

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / مني مغربي أحمد

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

1992

1992

ملاحظات: لا يوجد



Relationship and Inverse Relationship between HCV Treatment by DAA's and Portal Hypertension in Egyptian Patients with Combined Cirrhosis & Portal Hypertension

Thesis

For Partial Fulfillment of MD Degree in **Internal Medicine**

By

Ahmed Abd EL-Aziz EL-Moursi EL-Sayed

M.B.B.CH, M.SC Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nannes Adel Abdulmeged

Professor of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hany Aly Hussein

Professor of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ramy Samir Ghait

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Heba Ahmed Faheem

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Heba T-allah Mohammed Yousry ELnaggar

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AUAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mannes Adel Abdulmeged,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hany Ally Hussein,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ramy Samir Ghait,** Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.

I wish to introduce my deep respect and thanks to **Dr.**Theba Ahmed Faheem, Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Hepatology, Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University and **Dr.** Theba T-allah Mohammed Youry & Laggar, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for their kindness, supervision and cooperation in this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Ahmed Abd El-Aziz El-Moursi El-Sayed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iv
List of Abbreviations	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Viral Genome & Antiviral Therapy	6
HCV Related Liver Disease & PHTN	10
Diagnostic Methods of Cirrhosis, Portal hy related Complication	-
Patients and Methods	82
Results	91
Discussion	120
Summary	135
Conclusion	140
Recommendations	141
References	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No	o. Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Etiologies of Portal Hypertension:	11
Table (2):	Stages of PH in Cirrhosis, Clinical Manifes and Goals of Therapy	tations,
Table (3):	Methods of obtaining liver tissue for pat examination:	
Table (4):	Semiquantitative fibrosis staging systems for clinical trials of chronic hepatitis	
Table (5):	Classification of EVs	39
Table (6):	PHG Classification:	42
Table (7):	Performance of direct and patented serum biomarkers to diagnose liver cirrhosis	
Table (8):	Fibrosis Tests,	50
Table (9):	Performance of indirect serum fibrosis biomadiagnose liver cirrhosis due to HCV infection:	
Table (10):	Demographic data of the studied groups as reg	
Table (11):	Demographic data of the studied groups as reg	
Table (12):	Demographic data of the studied groups as regard	(SVR) 92
Table (13):	Characteristics of the studied groups as (CTP) Child-Pugh Classification before st treatment	art the
Table (14):	Baseline Characteristics of the studied groups at laboratory values and non-invasive serum (scores)	s regard markers
Table (15):	Baseline Characteristics of the studied groregard endoscopy	-
Table (16):	Baseline Characteristics of the studied groregard US and PVD	-

List of Tables (cont...)

Table No	o. Title	Page	No.
Table (17):	Baseline Characteristics of the studied groregard the (DAAs) Direct Acting Antivirals re		96
Table (18):	Baseline, SVR 24, and SVR 48 comparison in A regarding the laboratory values and non-inserum markers (scores) after treatment with D	nvasive	98
Table (19):	Baseline, SVR 24, and SVR 48 comparison in B regarding the laboratory values and non-inserum markers (scores) after treatment with D	nvasive	99
Table (20):	Correlation between labs and scores to radio signs in Group A at baseline before treatme DAAs	nt with	100
Table (21):	Correlation between labs and scores to radio signs in Group A at SVR 48 after treatme DAAs:	nt with	100
Table (22):	Baseline, SVR 24, and SVR 48 comparison in A regarding Grey Scale 2-D US after treatmed DAAs	nt with	103
Table (23):	Baseline and SVR 48 comparison in Gregarding Portal Vein Duplex after treatment DAAs	nt with	105
Table (24):	Baseline and SVR 48 comparison in Gregarding OV grading detected by UGI end after treatment with DAAs	loscopy	106
Table (25):	Demographic data regarding reversibility of PDAAs		108
Table (26):	Comparison between Group (I) Reversed (n=24) and Group (II) improved CSPH regarding laboratory values after DAA's	(n=16)	110
Table (27):	Comparison between Group (I) Reversed (n=24) and Group (II) improved CSPH regards Non-invasive serum markers after DA	(n=16)	110

List of Tables (cont...)

Table No	o. Title	Page No.
Table (28):	Comparison between Group (I) Reversed (n=24) and Group (II) improved CSPH regards Radiological and Endoscopic para after treatment with DAA's	(n=16) ameters
Table (29):	ROC curve predicting presence of CSPH ir related Liver Cirrhosis	
Table (30):	ROC curve predicting Reversibility of hypertension in patients with HCV related ci with CSPH:	irrhosis

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	HCV: Model structure and genome organ	nization 7
Figure (1):	Pathophysiology of portal hypertension	
Figure (2):	Stages and substages of cirrhosis	
G , ,		
Figure (4):	Stages of cirrhosis in relation to p mechanisms	
Figure (5):	Measurement of portal pressure	30
Figure (6):	Balloon occluded venography showing occlusion of the hepatic vein for the mean of the WHVP; the arrow indicates the balloon	asurement
Figure (7):	HV venography showing communicar between two hepatic veins (arrows)	
Figure (8):	Measurement of the free hepatic venous (FHVP)	•
Figure (9):	Recording HVPG	34
Figure (10):	Interpretation of HVPG in relation to 9 PH	
Figure (11):	Application of HVGP measurement Stratification in Advanced Chronic Liver	
Figure (12):	Size of EVs in relation to lumen diameter	r39
Figure (13):	A). Small EVs. B). Medium EVs. C). L D). Large EVs with Risky Signs	_
Figure (14):	GOV Classification	41
Figure (15):	A) mild PHG. B) moderate PHG. C) Sev	ere PHG 42
Figure (16):	Classification of chronic liver disease histological, clinical, hemodynami biological parameters. Serum fibrosis b validated for each fibrosis stage are report	ic, and iomarkers
Figure (17):	Direct and Indirect serum biomarkers of	fibrosis 45
Figure (18):	Fibrogenic process	47
Figure (19):	Cirrhosis features on CT	71

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (20):	Portal hypertension features on CT	74
Figure (21):	DAA's Regimen of studied groups	
Figure (22):	Child-Pugh Classification	
Figure (23):	APRI score	
Figure (24):	PC/SD Ratio	
Figure (24):	FIB-4 Index	
Figure (26):	King's Score	
9 ,	Lok Index	
Figure (27):		
Figure (28):	PLT count Group A	
Figure (29):	PLT count both groups	
Figure (30):	Comparison of amount of ascites in groubaseline, SVR24, SVR 48	
Figure (31):	EVs grades at baseline and at SVR 48 after	DAAs 106
Figure (32):	EVs risky signs at baseline and at SVR 4	
Figure (33):	Reversibility of portal hypertension after D.	
Figure (34):	ROC curve for prediction of CSPH in	
Figure (34).	related Liver Cirrhosis	
Figure (35):	ROC curve for Scores predicting CSPH	
Figure (36):	ROC curve for labs predicting CSPH	
Figure (37):	ROC curve for Portal vein duplex pre	edicting
Figure (38):	ROC curve for prediction of portal hyper reversibility in patients with HCV relate cirrhosis with CSPH	ed liver
Figure (39):	ROC curve for Scores predicting hypertension reversibility in patients with related liver cirrhosis with CSPH	h HCV

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (40):	ROC curve for Labs pred hypertension reversibility in patient related liver cirrhosis with CSPH	nts with HCV
Figure (41):	ROC curve for PVD pred hypertension reversibility in patient related liver cirrhosis and CSPH	nts with HCV

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
2D-SWE	2-D Shear-Wave Elastography
	Acoustic radiation force impulse
	\dots Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound
CIN	Contrast induced nephropathy
	Child–Pugh– Turcotte
	Clinically significant portal hypertension
	Computed Tomography
	Direct-acting antivirals
	Deep learning Radionomics of elastography
	Diabetes mellitus
	Extracellular matrix
EGD	Endoscopic signs using
	Epidermal growth factor
	Enhanced Liver Fibrosis
EUS	$Endoscopic\ ultrasound$
EV	Esophageal varices
EV	Esophageal varices
<i>FHVP</i>	Free hepatic vein pressure
	Focal seg-mental glomerulosclerosis
<i>GAVE</i>	Gastric antral vascular ectasia
GOV	Gastroesophageal varices
GV	Gastric varices
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV	Hepatitis C virus
HSC	Hepatic stellate cells
HVPG	Hepatic venous pressure gradient
	Hepatic vein transit times
	Isolated gastric varices
	International normalization

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
IVR	Intrahepatic vascular resistance
kPa	
<i>LS</i>	-
	Model of end- stage liver disease
	Metalloproteinase 2
	Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis
	Magnetic resonance imaging
	Nodular regenerative hyperplasia
<i>NS</i>	
<i>PC</i>	
	Platelet derived growth factor
	Pegylated-interferon
	Portal Hypertensive Gastropathy
	Pulsatility Index
	Portal pressure gradient
	Point Shear Wave Elastography
	Portal vein thrombosis
	Right atrial pressure
<i>RBV</i>	-
	Regional hepatic perfusion
RI	
	Region of interest
	Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis
	Sustained virologic response
	Transient elastography
	Transient Elastography
	Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1
	Wedged hepatic venous wedged hepatic venous
	pressure

Introduction

The predestined prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in 2015 was (1.0 %) (71.1) million individuals of them are chronically infected. Viral hepatitis was rated to be the seventh leading cause of mortality worldwide, but it is estimated that only 20% of individuals with hepatitis C know their diagnosis, and only 15% of those with known hepatitis C have been treated. In many cases, it is attributed to (HCV), which is the main causes of liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and cancer worldwide, however, Egypt own the highest prevalence rate in the world (Mohd-Hanafiah et al., 2013; Spearman et al., 2019).

It is universally accepted that the widespread of infection in Egypt was due to governmental implementation of mass population anti-schistosomal treatment with "tartar emetic injections" (took place from 1950s to 1980s) beside the usual modes of transmission, such as IV drug usage, shared or reused needles, poorly sterilized surgical or dental equipment, blood transfusions and vertical transmission (*Elgharably et al.*, 2017).

A synergistic effect may complicate the course of HCV and schistosomiasis, vice versa. A long-term study showed that complications observed more frequently in those with coinfection with around 48% having cirrhosis (*Elgharably et al.*, 2017).

It's estimated that 75–80% of HCV infected individuals will progress to chronic hepatitis C after exposure and about 15-30% of them will progress to cirrhosis and hepatic decompensation with or without hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Around 15–20% will die during the first year following decompensation (*Spearman et al.*, 2019).

Acute (HCV) infection is typically asymptomatic & anicteric. Only 25% of cases are clinically manifested. However, symptoms, if existed, become apparent 2–26 weeks after HCV exposure, and the acute hepatitis lasts 2–12 weeks. Hepatitis C antibodies started to emerge within 12 weeks of infection, as HCV RNA is detectable before antibodies, it was used in diagnosis of acute viral hepatitis C. Fulminant hepatitis is rare condition which resample (<1%), and associated chronic hepatitis B infection, HIV co-infection, and concomitant immunosuppression are risk factors for the development of this condition (*Spearman et al.*, 2019).

It is necessary to harbor the disease between 20–40 years and therefore has a chronic HCV infection. After that,

a noticeable symptoms or signs will occur. HCV is a significant precursor for fibrosis, cirrhosis, and ultimately, hepatocellular carcinoma in long-term manner especially in chronic cases.