



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Antimicrobial Efficacy of Chlorhexidine- Loaded Silver Nanoparticles on Enterococcus Faecalis Biofilm

(An In-Vitro Study)

Thesis

Submitted to the Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain
Shams University, in the partial fulfillment for Master's degree in
Endodontic Dentistry

By

Salma Salah Abdel Fattah Ahmed Metwally

BDS, 2014

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2022



Dedication

*All Love and respect to my great parents,
you gave me the best of you and I hope to
return even a fraction of what I received.*

*I've come this far with your
unconditional love and support my Role
Models, my Life.*

*To my late Professor, Dr Salma, thank
you for being my strength in the
darkest period of my life. No words can
express my gratitude. Your benevolence
shall be remembered.*

*To my mischievous sisters, you have
been a source of happiness, support and
inspiration.*

*To all my family and friends, for their
love and support.*

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratefulness, and to deeply and sincerely thank my supervisors, with whom I was blessed, for their patience, helpfulness, guidance, humbleness and continued support despite my shortcomings.

Prof. Dr. Salma Hassan El-Ashry

Professor at the Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her unyielding help and support, and for her valuable and highly appreciated supervision and guidance through the course of this study.

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdel Raghman Hashem

Professor at the Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his valuable and highly appreciated supervision and guidance during the course of this study, and for his patience and humbleness.

Prof. Dr. Soha Abdel Raghman El Hady

Professor at the Department Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her indefinite help and support and for her kind and easy-going personality during the course of this study.

Dr. Sarah Hossam Fahmy

Lecturer at the Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her extreme help, cooperation, encouragement, and for her kindness and continuous support during the course of this study.

Finally, I would like to thank all my professors, the staff members and all my colleagues in Endodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for their cooperation and support throughout the way.

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature	4
I. Microbial Ecosystems.....	4
II. Antimicrobials efficacy in endodontics	15
III. Nanotechnology for endodontic disinfection	24
Aim of the Study.....	41
Materials and Methods	42
I. Materials	42
II. Methods.....	43
Results.....	56
I. SEM Biofilm	56
II. Percentage Reduction of Log CFU	57
III. Percentile Red Intensity.....	66
Discussion.....	79
Summary.....	87
Conclusion	91
References.....	92
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

Fig No	Title	Page
Fig 1	Teeth preparation a: BL and MD periapical radiographs b: Sample tooth before decoronation c: Decoronated specimen	45
Fig 2	a: TEM image of AgNPs at different scales (20±5nm) b: UV-Vis light	48
Fig 3	Setting for microbiological sampling and irrigants application	50
Fig 4	a: Isomet device b: Cut mid- and apical- root sections	55
Fig 5	SEM pictures a: 2000 X b & c: 3000 X	56
Fig 6	Bar chart showing mean C _r % for different antibacterial materials	58
Fig 7	Bar chart showing mean C _r % for different timings of applications	60
Fig 8	Bar chart showing mean C _r % for different groups and timings of application (A)	62

Fig 9	Bar chart showing mean $C_r\%$ for different groups and timings of application (B)	65
Fig 10	Bar chart showing mean %R for different groups	67
Fig 11	Bar chart showing mean %R for different timings of applications	68
Fig 12	Bar chart showing men %R for different tooth sections	69
Fig 13	Bar chart showing mean %R for different groups and timings of application (A)	72
Fig 14	Bar chart showing mean %R for different groups and timings of application (B)	76
Fig 15	CLSM scans of Group I, a: middle/1 minute, b: apical/1 minute (arrow referring to biofilm), c: middle/10 minutes, d: apical/10 minutes. Group IV, e: middle/1 minute, f: apical/1 minute, g: middle/10 minutes, h: apical/10 minutes	78

List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page
Table 1	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of $C_r\%$ for different antibacterial materials	58
Table 2	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of $C_r\%$ for different timings of applications	60
Table 3	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of $C_r\%$ for different groups and timings of application (A)	62
Table 4	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of $C_r\%$ for different groups and timings of application (B)	65
Table 5	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of %R for different groups	67
Table 6	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of %R for different timings of applications	68
Table 7	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of %R for different tooth sections	69
Table 8	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of %R for different groups and timings of application (A)	73
Table 9	Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of %R for different groups and timings of application (B)	77

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation Full Term

%R	Percentile Red Intensity per Slide
C_r%	Percentage Reduction of log CFU
Ag⁺	Silver ions
Ag-GO	AgNPs in aqueous Graphene Oxide matrix
Ag-MSNs@CHX	CHX-loaded, Silver-decorated Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles
AgNPs	Silver Nanoparticles
AO	Acridine Orange
BHI	Brain Heart Infusion
BL	Buccolingual
CBD	Calgary Biofilm Device
CFU	Colony Forming Units
CHX	Chlorhexidine
CI	Conventional Irrigation
CLSM	Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy
DL	Diode Laser
eDNA	Extracellular DNA

EDTA	Ethylene-Diamine-Tetraacetic Acid
EPS	Extracellular Polymeric Substance
HBD3	Human Beta-Defensin 3
HEDP	1-Hydroxyethane 1,1-Diphosphonic Acid
HMP	Human Microbiome Project
HUVECs	Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells
ICG	Indocyanine Green
LPS	Lipopolysaccharides
LTA	Lipoteichoic acid
MBEC	Minimum Biofilm Eradication Concentration
MBIC	Minimum Biofilm Inhibitory Concentration
MD	Mesiodistal
MDR	Multiple Drug Resistance
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
NaOCl	Sodium Hypochlorite
NIH-3T3	Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts
NPs	Nanoparticles
NSG	Nanosilver gel
PC Im-based	Positively-Charged Imidazolium-based
AgNPs	Silver Nanoparticles

PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PDT	Photodynamic Therapy
PI	Propidium Iodide
PLLA	Poly L-lactide
ppm	Parts per Million
PVP	Polyvinyl-pyrrolidone
qRT-PCR	Quantitative Real-time PCR
RC	Root Canal
RCT	Root Canal Treatment
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
rRNA	ribosomal RNA
SCHNC	Silver Cross-linked Hydrogel Nanocomposite
SD	Standard Deviation
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope(y)
UAI	Ultrasonically-activated Irrigation
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet-visible
VBNC	Viable but Non-Cultivable
XPEF	XP Endo Finisher activation

Introduction

Microbial etiology is the leading cause behind almost all clinical endodontic pathosis. Bacteria, viruses, fungi and archaea contribute to the endodontic microbiota and biofilm formation; which may subsequently lead to primary or secondary infections of the root canal system, with or without periapical or periodontal involvement. These infections may persist long after standard endodontic treatment protocols application. Commonly isolated endodontic microbiota includes *Fusobacterium*, *Prevotella*, *Treponema*, *Actinomyces*, *Streptococcus*, etc; among which *Enterococcus faecalis* is the most commonly isolated endodontic pathogen. *E. faecalis* can exist in primary and secondary infections, as well as in acute and chronic ones, in planktonic and biofilm phases, and in single-, dual- and multi-species biofilm.

Biofilms are the most predominant form of microbial existence in natural environment, on surfaces, and within infected tissues. Microbial biofilm is defined as an assemblage of surface-associated microbial cells (i.e. sessile cells) that are enclosed in an extracellular polymeric substance matrix (EPS). Microbial biofilms are structurally and metabolically heterogeneous, multicellular communities, where aerobes and anaerobes coexist. They grow slowly via a predictable arcade of events starting with microbiota attachment to a suitable substrate followed by its adhesion and EPS production, the biofilm then slowly