



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / مني مغربي أحمد

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد





Prognostic Value of QRS Complex Fragmentation in Patients with Acute Anterior STEMI undergoing Primary PCI During in-Hospital Stay

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Cardiology

By

Mahmoud Gamal Elgaly Alessawy

M.B.B.Ch

Under Supervision of

Assist. Prof. Dr. Adham Ahmed Abdeltawab

Assistant Professor of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Moustafa Farouk

Lecturer of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2022*

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العليم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Adham Ahmed Abdeltawab**, Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.*

*Special thanks are due to **Dr. Mohamed Moustafa Farouk**, Lecturer of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Mahmoud Gamal Elgaly Alessawy

List of Contents

| Title | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| List of Tables..... | i |
| List of Figures | iii |
| List of Abbreviations | vi |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the Study | 3 |
| Review of Literature | |
| Chapter 1: S-T Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) | 4 |
| Chapter 2: Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention | 16 |
| Chapter 3: Stenting in Primary PCI..... | 20 |
| Chapter 4: Fragmented QRS Complex | 23 |
| Patients and Methods..... | 30 |
| Results..... | 39 |
| Discussion | 71 |
| Study Limitation..... | 82 |
| Summary..... | 83 |
| Conclusion..... | 85 |
| References | 86 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------------|---|----------|
| Table 1: | Comparison between different P2Y12 inhibitors | 13 |
| Table 2: | Doses of antiplatelet and anticoagulation used in PPCI patients..... | 19 |
| Table 3: | Descriptive data regarding demographic data | 39 |
| Table 4: | Descriptive data regarding vital data and chest pain | 41 |
| Table 5: | Descriptive data regarding Killip class, ECG, echocardiography data, Cath lab events of patients enrolled in this study | 42 |
| Table 6: | Descriptive data regarding Cath lab events, MACE and mortality of patients enrolled in this study during hospitals stay | 42 |
| Table 7: | Comparison between group A +ve fQRS and group B –ve fQRS regarding demographic data and risk factors | 46 |
| Table 8: | Comparison between group A +ve fQRS and group B –ve fQRS regarding vital data and TCP | 47 |
| Table 9: | Comparison between group A +ve fQRS and group B –ve fQRS regarding Killip class, ECG and echocardiography data..... | 48 |
| Table 10: | Comparison between group A and group B regarding ECG, echocardiography data, Cath lab events | 52 |
| Table 11: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding demographic data and risk factors | 55 |
| Table 12: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding vital data and TCP..... | 56 |

List of Tables *cont...*

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------------|---|----------|
| Table 13: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) +ve fQRS and (B2) -ve fQRS groups regarding ECG and echocardiography data..... | 57 |
| Table 14: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) +ve fQRS and (B2) -ve fQRS groups regarding MACE, Cath lab events and mortality..... | 58 |
| Table 15: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) -ve fQRS and (A2+B1) +ve fQRS groups regarding demographic data and risk factors..... | 62 |
| Table 16: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) -ve fQRS and (A2+B1) +ve fQRS groups regarding vital data and TCP..... | 63 |
| Table 17: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) -ve fQRS and (A2+B1) +ve fQRS groups regarding killip class, ECG, echocardiography data :..... | 65 |
| Table 18: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) -ve fQRS and (A2+B1) +ve fQRS groups regarding MACE and cath lab events and mortality..... | 66 |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| Figure 1: | Flow chart proposed by ESC in management of STEMI patients based on the total ischemic time ... | 15 |
| Figure 2: | Classification of fragmented QRS (various RSR' patterns)..... | 24 |
| Figure 3: | Effects of low pass filter | 25 |
| Figure 4: | Shows percentage of gender of patients enrolled in this study | 39 |
| Figure 5: | Shows percentage of smoking, HTN, dyslipidemia and typicality of chest pain of patients enrolled in this study | 40 |
| Figure 6: | Shows percentage of diabetes mellitus type of patients enrolled in this study | 40 |
| Figure 7: | Shows percentage of Killip class on admission to patients enrolled in this study | 43 |
| Figure 8: | Shows percentage of echocardiographic data and Cath lab events to patients enrolled in this study ... | 43 |
| Figure 9: | Shows percentage of grade of thrombus containing lesion scale after passing the wire to patients enrolled in this study | 44 |
| Figure 10: | Shows percentage of MACE, Cath lab events and mortality to patients enrolled in this study | 44 |
| Figure 11: | Shows percentage of each grade of TIMI flow to patients enrolled in this study | 45 |
| Figure 12: | Shows percentage of mortality in patients with anterior SEMI present to our cardiology department | 45 |
| Figure 13: | Comparison between group A and group B regarding Killip class | 49 |

List of Figures cont...

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| Figure 14: | Comparison between group A and group B regarding number of leads with fragmented QRS complex showing >75% of A group 2-4 fQRS leads and 25% of A group 5 fQRS leads. | 50 |
| Figure 15: | Comparison between group A +ve fQRS and group B -ve fQRS regarding DTB, reperfusion time and EF% | 51 |
| Figure 16: | Comparison between group A +ve fQRS and group B -ve fQRS regarding LV thrombus, arrhythmia, needed thrombus aspiration and tirofiban needed..... | 53 |
| Figure 17: | Comparison between group A and group B regarding grade of thrombus containing lesion scale after passing the wire..... | 54 |
| Figure 18: | Comparison between group A and group B regarding mortality | 54 |
| Figure 19: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding Killip class | 59 |
| Figure 20: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding number of leads with fragmented QRS complex..... | 59 |
| Figure 21: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding DTB, reperfusion time and EF%..... | 60 |
| Figure 22: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding LV thrombus, arrhythmia, needed thrombus aspiration and tirofiban needed .. | 60 |
| Figure 23: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding grade of thrombus containing lesion scale after passing the wire..... | 61 |

List of Figures cont...

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| Figure 24: | Comparison between (A1&2 + B1) and (B2) groups regarding mortality | 61 |
| Figure 25: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding DM type | 64 |
| Figure 26: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding HTN | 64 |
| Figure 27: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding Killip class | 67 |
| Figure 28: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding number of leads with fragmented QRS complex..... | 67 |
| Figure 29: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding DTB, reperfusion time and EF%..... | 68 |
| Figure 30: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding LV thrombus, arrhythmia, needed thrombus aspiration and tirofiban needed .. | 68 |
| Figure 31: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding grade of thrombus containing lesion scale after passing the wire..... | 69 |
| Figure 32: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding TIMI flow | 69 |
| Figure 33: | Comparison between (A1 + B2) and (A2+B1) groups regarding mortality | 70 |

List of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|--------------|--|
| ACS | Acute coronary syndrome |
| ADP | Adenosine Di Phosphate |
| CABG | Coronary artery Bypass Grafting |
| CAD | Coronary artery disease |
| CVD | Cardiovascular disease |
| CYP450..... | Cytochrome P450 |
| DAPT | Dual antiplatelet therapy |
| DES | Drug-eluting stents |
| DM | Diabetes mellitus |
| ECGs | Electrocardiograms |
| fQRS | Fragmented QRS |
| GP | Glycoprotein |
| IQR | Inter-quartile range |
| IRA | Infarct-related artery |
| LDL-C | Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol |
| LVEF | Left ventricular ejection fraction |
| LVH | Left ventricular hypertrophy |
| MACE | Major adverse cardiac events |
| MI | Myocardial infarction |
| MI | Myocardial infarction |
| NSTEMI | Non-ST-segment elevation MI |
| NYHA | New York Heart Association |
| PCI | Percutaneous coronary intervention |
| PLATO | PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes |
| R'..... | R wave |
| RCTs..... | Randomised controlled trials |
| RWMA | Regional wall motion abnormality |
| SAECG | Signal averaged electrocardiogram |
| SCAD | Spontaneous coronary artery dissection |
| SPECT | Single photon emission tomography |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Science |
| STEMI | ST elevation myocardial infarction |
| STEMI | ST-segment elevation MI |
| UFH | Unfractionated heparin |

INTRODUCTION

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) as a type of coronary reperfusion therapy may lead to recanalization and improved myocardial reperfusion in patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) (*Wei et al., 2015*).

The presence of a fragmented QRS (fQRS) complex including narrow or wide QRS complex, which corresponds to the depolarization of the right and left ventricles of the human heart is frequently recorded following surface electrocardiograms (ECGs). Previous studies have identified that fQRS complex on surface ECG is a predictor of adverse cardiovascular events, including cardiac mortality and heart failure (*Ozcan et al., 2014; Kocaman et al., 2012*).

In clinical terms, the presence of fQRS is common among patients with biventricular enlargement and myocardial infarction (MI) (*Flowers et al., 1969*). Furthermore, the presence of fQRS has been associated with decreased myocardial reperfusion and functional deterioration in patients with ischemic heart disease (*Michael et al., 2007; Mahenthiran et al., 2007*).

Diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidemia are known risk factors for ischemic heart disease and may cause greater myocardial remodeling and dysfunction (*Hajar, 2017*).

To the best of our knowledge, the association between fQRS and reperfusion and changes in left ventricular function

have not yet been investigated in patients with STEMI that have undergone primary PCI.

Therefore, the objective of the current study was to investigate the association between fQRS and reperfusion and changes in LV function, and to assess the prevalence and the clinical prognostic significance of fQRS in patients with anterior STEMI following primary PCI.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The study sought to investigate the prevalence of fQRS complex in ECG of patients admitted with acute anterior STEMI undergoing primary PCI and its short-term prognostic value during hospital stay.

Chapter 1

S-T SEGMENT ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION (STEMI)

Acute myocardial infarction (MI) is defined as presence of evidence of myocardial injury (defined as rise or fall of cardiac troponins with at least one value more than 99th percentile upper reference limit), with clinical manifestations of myocardial ischemia (*Thygesen et al., 2018*).

Ischemic heart disease is the most common cause of mortality worldwide (*Townsend et al., 2016*). For the sake of reperfusion therapy, patients with ST-segment elevation, at least in 2 contiguous leads, are diagnosed as ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI). Meanwhile, patients without ST-segment elevation are considered to have non-ST-segment elevation MI (NSTEMI) (*Roffi et al., 2016*).

Mortality in STEMI patients is affected by including advanced age, history of MI, Killip class, diabetes mellitus, renal failure, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), number of diseased coronaries, time to treatment and treatment strategy (*McManus et al., 2011*).

Reperfusion therapy after STEMI with the expanding use of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), new antithrombotic therapy, and secondary prevention has caused the incidence of both acute and long-term mortality to decrease with