



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة /صفاء محمود عبد الشافي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون

أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد





# **Abdominoplasty Combined With the Anterior Component Separation Technique for Reconstruction of Midline Large Ventral Hernias: Functional and Aesthetic Outcome Clinical Study**

*A Thesis*

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of master  
degree in **Plastic Surgery, Burns and  
Maxillofacial Surgery**

*By*

**Abdulaziz Ahmed Akila**

*M.B.B.C.h.,*

*Under supervision of*

**Prof. Dr. Salah Nasser Mohammed**

*Professor of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Adel Hussein Amr**

*Assistant Professor of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Sameh Adel Desawy Bakry**

*Lecturer of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**2021**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا انك لا تعلم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Salah Masser M. Afify**, Professor of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Adel Hussein Amr**, Assistant Professor of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Sameh Adel Desawy Bakry**, Lecturer of Plastic Surgery, Burns and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.*

*Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.*

*Abdulaziz Ahmed Akila*

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures.....	ii
List of Abbreviations .....	vi
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work.....	4
Chapter 1.....	5
Anatomy of the Abdominal Wall .....	5
Chapter 2.....	45
Aesthetics of the Abdomen.....	45
Chapter 3.....	59
Pathophysiology of Abdominal Wall Defects .....	59
Chapter 4.....	70
Management of Midline Defects .....	70
Chapter 5.....	80
Anterior Component Separation in management of midline Defects .....	80
Chapter 6.....	85
Complications that may occur after Abdominal Wall Repair .....	85
Patients and Methods .....	87
Results.....	94
Discussion.....	117
Summary and Conclusion.....	123
References.....	126
Arabic Summary .....	---

## *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Shows the difference in male and female characteristics of the abdomen.....	53
<b>Table (2):</b>	Classification of the myo-aponeurotic layer of the abdominal wall deformities and their possible management.....	58
<b>Table (3):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to dage (n = 15).....	95
<b>Table (4):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to BMI (n = 15).....	97
<b>Table (5):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to previous pregnancies (n = 15).....	98
<b>Table (6):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to Rectus diastasis (n = 15).....	99
<b>Table (7):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to post-operative complications (n = 15) .....	100
<b>Table (8):</b>	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative according to XU, US and difference (n = 15).....	102
<b>Table (9):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to CSQ-8 (n = 15) .....	104

## *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Embryological Abdominal wall formation.....	6
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Topographically a handful of bony and soft tissue landmarks can be identified.....	7
<b>Figure (3):</b>	The soft-tissue landmarks may not be easily visualized in patients with excess adiposity .....	8
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Regions of the anterior abdominal wall .....	9
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Abdominal aesthetic units .....	10
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Anatomical layers of abdomen .....	11
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Zones of adherence .....	12
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Abdominal anatomical landmark .....	13
<b>Figure (9):</b>	The two layers of the superficial fascia of the abdominal wall .....	16
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Muscles of the anterior abdominal wall .....	22
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Rectus sheath layers.....	25
<b>Figure (12):</b>	The diverse origin of the arterial supply to the abdomen .....	30
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Blood supply of anterior abdominal wall .....	31
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Zones of the abdomen according to the blood supply .....	33
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Venous and lymphatic drainage of the anterior abdominal wall .....	37
<b>Figure (16):</b>	The abdominal skin and soft tissue receives its innervation from the continuation of intercostal and subcostal nerves, T4–L1 .....	38
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Nerve supply of anterior abdominal wall .....	39
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Lymphatic drainage of abdominal wall .....	44
<b>Figure (19):</b>	“short” or “long” waisted .....	48
<b>Figure (20):</b>	The seven aesthetic units of female abdomen .....	49

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (21):</b>	The six aesthetic units of male abdomen .....	50
<b>Figure (22):</b>	(Left) Type A deformity showing rectus diastasis secondary to pregnancy (Right) Correction of the rectus diastasis by the plication of the anterior rectus sheath .....	54
<b>Figure (23):</b>	(Left) Type B deformity with demarcation at the area of rectus diastasis and the L-shaped and inverted-L- shaped plication of the external oblique aponeurosis (Right) After correction of the rectus diastasis and plication of the external oblique aponeurosis .....	55
<b>Figure (24):</b>	(Left) Type C deformity showing congenital rectus diastasis (CRD) (Right) Undermining of both rectus muscles (RM), exposing the posterior recti sheaths (PS) .....	56
<b>Figure (25):</b>	(Left) Type D deformity showing elevation of the external oblique muscles (Right) Advancement of the external oblique muscles and correction of rectus diastasis.....	57
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Incisional hernia .....	68
<b>Figure (27):</b>	Plication of the rectus abdominis muscle which extends from the xyphoid to the pubis, without opening of the aponeurosis .....	70
<b>Figure (28):</b>	Showing the use of Polypropylene mesh in reinforcing the repair of the abdominal wall laxity .....	71
<b>Figure (29):</b>	Effect of vertical rectus plication on the contribution of the rectus sheath to anterior abdominal contour.....	73



## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (30):</b>	Transverse rectus plication with bilateral crescent-shaped plications of the external oblique fascia (Left) A minimal vertical plication of the rectus sheath is performed to correct diastasis, if present (midline vertical shaded area).....	73
<b>Figure (31):</b>	The fusiform triple plication of the oblique fascias .....	74
<b>Figure (32):</b>	Direction of the external oblique (left), internal oblique (center), and transversus abdominis (right) muscles. ....	75
<b>Figure (33):</b>	(A) Representation of the vertical suture in the aponeurosis of the rectus abdominis muscle (B) Representation of the horizontal suture in the aponeurosis of the rectus abdominis muscle .....	76
<b>Figure (34):</b>	(A) Intraoperative deployment of the double-ended barbed suture at the subxiphoid region to begin the midline diastasis repair (B) Diagrammatic representation of two double-armed barbed sutures deployed to their respective transition zones and ready for running the two-layer closure above and below the umbilicus.....	78
<b>Figure (35):</b>	Elevation of skin flap laterally and development of the plane between the external oblique and internal oblique. ....	83
<b>Figure (36):</b>	Plane of dissection (indicated by dashed line) as it proceeds posteriorly to the posterior axillary line .....	83
<b>Figure (37):</b>	Cross-section illustrating the plane of dissection between the external oblique and internal oblique muscles at linea semilunaris line .....	84
<b>Figure (38):</b>	Comparison view of preoperative and postoperative appearance 1 year following surgery.....	84
<b>Figure (39):</b>	Pre-operative measurements.....	90

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (40):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to age .....	96
<b>Figure (41):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to BMI .....	97
<b>Figure (42):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to previous pregnancies .....	98
<b>Figure (43):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to post-operative complications.....	100
<b>Figure (44):</b>	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative according to XU .....	102
<b>Figure (45):</b>	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative according to UV .....	103
<b>Figure (46):</b>	Comparison between preoperative and postoperative according to Difference.....	103
<b>Figure (47):</b>	Descriptive analysis of the studied cases according to CSQ-8 % score .....	105

## *List of Abbreviations*

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Full term</b>
<i>ACS</i> .....	<i>Anterior Component separation</i>
<i>ARD</i> .....	<i>Anterorectus dissection</i>
<i>ASIS</i> .....	<i>Anterior Superior Iliac Spine</i>
<i>CRD</i> .....	<i>Congenital rectus diastasis</i>
<i>CSQ-8</i> .....	<i>Client Satisfaction Questionnaire</i>
<i>DAT</i> .....	<i>Deep adipose layer</i>
<i>E</i> .....	<i>Epigastric Hernia</i>
<i>EOM</i> .....	<i>External Oblique Muscle</i>
<i>I</i> .....	<i>Incisional Hernia</i>
<i>IQR</i> .....	<i>Interquartile range</i>
<i>MCL</i> .....	<i>Midclavicular lines</i>
<i>PDS</i> .....	<i>Polydioxanone suture</i>
<i>PS</i> .....	<i>Posterior recti sheaths</i>
<i>PUH</i> .....	<i>Para Umbilical Hernia</i>
<i>RM</i> .....	<i>Rectus muscles</i>
<i>SAT</i> .....	<i>Superficial adipose layer</i>
<i>SD</i> .....	<i>Standard deviation</i>
<i>SQF</i> .....	<i>Lipocutaneous flap</i>
<i>U</i> .....	<i>Umbilical Hernia</i>
<i>UV</i> .....	<i>Umbilic to vagina</i>
<i>XU</i> .....	<i>Xiphisternum –umbilicus</i>

## INTRODUCTION

**L**arge midline ventral hernias, diastasis of recti and the associated laxity and abdominal shape deformity, represent aesthetic and functional problems for the patients. So, the surgical treatment of both pathologies at the same time is highly recommended if the patient's general condition permits. This can be achieved by a comprehensive technique incorporating abdominoplasty performed by a transverse lower abdominal incision into any of the hernia repair techniques. (*Moreno-Egea and Morales-Cuenca, 2016*)

The component separation technique was first described by Ramirez et al in 1990 as a new technique for abdominal wall reconstruction in ventral hernia repair. It was proved to be very effective for reconstructing large or complex midline abdominal wall defects, as it has the advantage of restoring the innervated dynamic abdominal wall integrity without producing undue tension on the repair (*Koolen et al., 2014*).

The recurrence rate after the use of component separation technique was found to be ranged from 0%- 30%. This technique shortly after being introduced into the field of hernia repair had been considered as a basic procedure for midline hernia repair. In this method, the external oblique muscle aponeurosis is dissected 1.5-2.0 cm lateral to its attachment to the rectus abdominis muscle and parallel to it along its entire length. Further, the tissue under the aponeurosis are dissected

laterally till lumbar veins appear. Being, bilateral, it enables to mobilize the rectus muscles medially (5-10 cm in the upper abdomen, 10-15 cm in the umbilical area, and 3-8 cm in the lower abdomen) (*Shell et al., 2008*).

According to some others, once the rectus muscles are relocated in situ it will start functioning well postoperatively preventing recurrences and improving the chronic back pain (*Parshikov and Loginov, 2016*).

*Kim and Kim (2011)*, considered this technique as a method of choice and a real alternative to prosthetic repair. Anterior component separation technique has a number of advantages over inlay technique, and is consistent with a concept of an adequate abdominal wall repair in large midline hernias, therefore, it can be recommended for people of workable age, which is the ideal age for abdominoplasty as well.

To our knowledge, there are few published articles in the literature in which abdominoplasty was combined with hernia repair techniques. In these, the abdominoplasty was performed through the vertical midline incision. This approach succeeded in combining a strong hernia repair with very low recurrence rate, a well- shaped tucked abdomen, yet the patients weren't fully satisfied with the residual midline scar (*Espinosa et al., 2016*).

Therefore, adding the abdominoplasty (low horizontal incision technique) to the equation with the component separation technique as the technique of choice for midline hernia repair, in selected patients with laxity of the abdominal wall, will achieve both a safe procedure and improved aesthetic outcome. In addition, it will raise the awareness of this technique among various specialties and to advocate the plastic surgeons to get more involved in the management of these complex cases.

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

**T**he aim of this study is to evaluate the functional and aesthetic outcome of the combined abdominoplasty and anterior component separation technique in the management of midline ventral abdominal hernias