بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

Functional outcomes of hemiarthroplasty versus cephalo-medullary fixation in the treatment of unstable intertrochanteric femoral fractures

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of M.D. Degree in Orthopaedic Surgery

By

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Soliman
Master degree of Orthopaedic Surgery

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Mohamed Asal
Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Mohasseb
Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Waleed El sayed Abdelaleem El Shabrawe
Lecturer of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2022

Acknowledgement

*After thanking **Allah,** I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation, to all who have contributed to the success of this study.*

*I am extremely thankful to my principle supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Mohamed Asal** Professor of Orthopaedic surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, whose encouragement; guidance and support from the initial to the final step. I was very lucky to work under his supervision.*

*I am also thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Mehasseb,** Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his help and advice.*

*I am deeply indebted to my professor, **Prof. Dr. Waleed Elsayed Abdel Aleem El Shabrawe** Lecturer of Orthopaedic surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his constant support and invaluable suggestions which made this work successful.*

Islam Mohamed Mohamed Soliman

2022

Table of Contents

SUBJECT	Page
List of figures	I
List of tables	II
List of Abbreviation	III
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of literature	6
Patients and methods	47
Results	53
Case presentation	62
Discussion	64
Summary and Conclusion	68
References	69
الملخص العربي	—

List of Figures

No.	Figure	Page
Fig 1	Ward's triangle and the five trabechular group [17]	**7**
Fig 2	A. Posteromedial calcar shelf, which is usually damaged with unstable fracture patterns. B. Ward cross section of proximal femur. Best quality bone is within 10 to 30 mm of subarticular surface. Note tensile trabeculae between Ward's triangle and greater trochanter. C. Changes in morphology of bone with age: adaptation to maintain whole bone strength. Note expansion of geometry and thinning of cortical bone with aging. [21]	**9**
Fig 3	Dorr classification of morphology of femur. Type A corresponds to a small metaphysis, thick cortex, and high narrowed isthmus. Type B corresponds to a wider metaphysis, thinner cortex, and a tapering but wider isthmus. Type C corresponds to a wide metaphysis, thin cortex, and a straight or varus curvature in the diaphysis with loss of isthmus constriction [21]	**9**
Fig 4	Intramedullary nails over screw and plate fixation are attributed to a reduced distance between the hip joint and the implant. [26]	**14**
Fig 5	PFN Biomechanically stronger than DHS. [27]	**15**

Fig 6	Excessive collapse with DHS within first week due to lateral wall comminution. (27)	**16**
Fig 7	(A) A schematic drawing of the knife effect is shown. Load bearing causes deviation of the antirotation screw (more than the lag screw, because the lag is thicker and, in the standard proximal femoral nail, bears less load). The deviation of the hip pin causes friction in the nail, which prevents sliding of the hip pin. The resulting vertical forces (arrows) on the antirotation screw create an oval hole in the cancellous bone around the tip of the hip pin, just cranially of the lag screw, thus facilitating cutout. (B) A radiograph shows progressive varus dislocation of the femoral head and cutout of the (standard) proximal femoral nail in a 72-year-old woman. (30)	**18**
Fig 8	Plain radiographs showing a long Gamma Nail tip impaction upon the distal anterior cortical of the femur. (34)	**21**
Fig 9	Bipolar hemiarthroplasty positive eccentricity and antivirus action (36).	**23**
Fig 10	Motion distribution between inner and outer joint. (37)	**25**
Fig 11	Zimmer Modular bipolar head(40).	**26**
Fig 12	An 82-year-old woman sustained a pertrochanteric hip fracture with a detached greater trochanter. (44)	**29**

Fig 13	Preoperative anteroposterior radiograph of AO/OTA 31-A3type intertrochanteric hip fracture due to simple fall in a 76-year-old female patient. (45)	**30**
Fig 14	Coronal and 3D CT scan show unstable comminuted intertrochanteric fracture of lt hip. (46)	**30**
Fig 15	CT coronal section showing right trochanteric fracture. (47)	**31**
Fig 16	CT axial section showing right trochanteric fracture. (47)	**31**
Fig 17	CT Coronal view showing right communited trochanteric fracture. (47)	**32**
Fig 18	Pre- and postoperative x rays of a pathological fracture of the inter-trochanteric region of the right proximal femur due to a long cancer treated with gamma nail (52).	**35**
Fig 19	Evans classification (56)	**38**
Fig 20	Boyd and Griffin Classification (57)	**41**
Fig 21	AO/OTA type-31-A pertrochanteric fractures. 31-A1 fractures are simple, whereas 31-A2 fractures are multifragmentary. Subgroups of 31-A2 pertrochanteric fractures are A2.1 (detachment of the lesser trochanter), A2.2 (several intermediate fragments including detachment of the lesser trochanter), and A2.3 (several intermediate fragments	**44**

	extending more than 1 cm distal to the lesser trochanter). 31-A3 intertrochanteric fractures all have a fracture line through the lateral femoral wall, anatomically defined as the lateral femoral cortex distal to the greater trochanter. [44]	
Fig 22	AO classification of trochanteric region fracture [59].	**45**
Fig 23	Removal of femoral head	**50**
Fig 24	Broaching of femoral canal in proper anteversion	**50**
Fig 25	Prosthesis in situ	**51**
Fig 26	Comparison between PFN group and BHA group regarding mean operation time.	**55**
Fig 27	Comparison between PFN group and BHA group regarding mean blood loss.	**56**
Fig 28	Comparison between PFN group and BHA group regarding need for blood transfusion percent %	**56**
Fig 29	Comparison between PFN group and BHA group regarding follow up Harris Hip Score(HHS)	**60**
Fig 30	Shows PFN; A: fracture pattern – B: immediate postoperative imaging- C&D: Antroposterior view and lateral view imaging 1 year postoperative.	**62**

Fig 31	BHA; A: fracture pattern- B: immediate imaging postoperative Bipolar hemiarthroplasty with K-wire fixation of the greater trochanter – C&d: 1 year postoperative imaging.	**63**

List of Tables

No.	Table	Page
Table 1	Comparison between the two groups regarding sociodemographic characteristics:	**53**
Table 2	Comparison between the two groups regarding pre-operative data:	**54**
Table 3	Comparison between the two groups regarding operative data:	**55**
Table 4	Comparison between both groups regarding postoperative data	**58**
Table 5	Comparison between both groups regarding follow-up data Comparison between presurgical and postsurgical data in PFN group	**59**
Table 6	Comparison between pre and postoperative data in BHA group	**60**
Table 7	Comparison between the two groups regarding sociodemographic characteristics:	**61**