



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد



Evaluation of Shade Reproduction Tolerance to Variation in Layers Thicknesses

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University, in partial fulfillment of the
requirements of PhD degree in Operative Dentistry.

By

Amr Medhat Amin Hamouda Eldeeb

B.D.S., Ain Shams University (2010)
M.Sc., Ain Shams University (2016)

Ain Shams University
2022

Supervisors

Dr. Khaled Aly Nour

Assistant professor, Department of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed Nasser Mohammed Anwar

Lecturer, Department of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

I am truly honored to express my deep gratitude to **Dr. Khaled Aly Nour**, Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his limitless support and guidance throughout the research work. I benefited greatly from his vast scientific knowledge and clinical expertise.

I would also like to express my deep gratitude to **Dr. Mohammed Nasser Mohammed Anwar**, Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his endless support and guidance throughout the research work.

I love to dedicate this work to:

My Beloved Grandparents,

My Great Parents,

My Adorable Wife,

My Beautiful Daughter,

My Dear Sister,

And My Wonderful Colleagues at

the Department of Operative

Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

Table of contents

List of tables	ii
List of figures	iv
Introduction	1
Review of literature	3
Aim of the study	31
Materials and methods	32
Results	61
Discussion	105
Summary and conclusions	114
References	116
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table 1:	Materials, composition, and manufacturer.	32
Table 2:	Variables investigated.	34
Table 3:	Interaction between experimental variables.	34
Table 4:	Effect of different variables and their interactions on color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer).	61
Table 5:	Effect of different variables and their interactions on color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized).	62
Table 6:	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus trilaminar technique.	63
Table 7:	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus trilaminar technique.	64
Table 8:	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus different trilaminar techniques.	66
Table 9:	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus different trilaminar techniques	67
Table 10:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different enamel thicknesses.	69
Table 11:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different enamel thicknesses.	70
Table 12:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different enamel thicknesses.	71

Table 13:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	75
Table 14:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	79
Table 15:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	83
Table 16:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different shade combinations.	86
Table 17:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different shade combinations.	87
Table 18:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different shade combinations.	88
Table 19:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different shade combinations within other variables.	92
Table 20:	Mean, Standard deviation (SD) values of color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different shade combinations within other variables.	96
Table 21:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different shade combinations within other variables.	99
Table 22:	Inter-device agreement.	101
Table 23:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different genders.	102
Table 24:	Frequency and percentage values of color difference acceptability for different professions.	103

List of Figures

Figure 1:	A diagram showing the human visible light spectrum.	3
Figure 2:	A diagram showing the spectral sensitivities of the L-, M-, and S-cone classes.	4
Figure 3:	A diagram showing the CIELab color space.	8
Figure 4:	A diagram showing inclusion (a) and exclusion (b) of specular reflection in d:8° spectrophotometers.	17
Figure 5:	A diagram showing the layering concepts 1 (a) and 2 (b).	28
Figure 6:	A photograph showing the circular split copper mold with a central hole of 10 mm diameter and a thickness of 3 mm (M-3).	36
Figure 7:	A photograph showing packing of the dentin shade into M-3. (a) A dentin shade packed in M-3 in one increment slightly overfilling the mold. (b) The increment covered with an acetate paper and pressed firmly with a glass slide to extrude any excess material.	36
Figure 8:	A photograph showing light curing of the dentin shade increment from each side.	37
Figure 9:	A photograph showing verification of the required thickness of a dentin shade disc using a digital caliper.	37
Figure 10:	A photograph showing the specially constructed device composed of a cylindrical mold with an internal diameter of 10 mm and length of 4 cm with a central piston of the same dimensions snugly fit into the internal chamber of the mold.	38
Figure 11:	A photograph showing packing of the enamel shade layer on top of the dentin shade disc. (a) The cured dentin shade disc inserted into the pre-adjusted specially constructed device. (b) The enamel shade packed over the dentin shade disc slightly overfilling	

	the mold. (c) The increment covered with an acetate paper and pressed firmly with a glass slide to extrude any excess material. (d) Light curing of the enamel shade increment.	38
Figure 12	A photograph showing verification of the required thickness of an enamel/dentin shade disc using a digital caliper.	39
Figure 13:	A photograph showing the circular split copper with a central hole of 10 mm diameter and a thickness of 2 mm (M-2).	41
Figure 14:	A photograph showing packing of the dentin shade into M-2. (a) A dentin shade packed in M-2 in one increment slightly overfilling the mold. (b) The increment covered with an acetate paper and pressed firmly with a glass slide to extrude any excess material. (c) Light curing of the dentin shade increment.	42
Figure 15:	A photograph showing packing of the body shade layer on top of the dentin shade disc. (a) The cured dentin shade disc inserted into M-3. (b) The body shade packed over the dentin shade disc slightly overfilling the mold. (c) The increment covered with an acetate paper and pressed firmly with a glass slide to extrude any excess material. (d) Light curing of the body shade increment.	42
Figure 16:	A photograph showing packing of the enamel shade layer on top of the body/dentin shade disc. (a) The cured body/dentin shade disc inserted into the pre-adjusted specially constructed device. (b) The enamel shade packed over the body shade side slightly overfilling the mold. (c) The increment covered with an acetate paper and pressed firmly with a glass slide to extrude any excess material. (d) Light curing of the enamel shade increment.	43

- Figure 17:** A photograph showing calibration of the VITA Easyshade® V by placing its probe tip on the calibration port aperture before each color measurement. 50
- Figure 18:** A photograph showing the VITA Easyshade® V spectrophotometer during color measurement of a finalized resin composite disc. 50
- Figure 19:** A photograph showing the VITA Easyshade® V spectrophotometer during color measurement of a reference VITA classical shade tab. (a) The reference VITA classical shade tab fitted in the custom-made positioning clear silicone mold. (b) The VITA Easyshade® V spectrophotometer probe tip fitted in the custom-made positioning clear silicone mold. 51
- Figure 20:** A photograph showing a full frame digital single-lens reflex camera (Canon EOS 6D Mark II) with mounted Canon EF 100 mm f/2.8 L IS USM Macro Lens and an external ring flash (Meike MK-14EXT Macro Ring Lite) attached to a cross-polarizing filter (polar_eyes, Emulation, Frankfurt, Germany). 53
- Figure 21:** A photograph showing the cross-polarized photography assembly attached to a tripod (055PRO3 kit, Manfrotto, Italy) adjusted to allow the optical axis of the camera to be perpendicular to the horizontal plane and fixed to 19 cm. 54
- Figure 22:** A photograph showing the built-in water balance indicators of the tripod (055PRO3 kit, Manfrotto, Italy). 55
- Figure 23:** A photograph showing a resin composite disc placed over a black matt background next to its reference VITA classical shade tab with the gray reference card (white_balance, Emulation, $L^*79 + a^*0 + b^*0$) placed directly below them with fully captured two lower crop marks. 55

Figure 24:	A photograph showing the interface of the eLAB_prime software; Emulation, Freiburg, Germany.	56
Figure 25:	A photograph showing the cross-polarized RAW file before (left) and after (right) automatic image calibration by the eLAB_prime software; Emulation, Freiburg, Germany.	56
Figure 26:	A photograph showing manual L*a*b* measurements of a resin composite disc using the eLAB_prime software; Emulation, Freiburg, Germany.	57
Figure 27:	A photograph showing manual L*a*b* measurements of a reference VITA classical shade tab using the eLAB_prime software; Emulation, Freiburg, Germany.	57
Figure 28:	A photograph showing a Microsoft PowerPoint slide (Office 365) containing a calibrated cross-polarized RAW file after cropping.	59
Figure 29:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus trilaminar technique.	64
Figure 30:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus trilaminar technique.	65
Figure 31:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus different trilaminar techniques.	66
Figure 32:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) upon variation of outer enamel layer thickness by ± 0.25 mm in bilaminar versus different trilaminar techniques.	68
Figure 33:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different enamel thicknesses.	69

Figure 34:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different enamel thicknesses.	70
Figure 35:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different enamel thicknesses.	72
Figure 36:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	76
Figure 37:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	80
Figure 38:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different enamel thicknesses within other variables.	85
Figure 39:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different shade combinations	86
Figure 40:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different shade combinations.	87
Figure 41:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different shade combinations.	89
Figure 42:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (spectrophotometer) for different shade combinations within other variables.	93
Figure 43:	Bar chart showing average color change (ΔE_{00}) (cross-polarized) for different shade combinations within other variables.	96
Figure 44:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different shade combinations within other variables.	100
Figure 45:	Stacked bar chart Bland-Altman plot.	101

Figure 46:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different genders.	103
Figure 47:	Stacked bar chart showing average color difference acceptability for different professions.	104

Introduction