



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات : لا يوجد





Uterine Artery Doppler in the Second Trimester as a Predictor of Preeclampsia in High Risk Pregnancy

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Radiodiagnosis*

By

Alaa Nasir El-Din Ali El-Hamalawy

M.B.B.Ch.,

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Dr. Enas Ahmed Azab

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Salma Hassan Tantawy

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Sally Ahmed Refaat Kotb

*Fellow of Obstetric and Gynecology Special Care Unit for the
Fetus Maternity Hospital, Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2022



**Uterine Artery Doppler in the Second
Trimester as a Predictor of Preeclampsia in
High
Risk Pregnancy**

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree in Radiodiagnosis*

By

Alaa Nasir El-Din Ali El-Hamalawy

M.B.B.Ch.,

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Examiners committee

1. Dr. Nahed El Sayed Abd Lattif

Professor of radiodiagnosis

Faculty of medicine, Azhar university

2. Dr. Hanaa Abd El Kader Abd elHamid

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

3. Dr. Enas Ahmed Azab

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

لَسْبَدَانِكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to
Allah the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Enas Ahmed Azab, Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to Dr. Salma Hassan Tantawy, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to Dr. Sally Ahmed Refaat Kotb, Fellow of Obstetric and Gynecology Special Care Unit for the Fetus Maternity Hospital, Ain Shams University, for her great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family (my father, mother, sister, bothers), and special thanks to my husband for their remarkable patience, help, and prayers. Thank you for being there for me.

Alaa Nasir El-Din Ali El-Hamalawy

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures.....	ii
List of Abbreviations.....	iv
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Chapter 1: Preeclampsia.....	4
Chapter 2: Doppler Ultrasound	27
Patients and Methods.....	46
Results.....	52
Cases	70
Discussion.....	80
Conclusion.....	86
Recommendations	87
Summary	88
References.....	91
Arabic Summary	1

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Differences between mild and severe preeclampsia.....	15
Table 2:	Distribution of the studied patients according to age and gestational age data (No.=80).	52
Table 3:	Distribution of the studied patients according to parity and risk factors data (No.=80).....	53
Table 4:	Right uterine artery Doppler indices of the studied patients (No.=80).....	55
Table 5:	Left uterine artery Doppler indices of the studied patients (No.=80).....	56
Table 6:	Follow up of patients regarding GA and blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) (No.= 80)	57
Table 7:	Distribution of preeclampsia in the studied group	58
Table 8:	Correlation between age, gestational age and preeclampsia.....	59
Table 9:	Correlation between history of previous PE, DM, parity and preeclampsia	61
Table 10:	Correlation of preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to right uterine artery indices and notch.....	63
Table 11:	Correlation between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to left uterine artery RI, PI, S/D ratio and notch.....	65
Table 12:	Diagnostic Performance of uterine artery in Discrimination of preeclampsia and non-preeclampsia right and left.....	67

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Pathogenesis of preeclampsia	7
Figure 2:	Normal and preeclampsia/IUGR	9
Figure 3:	Molecular mechanisms of maternal symptoms in preeclampsia	13
Figure 4:	Signs and symptoms of organ affection by preeclampsia	16
Figure 5:	Illustrating the Doppler effect on erythrocytes).....	28
Figure 6:	Doppler ultrasound. Doppler ultrasound measures the movement of the scatterers through the beam as a phase change in the received signal	28
Figure 7:	Effect of the Doppler angle in the sonogram.....	30
Figure 8:	The difference between continuous and pulsed waves	31
Figure 9:	Aliasing of color Doppler imaging and artefacts of color	33
Figure 10:	Reduce color gain and increase pulse repetition frequency	34
Figure 11:	Doppler indices	36
Figure 12:	Schematic shows the blood supply to the uterus.....	38
Figure 13:	Normal (left) and abnormal (right) flow velocity waveforms from the uterine arteries at 20 weeks of gestation.....	40
Figure 14:	Doppler spectra of uterine artery flow	41
Figure 15:	Early diastolic notch.....	43
Figure 16:	Site of insonation of uterine artery "crossing over"	45
Figure 17:	Distribution of risk factors among cases.....	54
Figure 18:	Distribution of parity among cases.....	54

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
----------	-------	----------

Figure 19:	Distribution of right notch among cases	55
Figure 20:	Distribution of left notch among cases	56
Figure 21:	Distribution of preeclampsia among the studied group.....	58
Figure 22:	Correlation between age and PE (significant correlation)	60
Figure 23:	Correlation between gestational age and PE (non significant correlation)	60
Figure 24:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to history of previous PE and DM	62
Figure 25:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to parity.....	62
Figure 26:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to right uterine RI,PI and S/D ratio	64
Figure 27:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to presence/ absence of the right notch	64
Figure 28:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to left uterine RI, PI and S/D ratio	66
Figure 29:	Comparison between preeclampsia and non preeclampsia according to presence/ absence of the right notch	66
Figure 30:	ROC curve of right and left uterine indices as a predictor of preeclampsia	68

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AT1-AA	Receptor autoantibody
AT-I	Anti-Thrombin I
AUC	Area under curve
CW	Continuous wave
EOPE	Early-onset PE
ET-1	Endothelin-1
GFR	Glomerular filtration rate
IUGR	Intrauterine growth restriction
LOPE	Late-onset PE
NK	Natural Killer
NPV	Negative predictive value
PE	Re-eclampsia
PI	Pulsatility index
PPV	Positive predictive value
PRF	Pulse repetition frequency
PW	Pulsed wave
RBF	Renal blood flow
RI	Resistance index
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic curve
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
sFlt-1	Soluble Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase1
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
TPR	Total peripheral resistance
VEGF	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

INTRODUCTION

Pre-eclampsia (PE) is major cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality affecting approximately 2% of all pregnant women (*Eastwood et al., 2017*).

It refers to the occurrence of elevated blood pressure and proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation, and the symptoms include

- (a) Proteinuria
- (b) Dysfunctions of maternal organs which can be renal insufficiency, hepatic impairment, And neurological Complications.
- (c) Uteroplacental dysfunctions: fetal growth restriction; changes in the Doppler velocimetry studies of the umbilical artery, especially if combined with alterations in uterine arteries (*Mayrink et al., 2018*).

The etiology of preeclampsia is still unknown, although an excessive maternal systemic inflammatory response and an imbalance between circulating angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors have been described. The pathophysiology of preeclampsia is based on the incapability of the trophoblast to invade properly the myometrium causing a limited remodeling of spiral arteries.

The impaired placental perfusion caused by vascular abnormalities precedes clinical manifestations of preeclampsia

and it can be detected by Doppler ultrasound (*Mendez et al., 2013*).

Doppler ultrasound is now widely used in clinical practice to detect uteroplacental insufficiency.

This investigation allows the identification of pregnancies that are at high risk for developing preeclampsia and occurrence of maternal and fetal complications (*Chilumula et al., 2020*).

The normal course of pregnancy is characterized by increased diastolic blood flow velocity and diastolic notch loss in the second trimester of pregnancy, around the gestational age of 22 weeks. Pregnancy with persistent notch and increased blood flow resistance may have a high risk of preeclampsia, premature birth, and intrauterine growth restriction (*Stoenescu et al., 2021*).

Maternal Complications of preeclampsia include: Eclampsia, stroke, Abruption placenta, DIC, Hemolysis, Pulmonary edema and death.

Fetal complications include fetal growth restriction, Preterm delivery, Hypoxia/acidosis and Perinatal death (*Barton and Siabi, 2008*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of the work is to evaluate the role of uterine artery Doppler in the second trimester as a predictor of preeclampsia in high risk pregnancy.

PREECLAMPSIA

Preeclampsia is a complex cardiovascular disorder of pregnancy with underlying multifactorial pathogeneses; however, its etiology is not fully understood. It is characterized by the new onset of maternal hypertension after 20 weeks of gestation, accompanied by proteinuria, maternal organ damage, and/or uteroplacental dysfunction (*Aneman et al., 2020*).

-Etiology:

The underlying etiology of preeclampsia is not well-understood. A widely accepted cause of preeclampsia stems from the theory of abnormal placentation leading to significant maternal physiologic dysfunction. Despite these obstacles, the well-supported etiologic origin of preeclampsia has been shown to arise to abnormal placentation, leading to aberrant spiral arteries remodeling, placental ischemia, hypoxia, and oxidative stress (*Phipps et al., 2019*).

- Epidemiology:

Preeclampsia is one of the most common medical disorders of pregnancy, it has an incidence of 2–7% and can cause maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. According to the new terminology (*ACOG, 2014*), preeclampsia without evidence of end-organ damage is termed as preeclampsia without severe features. However, the presence of end-organ damage defines preeclampsia with severe features (*Chilmula et al., 2020*).

In the African region, 5.6% of pregnancies are estimated to be complicated by preeclampsia and 2.9% by eclampsia and hypertensive disorders in pregnant women are responsible for almost one in ten maternal deaths (*Abalos et al., 2013*).

Preeclampsia is a major indication for preterm delivery, accounting for about 15% of all preterm deliveries (*Saleem et al., 2014*) and is a cause of increased healthcare costs through the prolonged stay of the mother or newborn in intensive care units (*Antwi et al., 2020*).

- Risk factors:

There are several risk factors and predeterminants of preeclampsia that include:

- Nulliparity,
- Multi-gestation pregnancy,
- Advanced maternal age greater than 35 years old,
- In-vitro fertilization or other forms of assisted reproductive technology,
- Maternal comorbidities (chronic hypertension, chronic kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, thrombophilia, obstructive sleep apnea, obesity with pre-pregnancy BMI greater than 30),
- Family history,