

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$ 

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992

Propries 1992

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

### "Effect of Chisel and Wire Loop Loading on Stress Distribution during Shear and Micro Shear Dentin-Composite Bond Strength Testing -A Finite Element Study-"

Thesis
Submitted to Dental Biomaterials department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the master's degree in dental Biomaterials

By

### **Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ismail**

B.D.S, Ain Shams University class 2013

Instructor of Dental Biomaterials
Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Email: dr.ahmedismaile@dent.asu.edu.eg
drismail@uni-bonn.de

**Phone number:** +20/1030258494

Biomaterials Department Faculty of Dentistry Ain-Shams University 2022

### **Supervisors**

### Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah El-Din Hussein

Professor of Dental Biomaterials Biomaterials Department Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University Egypt

#### Dr. Ahmed Hassan Elbanna

Lecturer of Dental Biomaterials
Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
Egypt

#### **Prof. Tamer Moneir Mousa Nassef**

Computer and Software Engineering Department Misr University for Science and Technology Egypt

### **Prof. Dr. Christoph Bourauel**

Professor of oral technology Faculty of Dentistry University of Bonn Germany

## Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere respect and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah El-Din Hussein**, Professor of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for his great support and generosity in guiding and teaching me. Deep thanks Dr. Tarek for your endless support and your valuable guidance. I'm proud to be your student.

I wish to faithfully express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Ahmed Hassan Elbanna**, Lecturer of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for his great efforts in guiding me and his continuous support throughout this work.

Thanks, Dr. Ahmed for your guidance and for treating me as your brother.

Thanks, prof. Tamer Moneir Mousa Nassef Professor of Computer and Software Engineering Department, Misr University for Science and Technology, for his kind efforts in helping and supporting me in formulating the numerical model

Thanks, **Prof. Dr. Christoph Bourauel** Professor of oral technology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Bonn, Germany, for his endless support during my stay in Germany providing me with every possible lab facility to finish my work

My deepest thanks to all my professors and colleagues in the Biomaterials department for their continuous support and help throughout my work.

## **Dedication**

I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved

parents, they are the true reason behind any progress

in my life...

To my beloved **brother** and **sister** for their endless support through this project and throughout my life

\*\*\* May God bless you all \*\*\*

# LIST OF CONTENTS

List of tables	I
List of figures	II
Appendix	VII
List of abbreviations	VIII
Introduction	1
Literature review	3
1.Adhesive Dentistry	3
1.1 Importance of adhesive dentistry	3
1.2 Development of adhesive systems	4
1.3 Challenges of adhesive dentistry	6
2.Bonding substrates	9
2.1 Dental composite resin	9
2.1.1 Advantages of adhesive composites	9
2.1.2 Polymerization shrinkage	10
2.1.3 Marginal adaptation	11
2.1.4 Elastic modulus	12
2.2 Dentin	14
3.Evaluation of bonded interface	16
In vitro lab testing	16
3.1 Bond strength tests	18
3.1.1 Introduction to Shear bond strength	22
3.1.2 Challenges with shear test	23

3.1.3 Modifications of shear test24
3.1.4 Micro shear bond strength26
3.1.5 Loading techniques29
3.2 Failure mode analysis32
3.3 Finite element analysis33
3.3.1 FEA Concept
3.3.2 Evolution of FEA
3.3.3 Advantages and limitations38
Aim of the study43
Materials & Methods44
1.Materials44
2.Methods44
2.1 Shear bond strength44
2.2 Fractographic analysis49
2.3 Finite element analysis51
Statistical analysis60
Results61
1.Shear bond strength:61
2.Failure mode analysis results:65
3.FEA results74
Discussion97
Summary
Conclusions
Recommendations111
<i>References</i> 112

## List of tables

Table 1: Materials used in the study, their brand names,
manufacturers, and lot numbers44
Table 2: Table of material properties
Table 3: Finite element model structuring 53
Table 4: Two-way ANOVA showing the effect of specimen size and
applicator design on mean shear bond strength61
Table 5: Mean shear bond strength and standard deviation for
Macro & micro specimen size groups
Table 6: Mean shear bond strength and standard deviation for Ch &
WL loading techniques
Table 7: Mean and standard deviation for different tested groups.64
Table 8: Failure modes frequencies and percentages for different
tested groups65
Table 9: Vector displacement values for macro and micro, CH &
WL loaded composite specimens, at zero, 0.1 and 0.5mm offset
positions

# List of figures

Figure 1:Flowchart diagrammatic representation for the different
experimental groups
Figure 2:diagrammatic representation for teeth sectioning procedure
46
Figure 3:Four macro shear cylindrical composite samples bonded to
dentinal substrate after removal of silicone molds, Embedded in
acrylic resin within a PP mold
Figure 4:Macro and micro shear composite samples loading using
chisel and wireloop, tested by universal testing machine49
Figure 5:Dentinal substrate with platinum sputter coat 50
Figure 6:Scanning electron microscope, EDAX camscan S4, New
Jersey, USA50
Figure 7:Schematic representation for macro-Ch & WL FEA models $$
with labelling for the different geometries
Figure 8:Software features used to control mesh resolution and
contact bodies interrelation
Figure 9:Central vs. peripheral placed specimens over dentinal
substrate56
Figure 10:Diagramatic representation for Tet4 and Hex8 element
classes
Figure 11: Zero, 0.1 & 0.5 mm offset simulations with side view
diagrammatic representation 57
Figure 12: Diagrammatic representation showing the models created
for finite element analysis58
Figure 13: Schematic diagram for the sequence of specimen shear
testing, fractographic analysis, and FEA59

Figure 14: Bar chart showing the mean (bar) and standard error
(vertical error bar) for macro and micro shear groups 62
Figure 15: Bar chart showing the mean (bar) and standard error
(vertical error bar) of shear bond strength using CH $\&~WL$
applicator designs
Figure 16: Bar chat representing means and standard deviation for
bond strength test
Figure 17: Stacked bar chart showing the failure mode percentage
strength for different tested groups
Figure 18: Stereo microscope images $(50x)$ for dentinal substrates
(bottom) and corresponding
Figure 19: Stereo (1) and scanning electron microscope (2) images
(35x) from macro shear CH group presenting a) mixed adhesive &
cohesive (D), b) mixed adhesive & cohesive (C) failures 68
Figure 20: Stereo microscope images for dentinal substrate (top) and $% \frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) $
corresponding
Figure 21: Stereo (bottom) and scanning electron microscope (Top)
images for composite stubs in micro shear CH and WL groups
presenting different failure modes 69
Figure 22: 1st row: Stereo microscope images from macroshear WL
group presenting mixed adhesive & cohesive (D) failures in
composite stubs, $2^{nd}$ row: SEM images presenting the same failure
mode in composite stubs, $3^{\rm rd}$ row: SEM images for the same failure
mode in the corresponding dentinal Substrates, all showing mussel
shaped failure patterns 69
Figure 23: SEM images showing cohesive failure in dentin, in
composite stub (RT)70

Figure 24: SEM images showing cohesive failure in composite, in
composite stub (RT) and dentinal substrate (LT)70
Figure 25: SEM images showing mixed adhesive & cohesive (D)
failure, in composite stub (RT) and dentinal substrate (LT)71 $$
Figure 26: SEM images showing mixed adhesive & cohesive (C)
failure, in composite stub (RT) and dentinal substrate (LT)71
Figure 27: SEM images showing pure adhesive failure, in composite
stub (RT)
Figure 28: SEM image showing close view for dentinal tubules
presenting72
Figure 29: SEM images from Macroshear WL group presenting
mixed adhesive & cohesive (D) failure in composite stub (1) &
dentinal substrate (2),
Figure 30: Clipped composite specimens (side view) showing stress
contour maps of macro and micro shear simulations
Figure 31: Composite specimen top front view showing stress
contour maps of macro and micro shear simulations75
Figure 32: Clipped 45 $^{\circ}$ angled dentin view with hidden composite
specimen showing stress contour maps of macro and micro shear
simulations
Figure 33: Clipped side and unclipped top front composite view
showing stress contour maps of macro & micro shear simulations
with WL loading77
Figure 34: Clipped 45 $^{\circ}$ angled dentin view with hidden composite
specimen showing stress contour maps of macro and micro shear
simulations with WL loading78
Figure 35: Stress contour maps for CH (LT) and WL (RT) loaded
macro shear simulations79

Figure 36: Stress contour maps for CH (LT) and WL (RT) loaded $$
micro shear simulations
Figure 37: Dentinal stress contour maps for central and peripheral
positioned specimens in macro simulations81
Figure 38: Dentinal stress contour maps for central and peripheral
positioned specimens in micro simulations
Figure 39: Bar chart for dentin maximum nodal stresses for central
and peripheral bonded specimens showing nearly the same values
for both
Figure 40: Composite top, back view showing stress contour maps of
Tet4 (LT) & Hex 8 (RT) element classes for WL loaded 0.1 mm
offset simulations
Figure 41: Composite back (bonded interface) view showing stress
contour maps of Tet4 (LT) & Hex 8 (RT) element classes for CH
loaded simulations at flush position85
Figure 42: Dentin front view with hidden composite specimen
showing stress contour maps of Tet4 (LT) & Hex 8 (RT) element
classes for WL loaded simulation at flush position86
Figure 43: Comparison between dentin maximum nodal stresses for
Tet4 & Hex8 element classes at flush position
Figure 44: Comparison between dentin maximum nodal stresses for
Tet4 & Hex8
Figure 45: Composite specimen angled top back view with CH &
WL loading
Figure 46: Stress contour maps of zero, 0.1 &, 0.5 mm offset macro
shear simulations loaded with CH89
Figure 47: Stress contour maps of zero, 0.1 &, 0.5 mm offset micro
shear simulations loaded with CH90

Figure 48: Stress contour maps of zero, 0.1 &, 0.5 mm offset macro
shear simulations loaded with WL91
Figure 49: Stress contour maps of zero, 0.1 &, 0.5 mm offset micro
shear simulations loaded with WL92
Figure 50: Serial incremental shots for macroshear CH & WL flush
simulations showing rapid stress development in CH simulations in
comparison to WL loaded ones
Figure 51:Contact frictional forces for macro and micro, CH & WL
simulations at95
Figure 52: Contact frictional forces for macro and micro CH & WL
simulations at96

# **Appendix**

Finite element Term	Definition
Mesh	A network composed structurally of groups of elements intersecting at points known as nodes
Nodes	They are the intersection points of the mesh and the points at which stresses are algorithmically calculated
Elements	The structural building unit of the mesh
Geometry	Figures drawn using points, curves, surfaces, and solids which can be meshed for FEA
Curve divisions	The intervals at which nodes are spaced in the created meshwork
Surface mesh transition factor	The factor that determines the density of mesh created within a surface geometry
Volume mesh coarsening factor	The factor that determines the density of mesh created within a solid geometry
Element Class	The geometrical shape of a single element that determines the number of included nodes for algorithmic calculations
Element Type	The proposed calculation method for stresses generated at nodes within the element
Contact bodies	The geometries that are in contact within the model whether this contact is touching, glued, or any assigned contact type