENG. AMR METWALY EL-SAYED EL-KHOLY

A thesis

**Submitted For the Requirements
of the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

CIVIL ENGINEERING

(STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr.

ADEL I. EL-DOSOUKY

Prof. of Construction Project
Management
Faculty of Eng. - Tanta University

Dr.

MOHAMMAD A. AMMAR

Lecturer of Construction Project
Management
Faculty of Eng. - Tanta University

2003

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Thesis Title: Proposed Probabilistic Model for Predicting the Lowest Bid in Egyptian Construction Projects

Researcher Name: Amr Metwaly El-Sayed El-Kholy

Supervision Committee:

Name and Position	Signature
Prof. Dr. Adel I. El-Dosouky Prof. of Construction Project Management Faculty of Eng. - Tanta University	<i>A I El-Dosouky</i>
Dr. Mohammed A. Ammar Lecturer of Construction Project Management Faculty of Eng. - Tanta University	<i>M. Ammar</i>

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

Thesis Title: Proposed Probabilistic Model for Predicting the Lowest Bid in Egyptian Construction Projects

Researcher Name: Amr Metwaly El-Sayed El-Kholy

Examination Committee:

Name and Position	Signature
Prof. Dr. Moheeb El-Said Ibrahim Prof. of Construction Project Management Faculty of Eng. - Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Adel I. El-Dosouky Prof. of Construction Project Management Faculty of Eng. - Tanta university	
Prof. Dr. Refaat Hassan Abdel- Razeq Prof. of Construction Project Management Faculty of Eng. – Zagazig University	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Humble thanks to ALLAH THE ALMIGHTY who gave me the strength and the power so I can accomplish this work.

These acknowledgements attempt to thank the people who in some way supported and helped me make this thesis reality.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to my advisor, Professor Dr. Adel El-dosouky, for his supervision, his expert advice, his valued input he had in the preparation of the research, and his patience in guiding the research direction. I owe most thanks to Dr. Mohammed Ammar, for his supervision, his kind advice, and his helpful suggestions. Many thanks for both of them for their reviewing and editing of my thesis.

Also, I would like to thank all consultants, clients, contractors, and subcontractors for their filling on questionnaires and provide me with the necessary information in the area of competitive bidding.

A special thanks goes to my family, my wife that give me endless support and encouragement during these years of my study. My mother, and my father, without their prayer and support, I could not achieve this work.

ABSTRACT

Competitive bidding is the major nightmare for contractors because most of their life's blood depends on it. It is an intriguing and critical activity of management. It appears to defy analysis and cloaked with a certain amount of uncertainties. This is because direct cost estimating of construction is a complex and crude process due to the absence of standardization of conditions from one job to the other. Also, the inherently complex factors of labor, material and locality make the computation of exact construction costs a matter of accident than of design. Subcontractor's quotation is another factor which affect direct cost estimating. On the other hand, indirect cost and markup estimating depend on a large number of factors such as total number of competitors the contractor is going to compete with and size of the project. In addition, most of the contractors have not a systematic approach of bidding.

The contractor must first of all, develop a good estimate for his direct cost. Then, deciding his indirect cost and markup taking into consideration all the uncertainties such as labor and materials' prices, material shortage, quantities required and the difficulties. In addition, he must outguess his competitors. If his bid is too high, he fails to get the contract and losses the time and money spent on preparing the bid. When he bids much lower than necessary, he "leaves money on the table". A good bid will allow for a decent profit and not yet be less than other bids. To obtain this bid, the contractor must develop a strategy for predicting the lowest bid taking into consideration his competitors' characteristics. This bid must allow for a decent profit and with little percentage of money left on the table.

This research presents a new probabilistic mathematical model for predicting the lowest bid for construction projects in Egypt. The model is probabilistic in nature and depend on mathematics in modeling. The model's parameters are derived from questionnaires directed to contractors, subcontractors, clients and consultants. From these questionnaire it was concluded that a large number of factors affect estimating project's direct cost.

Accordingly, the issue of adjusting project's direct cost is considered through model development.

Using the proposed model, three cases of dealing with competitors are suggested. These cases are: 1) classification of competitors into key and secondary is considered; 2) classification of competitors is not considered; and 3) probability of beating secondary competitors is not considered. The model gives the best results when competitors' types of project under consideration are identical to the case of dealing with competitors. The proposed model was compared with two other probabilistic mathematical models proposed by Sugrue (1980) and Ali's (1997) models for predicting the lowest bid. The proposed model results high success rate for predicting lowest bid and minimum value of associated money left on the table.

A computer program is developed to automate the proposed model to suit the short time usually available for bidding process. Two case studies are presented for small and large size projects to demonstrate model validity. Another case study in which the classification of projects sizes does not considered is also presented to quantify the effect of classification. Analysis of the results for the three case studies revealed that: classification of projects' sizes results in about 85% success rate on the average for predicting the lowest bid and average percentage of money left on the table of about 6.5%. Neglecting classification results in about 65% success rate and average percentage of money left on the table of about 5 %.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Caption	Page
2.1	Differences Between Expected Monetary Value Models	55
2.2	Sample Bid Tabulation (From Crowley (1997)	64
3.1	Value of X (From Milton and Arnold 1995)	75
4.1	Classification of Contractors and Clients Covered by the Questionnaire	87
4.2	Type of Work which Contractors are Involved in	87
4.3	Type of Work which Subcontractors are Involved in	88
4.4	Type of Work which Clients Supervise	89
4.5	Consultants Specialization	89
4.6	Length of Experience of Participants	90
4.7	Annual Volume of Work (AVW) for Contractors	90
4.8	Annual Volume of Work (AVW) for Subcontractors	91
4.9	Departments Responsible for Bidding Process	92
4.10	Main Objectives of Contractors	93
4.11	Main Functions of Department Responsible for Tendering Process	94
4.12	Methods Used by Contractors to Estimate Projects Direct Cost	95
4.13	Driving Factors for Subcontracting Work	96
4.14	Factors Affecting Direct Cost Estimate	97
4.15	Significance of Desirable Characteristics of Subcontractors	99
4.16	Frequency of Information Presented in Invitations	101
4.17	Types of Quotations	102
4.18	Time of Submitting Quotations	103
4.19	Subcontractors' Responses Toward their Quotations' Mistakes	103
4.20	Reasons for Postponing Quotations' Submission	104
4.21	Subcontractors' Responses to Protect their Quotations from Shopping	105
4.22	Frequency of Including (without Evaluation) and Combining Quotations	106
4.23	Reasons for Non Combining Quotations	107
4.24	Frequency of Assigning Pre-Bid Agreements with Subcontractors	108
4.25	Discounts on Quotations	109
4.26	Contractors Actions for Considering Subcontractor's Quotation in their Bids	109
4.27	Aspects of Negotiation Preferred by Subcontractors	110
4.28	Contractors' Kept Records from Bid Openings	112
4.29	Significance of Factors Affecting Indirect Cost and Markup Decisions	112
4.30	Degree of Importance of Clients Objectives	115

Table	Caption	Page
4.31	Factors Affecting Relationship between Clients and Contractors	116
4.32	Technical Criterion for Evaluating Bidders (Clients' Point of View)	117
4.33	Technical Criterion for Evaluating Bidders (Consultants' Point of View)	118
4.34	Financial Criterion for Evaluating Bidders (Clients' Point of View)	119
4.35	Financial Criterion for Evaluating Bidders (Consultants' Point of View)	119
4.36	Reasons for Canceling Tenders	121
4.37	Cases to Allow Lowest Bid Exceed ESV	122
4.38	Percentage that the Lowest Bid may Exceed the ESV (Clients' Point of View)	122
4.39	Percentage that the Lowest Bid may Exceed the ESV (Consultants' Point of View)	122
4.40	Governing Factors, which Help in Estimating Bid Value Approximately Equal to ESV of Tender (Clients' Point of View)	123
4.41	Governing Factors, which Help in Estimating Bid Value Approx. Equal to ESV of Tender (Consultants' Point of View)	123
4.42	TB as Percentage of ESV of Tender (Clients' point of View)	125
4.43	TB as Percentage of ESV of Tender (Consultants' Point of View)	125
4.44	Effect of Factors Affecting Estimating of Direct Cost	129
4.45	Effect of Factors Affecting Indirect Cost and Markup Assessment	130
5.1	Tabulation of Bidding Information for Each Key Competitor	141
5.2	Minimum and Maximum Value of Indirect Cost Components and Margin of Profit (% of Project's Direct Cost)	146
5.3	Data for the Example Problem	154
5.4	Classification of Competitors Faced in Past Jobs	157
5.5	Competitor's Bid to Contractor's Estimated Direct Cost for Past Jobs	158
5.6a	Bidding Information for Key Competitors	159
5.6b	Bidding Information for Secondary Competitors	160
5.7	Probability of Beating Different Competitors in Past Jobs	162
5.8	Expected Value of Indirect Cost and Markup for Past Jobs	163
5.9	Bids of Job Number 7 after Different Adjustments	165
5.10	Values for (C/C) and Primary Model's Parameters	166
5.11	Variables Tried Each Time and Associated R for Relationship 1	168
5.12	Competitor's Bid to Contractor's Adjusted Cost	169
5.13a	New Bidding Information for Key Competitors	169
5.13b	New Bidding Information for Secondary Competitors	170
5.14	Adjusted Probability of Beating Different Competitors in Past Jobs	172
5.15	Adjusted Expected Value of Indirect Cost and Markup for Past Jobs	173

Table	Caption	Page
5.16	Values of (LB/C')	173
5.17	Data of Job Under Consideration	174
5.18	Initial and New Probabilities of Beating Different Competitors	175
5.19	Data of Jobs Under Consideration	177
5.20	Model Validation	178
5.21	Data Used for Determining Number of Past Jobs Required to Obtain Accurate Results	182
5.22	Data for Comparison of Proposed Model with Sugrue and Ali's Models	187
5.23	Values of Actual Lowest Bid, Predicted Lowest Bid and Weighted Relative Margin Given By Different Models	189
6.1	Data Used for Program Testing	195
7.1	Bidders' Names and Codes for Case Studies 1 and 2	215
7.2	Bidding Data for Case Study 1	217
7.3	Bidding Data Used for Extracting Relationships 1 and 2 (Case Study 1)	221
7.4	Bidding Data Used for Model Validation (Case Study 1)	222
7.5	Nk/Nc and Associated R1 and R2 for Different Number of Projects (Case Study 1)	224
7.6	Most Effective Nk/Nc Ratio for Different Number of Projects (Case Study 1)	227
7.7	Coefficients of Multiple Correlation for Relationships 1 and 2 (Case Study 1)	229
7.8	Model Validation (Case Study 1)	229
7.9	Bidding Data for Case Study 2	232
7.10	Bidding Data Used for Extracting Relationships 1 and 2 (Case Study 2)	236
7.11	Bidding Data Used for Model Validation (Case Study 2)	238
7.12	Nk/Nc and Associated R1 and R2 for Different Number of Projects (Case Study 2)	239
7.13	Most Effective Nk/Nc Ratio for Different Number of Projects (Case Study 2)	242
7.14	Numbers of Past Projects each Key Competitor was Faced the Contractor	243
7.15	Coefficients of Multiple Correlation for Relationships 1 and 2 for (Case Study 2)	244
7.16	Model Validation (Case Study 2)	244
7.17	Coefficients of Multiple Correlation for Relationships 1 and 2 for (Case Study 3)	247
7.18	Model Validation (Case Study 3)	248
7.19	Comparison Between Model Validation of Case Studies 1, 2, and 3	248

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Caption	Page
2.1	Objectives-Attributes Hierarchy for Bid/No Bid Decision Problem (from Ahmad 1990)	44
2.2	Competitive Tendering and Negotiation Model for BOT Projects (from Tiong 1996)	59
2.3	Bid Reconciliation Worksheet (From Crowley 1997)	64
3.1	$Y = F(X)$ Standard Normal Density Function (from Green and Margerison 1978)	70
3.2	Regression Line (from Bryant 1966)	73
3.3	Scattergrams for Two Cases of Models (from Milton and Arnold 1995)	80
4.1	Factors Affecting Estimating of Bid Price	128
5.1	General Flow Chart for the Developed Model	137
5.2	Flow Chart for the Developed Model	139
5.3	Methodology of Adjusting Contractor's Direct Cost	150
5.4	Probability Curves for Key and Secondary Competitors	161
5.5	Adjusted Probability Curves for Key and Secondary Competitors	171
5.6	Effect of Number of Past Jobs on Correlation	183
6.1	Flow Chart for the Main Program	192
6.2	Main Menu	194
6.3	Editing Competitors Codes of Past Jobs	194
6.4	Editing Jobs Data	194
6.5	Selecting Case of Dealing with Competitors, Number of Iteration, and Precision	198
6.6	Solving Screen	198
6.7	Flow Chart for Calculating M , C' and M'	199
6.8	Flow Chart for Formation of Matrices for Independent & Dependent Variables	202
6.9	Flow Chart for Extracting Equations for Relationships 1, and 2 and Associated Coefficients of Correlation	205
6.10	Result Screen	208
6.11	Project Under Consideration Screen (Job No. 11)	209
6.12	Lowest Predicted Bid Screen	209
6.13	Flow Chart for Estimating Lowest Predicted Bid for Job Under Consideration	210
7.1	Effect of N_k/N_c on Correlation for Different Number of Past Projects (Case Study 1)	225
7.2	Effect of N_k/N_c on Correlation for Different Number of Past Projects (Case Study 2)	240

PROPOSED PROBABILISTIC MODEL FOR PREDICTING THE LOWEST BID IN EGYPTIAN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
ABSTRACT	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General Overview	1
1.2 Motivation for the Research	3
1.3 Research Objectives and Scope	3
1.4 Research Methodology	4
1.5 Thesis Outline	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Complementary Estimate Stages for Competitive Bidding	8
2.2.1 Direct Cost Estimate Stage	9
2.2.1.1 General Estimating Principals	10
2.2.1.2 Estimating Methods	11
2.2.1.3 Probabilistic Estimating	14
2.2.2 Indirect Cost Estimate Stage	15
2.2.3 Markup Assessment Stage	17
2.2.2.1 Risk Allowances	17
2.2.2.2 Margin of Profit	19
2.3 Methods of Assessing the Probability of Winning and Classification of Competitors to Key and Average	20
2.4 Utility Theory	21
2.4.1 Axioms of Utility Theory	22
2.4.2 Utility Function	23
2.4.3 Utility Theory Contributions	23
2.5 Methods of Developing Competitive Bidding Process	24
2.5.1 Bidding Strategy Models	24
2.5.1.1 Statistical Models	24
2.5.1.1.1 Expected Monetary Value Models	25
2.5.1.1.2 Expected Utility Value Models	38
2.5.1.2 Probabilistic Mathematical Models	51
2.5.1.3 Incorporating Estimating Inaccuracy in the Bidding Models	54

	Page
2.5.1.4 General Overview on the Bidding Models	54
2.5.2 Other Conducted Studies for Improving Competitive Bidding Performance	55
2.6 Summary and Conclusions	65
 CHAPTER 3: APPLIED STATISTICS	
3.1 Introduction	67
3.2 Decision Making Tools	67
3.2.1 Statistics	68
3.2.2 Probability Theory	68
3.3 Probability Distributions	69
3.3.1 Normal Distribution	69
3.3.2 Poisson distribution	71
3.3.3 Gamma Distribution	71
3.4 Regression and Correlation Analyses	72
3.4.1 Simple Linear Regression	72
3.4.1.1 Correlation and Determination Coefficients	73
3.4.1.2 Experimental and Lack of Fit Errors	74
3.4.2 Multiple Linear Regression	76
3.4.2.1 Criteria for Predictor Variables Selection	77
3.4.2.2 Multiple Correlation and Determination Coefficients	78
3.4.2.3 Testing for Significant Regression	79
3.4.3 Model Transformation	80
3.5 Summary and Conclusions	81
 CHAPTER 4: BIDDING ENVIRONMENT FOR THE EGYPTIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY	
4.1 Introduction	83
4.2 Questionnaire Design, Components and Requirements	84
4.3 To Whom the Questionnaires were Directed	86
4.3.1 Type of Work the Participants are Involved in	86
4.3.2 Characteristics of Participants	89
4.4 Departments Responsible for Bidding Process for Contractors	91
4.4.1 Objectives of Departments	92
4.4.2 Main Functions of Departments	93
4.5 Practice of Contractors' Direct Cost Estimate	93
4.5.1 Methods Adopted by Contractors to Estimate Direct Cost	94
4.5.2 Driving Factors for Subcontracting Work	95
4.5.3 Factors Affecting Direct Cost Estimate	96
4.6 Subcontractors Bidding Practice	98
4.6.1 Desirable Characteristics of Subcontractors as Viewed by Contractors	98