

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$ 

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / مني مغربي أحمد

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

1992

1992

ملاحظات: لا يوجد



# RELATION OF SERUM ALUMINUM LEVEL TO UREMIC PRURITUS IN ESRD PATIENTS ON MAINTENANCE HEMODIALYSIS

#### Thesis

# Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in internal Medicine

Presented by

### Mahmoud Nady Abd El Aziz Abd El Azim

M.B.B.Ch, M.SC, Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Supervised by

#### Prof. Dr. Iman Ibrahim Sarhan

Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine. Ain Shams University

### **Prof.** Dr. Tamer Wahid El Said

Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

### Prof. Dr. Hussein Sayed Hussein

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University\

### **DR. Ahmed Mohamed Tawfik**

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology

Internal medicine, Nephrology – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2022



# العلاقة بين نسبة الألومنيوم بالدم والحكة في مرضي الفشل الكلوي المعايشين على الاستصفاء الدموي

رسالة

توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراة في امراض الباطنة العامة مقدمة من

الطبيب/محمود نادي عبد العزيز عبد العظيم

بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة؛ ماجيستير الباطنة العامة كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

# الاستاذ الدكتور/ إيمان إبراهيم سرحان

استاذ الباطنة العامة والكلي

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

# الاستاذ الدكتور/ تامروحيد السعيد

استاذ الباطنة العامة والكلي

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

# الأستاذ الدكتور/ حسين سيد حسين

استاذ مساعد الباطنة العامة والكلي

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

# اللكتور/ أحمد محمد توفيق

مدرس الباطنة العامة والكلي

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

2022



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the Most Merciful.

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude and appreciation to my thesis supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Iman**Ibrahim Sarhan, Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Ain Shams University, for providing invaluable guidance throughout this thesis. Her vision, sincerity and motivation have deeply inspired me. She has taught me the methodology to carry out this work and to present the research work as clearly as possible. It was a great privilege and honor to work and study under her guidance and supervision. She has generously devoted much of her time and effort for planning and supervision of this study.

I wish to express my great thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tamer Wahid El Said,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Ain Shams University, **Prof. Dr. Hussein Sayed Hussein,** Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Ain Shams University, and **DR. Ahmed Mohamed Tawfik,** Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Ain Shams University, for their kind supervision, indispensable advice, and great help in this work.

Last and not least, I want to thank all my family for their love, prayers, caring and sacrifice. my colleagues, for their valuable help and support.

Finally, I would present all my appreciations to my patients without them, this work could not have been completed.

### **CONTENTS**

| Tit | tle             |                                      | Page |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| •   | List of Abbrev  | viations                             | I    |
| •   | List of Table   | ••••••                               | III  |
| •   | List of Figures | S                                    | IV   |
| •   | Introduction.   | ••••••                               | 1    |
| •   | Aim of the wo   | ork                                  | 4    |
| •   | Review of lite  | rature                               |      |
|     | Chapter (1):    | End stage renal disease              | 5    |
|     | Chapter (2):    | Uremic pruritus                      | 14   |
|     | • • •           | Aluminum and end stage renal disease | 44   |
| •   | Patients and I  | Methods                              | 62   |
| •   | Results         | ••••••                               | 66   |
| •   | Discussion      | ••••••                               | 77   |
| •   | Summary         | ••••••                               | 86   |
| •   | Conclusion      | ••••••                               | 89   |
| •   | Recommenda      | tions                                | 91   |
| •   | References      |                                      | 92   |
| •   | الملخص العربي   |                                      |      |

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abb.                           | Full term                                     |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 25(OH)D-1-alpha<br>hydroxylase | 25 hydroxyvitamin D-1 alpha hydroxylase       |
| ACEIs                          | Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors      |
| ACR                            | Urinary albumin-creatinine ratio              |
| Al                             | Aluminum                                      |
| ARBs                           | Angiotensin receptor blockers                 |
| ATN                            | Acute tubular necrosis                        |
| CaSR                           | Calcium-sensing receptor                      |
| CKD                            | Chronic kidney disease                        |
| CKD-aP                         | CKD-associated pruritus                       |
| CRP                            | C - reactive protein                          |
| CVD                            | Cardio-vascular diseases                      |
| DM                             | Diabetes mellitus                             |
| DOPPS                          | Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study |
| eGFR                           | Estimated glomerular filtration rate          |
| ESA                            | Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents             |
| ESRD                           | End stage renal disease                       |
| FGF-23                         | Fibroblast growth factor 23                   |
| FSGS                           | Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis            |
| GLA                            | Gamma-linolenic acid                          |
| GN                             | Glomerulonephritis                            |
| HBV                            | Hepatitis B virus                             |
| HCV                            | Hepatitis C virus                             |
| HD                             | Hemodialysis                                  |
| HTN                            | Systemic hypertension                         |
| ISS                            | Itch severity scale                           |
| IV                             | Intravenous                                   |
| KDIGO                          | Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes      |
| KDOQI                          | The National Kidney Foundation–Kidney         |
|                                | Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative           |
| KDQOL                          | Kidney Disease Quality of Life                |
| KDQOL-SF                       | KDQOL -Short Form                             |
| NRS                            | Numeric rating scale                          |
| NSAIDs                         | Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug          |
| PCS                            | Physical component summary                    |
| PD                             | Peritoneal dialysis                           |

# £ List of Abbreviations

| A 1. 1. | E II 4                           |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| Abb.    | Full term                        |
| PKD     | Polycystic kidney disease        |
| PTH     | Parathyroid hormone              |
| QoL     | Quality of life                  |
| RBCs    | Red blood cells                  |
| rHuEPO  | Recombinant human erythropoeitin |
| RRT     | Renal replacement therapy        |
| SCN     | Sickle Cell Nephropathy          |
| SGLT-2  | Sodium-glucose transporter 2     |
| TSAT    | Transferrin saturation           |
| UP      | Uremic Pruritus                  |
| URR     | Urea reduction ratio             |
| UVB     | Ultraviolet B                    |
| VAS     | Visual analogue scale            |
| VRS     | Verbal rating scale              |
| WBCs    | White blood cells                |

## **LIST OF TABLE**

| Table No          | Subjects                                    | Page |
|-------------------|---|------|
| <b>Table (1):</b> | Demographic data of the study population:   | 67   |
| <b>Table (2):</b> | Medical history of the study population:    | 68   |
| <b>Table (3):</b> | Drug history of both groups:                | 69   |
| <b>Table (4):</b> | Lab investigations of both groups:          | 70   |
| <b>Table (5):</b> | 5-D itch scale for pruritic patients:       | 71   |
| <b>Table (6):</b> | Correlation between 5-D itch scale and HD   |      |
|                   | vintage:                                    | 71   |
| <b>Table (7):</b> | Relation between 5-D itch scale and medical |      |
| , ,               | history:                                    | 72   |
| <b>Table (8):</b> | Relation between 5-D itch scale and drug    |      |
| ,                 | history:                                    | 72   |
| <b>Table (9):</b> | Correlations between 5-D itch scale and lab |      |
| ( )               | investigations:                             | 74   |
|                   | $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$                  |      |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

| Figure No          | Subjects                                       | Page |
|--------------------|--|------|
| Figure (1):        | Pathophysiology of chronic pruritus            | 21   |
| <b>Figure (2):</b> | Pie chart showing gender distribution among    |      |
|                    | study population                               | 66   |
| Figure (3):        | Shows box plot figure for the distribution of  |      |
|                    | values (median, lower and upper quartile &     |      |
|                    | outliers identified as dots and stars) for     |      |
|                    | aluminum level between both groups of the      |      |
|                    | study  | 70   |
| Figure (4):        | Error Bars figure Showing vitamin B            |      |
|                    | correlation to 5D itch scale in Pruritic group | 73   |
| Figure (5):        | Scatter plot showing the correlation of serum  |      |
| _                  | calcium to 5D itch scale in pruritic study     |      |
|                    | group  | 75   |
| Figure (6):        | Scatter plot showing correlation between       |      |
|                    | hemoglobin level to 5D itch scale in pruritic  |      |
|                    | study group                                    | 75   |
| Figure (7):        | Scatter plot showing correlation of Urea post  |      |
|                    | to 5D itch scale in pruritic study group       | 76   |

### INTRODUCTION

Uremic pruritus (UP) is a common symptom in end stage renal disease (ESRD) patients maintained on regular hemodialysis (HD). The pathogenesis of Uremic pruritus is complex.

Aluminum (Al) is a common metal that is toxic to patients undergoing HD and also is a common human allergen which can cause immune reaction. Despite that severe (Al) toxicity in patients on maintenance HD is now uncommon due to the removal of (Al) from water used for dialysis by reverse osmosis and deionization as well as the of widely available non-aluminum-containing phosphate binders. However, controlling serum (Al) levels remains an important issue for patients on regular HD. The possible sources of aluminum accumulation in patients on regular HD are oral (aluminum-containing phosphate binders and antacids) and injectable medications (calcitriol, vitamins B complex, iron and erythropoietin) that are commonly administered to dialysis patients, and (Al) removal by dialysis is not efficient (Tsai et al., 2018).

Uremic pruritus (UP) is a common and unpleasant symptom in patients with ESRD. It impacts the quality of life and is associated with increased mortality in HD patients (*Aucella and Gesuete*, 2009).

The prevalence of UP ranges from 42% to 90% (*Liu et al.*, 2017).

Despite the high prevalence of UP, its pathogenesis is multi-factorial and poorly understood. The main hypotheses of UP include the loss of normal skin function, inflammation, dysregulation of the endogenous opioidergic system and central/peripheral neural systemic dysfunction. Other factors that have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of UP include xerosis, increased parathyroid hormone level, calcium phosphate-containing precipitates, iron deficiency anaemia, hepatitis virus infection and others (*Chiu et al.*, 2008).

(Al) is a toxic metal in humans, and its cumulative effects have been shown to be particularly detrimental to the health of ESRD patients. (Al) is cleared from the blood exclusively by glomerular filtration. Thus, patients with renal failure accumulate (Al) and are the only routine patient group likely to be at risk of (Al) toxicity. (Al) overload results in accumulation principally in the skeleton and the brain and manifests with osteomalacia (resistant to vitamin D therapy), bone and muscle pain, iron-resistant microcytic anaemia, and neurologic abnormalities including speech disorders, encephalopathy and dementia. The (Al) per se only seems to be an issue if given concurrently with sodium citrate which dramatically increases (Al) uptake, and in dialysis patients this leads to very high plasma levels ~2000 μg/L (~75 μmol/L) which can result in potentially fatal neurological toxicity (Sharma et al., 2015).

The major sources of (Al) in maintenance HD patients are the water used for dialysate solution and Al-containing phosphate binders. Since the 1980s, pretreatment of tap water by reverse osmosis and deionization has significantly reduced the Al concentration in dialysate solution. Also the of widely available non-aluminum-containing use phosphate binders decreased risk of aluminum toxicity. However, controlling serum (Al) levels remains important issue for patients on regular HD. (Al) removal by dialysis is not efficient, and the possible sources of (Al) accumulation in patients on HD are oral (aluminumcontaining phosphate binders and antacids) and injectable medications (calcitriol, vitamins B complex, iron and erythropoietin) that are commonly administered to dialysis patients. The National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease guidelines Initiative Outcomes Quality (KDOQI) recommend that the baseline serum (Al) level should be below 20 ng/mL and that (Al) levels and risk for (Al) toxicity should be assessed at least once per year (Jaffe et al., 2005).

Friga et al., (1997) demonstrated a positive correlation between serum (Al) levels and UP in 94 long-term HD patients. However, few studies have investigated the association between serum (Al) levels and UP since Friga's study. In particular, the association between serum Al level and UP is uncertain in maintenance HD patients.

### **AIM OF THE WORK**

To determine the prevalence of serum aluminum level among HD patients.

To assess the relationship between serum aluminum level and uremic pruritus in HD patients.

#### END STAGE RENAL DISEASE

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined as the presence of kidney damage or an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m2, persisting for 3 months or more, irrespective of the cause (*Levin et al.*, 2013).

It is a state of progressive loss of kidney function ultimately resulting in the need for renal replacement therapy (RRT) (dialysis or transplantation). Renal damage refers to pathologic abnormalities either suggested by imaging studies or renal biopsy, abnormalities in urinary sediment, or increased urinary albumin excretion rates. The 2012 Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD classification recommends details about the cause of the CKD and classifies into 6 categories based on GFR (G1 to G5 with G3 split into 3a and 3b). It also includes the staging based on three levels of albuminuria (A1, A2, and A3), with each stage of CKD being subcategorized according to the urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (ACR) in (mg/gm) or (mg/mmol) in an early morning "spot" urine sample (Vaidya and Aeddula, 2020).

#### The 6 categories include:

- G1: GFR 90 ml/min per 1.73 m2 and above
- G2: GFR 60 to 89 ml/min per 1.73 m2
- G3a: GFR 45 to 59 ml/min per 1.73 m2