شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Ain Shams University Information Network

جامعة عين شمس

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

@ ASUNET

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات

Ain Shams University Information Network

جامعة عين شمس

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

@ ASUNET

يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار

بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

Ain Shams University Information Network

جامعة عين شمس

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

@ ASUNET

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

TORSIONAL BEHAVIOUR OF IRREGULAR STRUCTURES DURING EARTHQUAKES

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in structural engineering

By

HUSSEIN KHALED ABD-ELAZEZ HUSSEIN

Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams university, 2014

Supervised By

Pro. Dr. GAMAL HUSSEIN MAHMOUD

Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University

Dr. NASR EID NASR

Associate professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University

2020

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

TORSIONAL BEHAVIOUR OF IRREGULAR STRUCTURES DURING EARTHQUAKES

Degree of Master of Science in structural engineering

(Structural Department)

By

HUSSEIN KHALED ABD-ELAZEZ HUSSEIN

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation	**Signature**
Prof. Dr. Hala Mohamed Gamal, National Research Center	………………
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad, Ain shams university	………………
Prof. Dr. Gamal Hussein Mahmoud, Ain shams university	………………

Date: March 2020

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Department of Structural Department

Name : HUSSEIN KHALED ABD-ELAZEZ HUSSEIN

Thesis : Torsional Behavior of Irregular Structures during Earthquakes

Degree : Master of Science in Civil engineering (Structural)

Supervisors Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Pro. Dr. Gamal Hussein Mahmoud Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university	………………
Dr. Nasr Eid Nasr Assistant Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university	………………

Postgraduate studies

Authorization stamp: The thesis is authorized at ……../……../……..

College Board approval	University Board approval
……../……../……..	……../……../……..

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering “Ain Shams University”.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a requirement at any other scientific entity.

Student Name

Hussein khaled Abd-El Azez Hussein

Signature

……………………

Date: March 2020

Researcher Data

Name	: Hussein Khaled Abd-El.Azez
Date of birth	: 13/12/1991
Place of birth	: Cairo
Last academic degree	: B.Sc. (Civil department), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, June 2014
Field of specialization	: Structural Engineer
University issued the degree	: Ain shams university
Current job	: Structure Engineer at Petrojet Company.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I like to say that no words can express my gratitude, love and appreciation to my mother, my father, my brothers and my wife whose help and encouragement were the main motive for me to complete this work. Thank you for supporting me throughout my entire life, words fail to explain how grateful I am for having you as my family.

I would like to present my gratefulness to my supervisors, Dr. Gamal Hussein and Dr. Nasr Eid. Thank you for your guidance, support and assistance throughout this research, I am indebted to all of you with the knowledge and experience I gained. It was an honor working under your supervision.

My greatest appreciation goes to all my instructors and colleagues at the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. Each and every one of you participated in the achievement I made, by teaching, supporting and encouraging me throughout different stages. Thank you.

May 2020

Table of Contents

Statement. 3

Acknowledgment. 5

List of Figures.. 8

List of Tables. 14

List of Notations and Symbols. 16

Abstract. 17

Chapter 1: Introduction. 19

1.1 Background...19

1.2 Aims & Objectives...20

1.3 Methodology of work..21

1.4 Thesis organization..22

Chapter 2: Literature-Review...23

2.1 Brief overview...23

2.2 General Background. 23

2.3 Causes of torsional buildings 24

2.4 Analysis Method studies........ 26

2.5 Elastic torsional response studies........ 38

2.6 In-Elastic torsional response studies........ 53

2.7 Experimental Studies........ 67

Chapter 3: Verification Study 73

3.1 General. 73

3.2 Verification Model. 73

3.3 Verification Results & conclusion 81

Chapter 4: Irregularity in seismic codes provisions 86

4.1 Introduction. 86

4.2 Objectives. 86

4.3 Valid Comparison of codes. 87

4.4 Brief summary of Codes provisions. 87

4.5 Methodology. 100

Chapter 5: Parametric Study on Torsion irregularity 115

5.1 General. 115

5.2 Modeling data. 115

5.3 Elastic Behavior investigation. 122

5.4 In-Elastic Behavior investigation. 148

Chapter 6: Conclusion & Recommendation……………………………………………211

References……………………………………………………………………………………...214

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Fig.1-1 Damage to Reentrant corner and upper stories of the Ministry of Telecommunications Building in Mexico City after the 1985 earthquake.	*19*
Fig.1-2 Some Types of Irregularity	***20***
Fig.2-1 Histogram of time distribution of publications on building torsion	***23***
Fig.2-2 Center of mass (CM) & center of rigidity (CR) about Y axis	***25***
Fig. 2-3. Frames considered in this study: (a) Regular, (b) Mass Irregularity, (c) Vertical geometric irregularity, and (d) difference in floor levels	***28***
Fig. 2-4. Pseudo-acceleration spectra of scaled ground motions, damping ratio=5%	***29***
Fig. 2-5. Comparison of the peak story displacements of the reference regular frame computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***31***
Fig. 2-6. Comparison of the peak story displacements of the mass irregular models computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***32***
Fig. 2-7. Comparison of the peak story displacements of the geometrically irregular models computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***33***
Fig. 2-8. Comparison of the peak story displacements of the floor-levels irregular models computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***33***
Fig. 2-9. Comparison of the story-drift ratios of the reference regular frame computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***34***
Fig. 2-10. Comparison of the story-drift ratios of the mass irregular frames computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***35***
Fig. 2-11. Comparison of the story-drift ratios of the geometrically irregular frames Computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***36***
Fig. 2-12. Comparison of the story-drift ratios of the floor-levels irregular frames computed by MPA and NLTHA procedures	***36***
Fig.2-13 Concentration stresses at re-entrant corner in L-shaped buildings	***39***
Fig.2-14 Reference regular model "RM" and irregular L-shaped models	***40***
Fig. 2-15. Story Drift Ratio responses for different models	***42***

Fig. 2-16. Effect of lateral torsional vibration coupling in the Story displacement for L-shaped models	***43***
Fig. 2-17. Torsional. Irregularity Ratio for different models.	***45***
Fig. 2-18. Diaphragm Torsional rotation response	***45***
Fig. 2-19. Graphic of torsional irregularity in GB50011-2001 and UBC97	***46***
Fig. 2-20. Seismic effect coefficient curve	***47***
Fig. 2-21. Plan layout of Str.31	***48***
Figure 2-22. Variations of θ with exr / (er)	***51***
Figure 2-23. Variations of θ with exr / (er) (continuous)	***52***
Figure 2-24. Buildings with different plan aspect ratio	***54***
Figure 2-25. Comparison of base force versus displacement in X & Y-directions.	***55***
Figure 2-26. Comparison of base force in X & Y directions.	***55***
Figure 2-27. Pushover capacity curve and performance point (X-Dir).	***56***
Figure 2-28. Pushover capacity curve and performance point (Y-Dir).	***57***
Figure 2-29. (Case 1 & Case 2) yielding pattern of the structure at the Performance point (X-dir).	***58***
Figure 2-30. (Case 3 & Case 4) yielding pattern of the structure at the Performance point (X-dir).	***58***
Figure 2-31. (Case 1 & Case 2) yielding pattern of the structure at the Performance point (Y-dir).	***58***
Figure 2-32. (Case 3 & Case 4) yielding pattern of the structure at the Performance point (Y-dir).	***59***
Figure 2-33. Number of plastic hinges from A to B.	***59***
Figure 2-34. Number of plastic hinges from B to IO.	***59***
Figure 2-35. Number of plastic hinges from in X & Y directions.	***60***
Figure 2-36. Plan and 3D view of analyzed models	***61***
Figure 2-37. Maximum story displacement along X and Y direction	***63***
Figure 2-38. Maximum story displacement along X and Y direction	***64***
Figure 2-39. Base shear of all the models	***64***
Figure 2-40. Time period of all the models	***65***