



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة /صفاء محمود عبد الشافي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون

أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

مركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات
since 1992
جامعة عين شمس
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

Evaluation of Seismic Response of Hybrid Buckling-Restrained Braced Frames

A Thesis Presented by
Mohab Anan Abdelhay Elghamry

B.Sc. of Structural Engineering.
Civil Engineering Department - Ain Shams University, 2016

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-den

Professor of structural
Engineering
Structural Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hisham A.El-Arabaty

Professor of structural
Engineering
Structural Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Abd ElWahhab

Assistant Professor of structural
Engineering
Structural Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

2022



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

Evaluation of Seismic Response of Hybrid Buckling-Restrained Braced Frames

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

A Thesis Presented by
Mohab Anan Abdelhay Elghamry

Examiners Committee

Signature

Prof. Dr. Hala Mohamed Gamal Eldin Elkady
Professor of Civil Engineering Department
National Research Center

.....

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdelmanaem Korashy
Professor of Structural Engineering
Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad Fayed
Professor of Structural Engineering
Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty
Professor of Structural Engineering
Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

.....

Date: 18/4/2022



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

Evaluation of Seismic Response of Hybrid Buckling-Restrained Braced Frames

A Thesis Presented by
Mohab Anan Abdelhay Elghamry

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Supervisors Committee

Signature

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad Fayed

.....

Professor of Structural Engineering

Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty

.....

Professor of Structural Engineering

Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Abd ElWahhab

.....

Assistant Professor of Structural Engineering

Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

Postgraduate Studies

Authorization stamp: The thesis is authorized at / / 2022

College Board Approval

/ / 2022

University Board Approval

/ / 2022

Date: 18/4/2022

1 CURRICULUM VITAE

Name	Mohab Anan Abdelhay Elghamry
Date of Birth	17, April 1993
Place of Birth	Egypt
Scientific degree	B.Sc. of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2016
Current Job	Demonstrator of Structural Analysis, Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

2 **STATEMENT**

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author at the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Name: Mohab Anan Abdelhay Elghamry

Signature:

Date: 18 / 4 / 2022

Thesis Summary

This research investigates the seismic response of buckling restrained braces (BRB) in comparison with hybrid buckling restrained braces (HBRB) under near fault earthquakes known with its extensive structural damages because of the velocity pulses and high frequency contents. Both systems use a bracing that consists of a steel core with a buckling restraining component such as a mortar filled steel tube which leads to a good energy dissipation behavior. The problem of the BRB is the residual displacement after earthquakes because of the yielding of the braces. The HBRB uses a combination of low yield point steel with high strain hardening and high-performance steel which counteracts the low post yield stiffness of BRB. A complex non-linear finite element model (FEM) was used to simulate the real behavior of structures under the combination of both vertical and lateral loads

A parametric study was performed on 3 buildings with different heights -5-, 7-, and 9-story- and different bracing compositions using standard and 2 hybrid combinations. Each building was designed and subjected to pushover analysis and incremental dynamic time history analysis. Time history analysis was performed under 7 near fault ground motions with velocity pulses after scaling and rotating them in fault normal and fault parallel directions.

Seismic code factors such as response modification factor and over strength factor were computed based on the analysis results and compared with design codes. Another comparison was made between the standard bracing and the two hybrid bracing compositions in regard of the max roof drift, residual displacement and inter story drift ratio. Finally, the difference between the effect of fault normal and fault parallel on the structure was illustrated. Based on these comparisons number of considerations were recommended to be taken in dealing with BRB and HBRB and generally for building near active faults.

KEYWORDS: Hybrid buckling restrained brace, Near fault, Nonlinear analysis, Residual displacement.

3 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, thanks to GOD for his many graces and blessings.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Hisham El-Arabaty, Prof. Mohamed Nour Eldin, and Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Wahab for their patience, help, guidance, useful suggestions, dedication, encouragement, and kind supervision. Their fruitful comments and valuable advice throughout this research till its completion is gratefully acknowledged and sincerely appreciated.

Most important, my deepest thanks and love for my parents, sister, and friends for constant and everlasting support which is the reason for being able to finish this research.

Table of Content

Contents

1	CURRICULUM VITAE	4
2	STATEMENT	5
3	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	7
	Table of Content.....	8
	List of Figures	10
	List of Tables.....	13
	Chapter 1	1
	Introduction	1
	1.1 General.....	2
	1.2 Objective of the research	3
	1.3 Layout of the thesis	3
	Chapter (2)	6
	Literature Review	6
	Chapter (3)	26
	Building description and ground motions	26
	3.1 Building description.....	27
	3.1.1 Design data.....	27
	3.1.2 Design basis	29
	3.1.3 Comparison conditions.....	37
	3.2 Ground motions	38
	3.2.1 Scaling methods	40
	3.2.2 Rotating ground motions:	45
	Chapter (4)	47
	Analysis Model	47
	4.1 Introduction.....	48
	4.2 Model overview.....	48
	4.3 Geometric non-linearity	49
	4.4 Material properties	51

4.4.1	Steel02 material	51
4.4.2	Steel MPF.....	52
4.4.3	Fatigue material	53
4.5	Rayleigh damping	54
4.6	Plasticity model	56
Chapter (5)	60
Verification of the Analytical Model	60
5.1	Introduction.....	61
5.2	Case 1: Modal analysis	63
5.3	Case 2: Pushover analysis.....	64
Chapter 6	68
Non-linear pushover analysis	68
6.1	Introduction.....	69
6.2	Modal analysis and force distribution	70
6.3	Analysis results	74
6.4	Seismic performance factors.....	76
6.5	Discussion of the results.....	78
Chapter 7	80
Dynamic time history analysis	80
7.1	Introduction.....	81
7.2	Analysis results	82
7.3	Discussion of the results.....	97
Chapter 8	99
Summary and Conclusion	99
8.1	Summary	100
8.2	Conclusion	100
8.3	Suggestion for future work.....	102
REFERENCES	104

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Components of BRB [4].....	8
Figure 2-2 Behavior of BRB Vs conventional braces [5]	8
Figure 2-3 Elevation of the studied frames [6].....	9
Figure 2-4 Investigated frame by Karimi et al. [8].....	10
Figure 2-5 40-story building investigated by Jones and Zareian [9]	11
Figure 2-6 Lab test carried out by Fahnestock et al. [10].....	12
Figure 2-7 Six story frame studied by Qiu and Zhu [11].....	13
Figure 2-8 The three tested frames in the experimental study by Chou et al. [13]	15
Figure 2-9 Composition of HBRBs [14]	16
Figure 2-10 Plan and elevation of the utilized building by Alborzi et al. [17]	18
Figure 2-11 Plan view of the examined building by Hoveidae [19].....	20
Figure 2-12 Velocity pulse in ground motion time history	21
Figure 2-13 3-, 4-, 9-, and 12-story frames studied by Baghbanijavid et al. [25]	23
Figure 2-14 9-story building studied by Ghowsi and Sahoo [26].....	24
Figure 3-1 Elevation and typical plan of the studied building.....	28
Figure 3-2 The procedure used in designing beams and columns [27]	34
Figure 3-3 Beams and columns naming method.....	35
Figure 3-4 The single record unscaled and the 5% damped response spectrum	39
Figure 3-5 The FP scaled average vs design spectrum, the final scaled average vs design spectrum, and the single record scaled vs design spectrum respectively for 5-story building.	43
Figure 3-6 The FP scaled average vs design spectrum, the final scaled average vs design spectrum, and the single record scaled vs design spectrum respectively for 7-story building.	44
Figure 3-7 The FP scaled average vs design spectrum, the final scaled average vs design spectrum, and the single record scaled vs design spectrum respectively for 9-story building.	45
Figure 4-1 implementation of second-order effects.....	50
Figure 4-2 Hysteretic behavior of steel02 material [36]	51
Figure 4-3 concentrated plasticity models [41]	57
Figure 4-4 distributed plasticity models [41]	58
Figure 5-1 five-story building used for verification	61
Figure 5-2 Time periods of the verification model.....	64
Figure 5-3 Time periods of the verification model using hybrid bracing	64

Figure 5-4 screenshot of the verification model	66
Figure 5-5 Pushover curves by Atlayan and Charney [14]	66
Figure 5-6 Pushover curve for standard BRB by author	67
Figure 5-7 Pushover curve for HBRB by author	67
Figure 6-1 Deformed shape of the fundamental mode for the 5-story building	71
Figure 6-2 Deformed shape of the fundamental mode for the 7-story building	72
Figure 6-3 Deformed shape of the fundamental mode for the 9-story building	73
Figure 6-4 Pushover curves for the 5-story building	74
Figure 6-5 Pushover curves for the 7-story building	75
Figure 6-6 Pushover curves for the 9-story building	75
Figure 6-7 Idealized pushover curve [43]	76
Figure 7-1 Roof displacement for the 5-story building subjected to Tottori_japan earthquake	83
Figure 7-2 Roof displacement for the 7-story building subjected to Tottori_japan earthquake	83
Figure 7-3 Roof displacement for the 9-story building subjected to Tottori_japan earthquake	84
Figure 7-4 Roof displacement for the 5-story building subjected to Chi_Chi_Taiwan earthquake	84
Figure 7-5 Roof displacement for the 7-story building subjected to Chi_Chi_Taiwan earthquake	85
Figure 7-6 Roof displacement for the 9-story building subjected to Chi_Chi_Taiwan earthquake	85
Figure 7-7 Roof displacement for the 5-story building subjected to Bam_Iran earthquake	86
Figure 7-8 Roof displacement for the 7-story building subjected to Bam_Iran earthquake	86
Figure 7-9 Roof displacement for the 9-story building subjected to Bam_Iran earthquake	87
Figure 7-10 Comparison between residual displacement % for 5-story building.....	88
Figure 7-11 Comparison between residual displacement % for 7-story building.....	88
Figure 7-12 Comparison between residual displacement % for 9-story building.....	89
Figure 7-13 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 5-story building for fault normal direction.....	89

Figure 7-14 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 5-story building for fault parallel direction	90
Figure 7-15 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 7-story building for fault normal direction.....	90
Figure 7-16 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 7-story building for fault parallel direction	91
Figure 7-17 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 9-story building for fault normal direction.....	91
Figure 7-18 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 9-story building for fault parallel direction	92
Figure 7-19 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 5-story building under fault normal and parallel directions respectively	92
Figure 7-20 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 7-story building under fault normal and parallel directions respectively	93
Figure 7-21 Comparison between max roof displacement% for 9-story building under fault normal and parallel directions respectively	93
Figure 7-22 Max IDR % for the 5-story building	94
Figure 7-23 Residual IDR % for the 5-story building	94
Figure 7-24 Max IDR % for the 7-story building	95
Figure 7-25 Residual IDR % for the 7-story building	95
Figure 7-26 Max IDR % for the 9-story building	96
Figure 7-27 Residual IDR % for the 9-story building	96

List of Tables

Table 3-1 Seismic design data	28
Table 3-2 Building information.....	29
Table 3-3 Base shear for different buildings for one bay of lateral framing..	30
Table 3-4 Base shear distribution for 5-story frame	30
Table 3-5 Base shear distribution for 7-story frame	31
Table 3-6 Base shear distribution for 9-story frame	31
Table 3-7 Material properties	34
Table 3-8 Details of member sizes	35
Table 3-9 Standard and Hybrid BRB composition	37
Table 3-10 Characteristics of the chosen seismic records	38
Table 3-11 Scaling factors for 5-story building.....	42
Table 3-12 Scaling factors for 7-story building.....	42
Table 3-13 Scaling factors for 9-story building.....	42
Table 4-1 fundamental and third modes and damping factors for the three different buildings	55
Table 5-1 Bracing area and beam size for verification model.....	62
Table 5-2 Exterior and interior column sections	62
Table 5-3 Material properties	63
Table 5-4 Hybrid combination.....	63
Table 5-5 Eigen vectors and distribution of horizontal forces for verification model	65
Table 6-1 the time periods in seconds for the shown modes.....	70
Table 6-2 Eigenvectors and force distribution for the 5-story building	71
Table 6-3 Eigenvectors and force distribution for the 7-story building	72
Table 6-4 Eigenvectors and force distribution for the 9-story building	73
Table 6-5 Seismic performance factors.....	77

Chapter 1

Introduction