



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات : لا يوجد



**Cognitive Impairment in Paediatric Onset
Multiple Sclerosis and its relation to Thalamic
Volume and Cortical Thickness of Temporal
Lobe by Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

A Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D. degree
in Neurology

By

Mona AbdElsalam AbdAllah

Master Degree in Neuropsychiatry, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nahed Salah Eldeen Ahmed

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ayman Mohammed Ahmed Nassef

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Alaa Eldeen Abdelhamid Mohamed

Professor of Radiology

Military Medical Academy

Prof. Dr. Maha Ali Nada

Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2022

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

لَسْبَحَانَكَ لَا مَعْلَمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah**, the **Most Beneficent** and **Merciful** who gave me the strength to accomplish this work,*

*My deepest gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Nahed Salah Eldeen Ahmed**, Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her valuable guidance and expert supervision, in addition to her great deal of support and encouragement. I really have the honor to complete this work under her supervision.*

*I would like to express my great and deep appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ayman Mohammed Ahmed Nassef**, Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, and his patience in reviewing and correcting this work,*

*I must express my deepest thanks to **Prof. Dr. Alaa Eldeen Abdelhamid Mohamed**, Professor of Radiology, Military Medical Academy, for guiding me throughout this work and for granting me much of his time. I greatly appreciate his efforts.*

*I can't forget to thank with all appreciation **Prof. Dr. Maha Ali Nada**, Assistant Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, whom tirelessly and freely gave comments on various drafts of this piece of work regarding the ozone work,*

*I would like to thank my teacher, **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Nemr**, Professor of Neurology, Military Medical Academy, for his continuous support, teaching and guiding me and give me the accessibility for collecting the cases of this research.*

*I cannot forget to thank **Asmaa Nabil**, the clever Psychologist that, assess all our cases regarding by long neuropsychological test. I am appreciating her patience with our patients.*

*Special thanks to my **Parents**, my **Husband** and all my **Family** members for their continuous encouragement, enduring me and standing by me.*

 *Mona AbdEl salam AbdAllah*

List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables.....	iii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	4
Review of Literature	
Pediatric-Onset Multiple Sclerosis (POMS).....	5
Cognitive Impairment in POMS	27
Brain Imaging and Voulmetry	46
Subjects and Methods	85
Results.....	90
Discussion	138
Summary	152
Limitations of the study	154
Conclusions	155
Recommendations	156
References	157
Appendices	I
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
ADEM	: Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis
ADEMON	: ADEM associated with optic neuritis
ADHD	: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
ADS	: Acquired demyelinating syndrome
AOMS	: Adult-onset multiple sclerosis
APT	: Attention Processing Training program
BICAMS	: Brief international cognitive assessment for multiple sclerosis
BMI	: Body mass index
BV	: Brain volume
CIS	: Clinically isolated syndrome
CIS	: Clinically isolated syndrome
CNS	: Central nervous system
DGM	: Deep gray matter
DMTs	: Disease modifying therapies
EDSS	: Expanded disability status scale
GM	: Gray matter
GMF	: GM fractional
HLA	: Human leukocyte antigen
IPMSSG	: International Pediatric MS Study Group
LH	: Left hemisphere
MOG	: Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein
MS	: Multiple sclerosis
MTR	: Magnetization transfer ratio
NAWM	: Normal appearing white matter

NEDA	: No evidence of disease activity
NFL	: Neurofilament light chain
PET	: Positron emission tomography
PiB	: Pittsburgh compound B
PIBPET	: Positron emission tomography, Pittsburgh compound B
POMS	: Pediatric-onset multiple sclerosis
PPMS	: Primary progressive MS
PPMS	: Primary-progressive multiple sclerosis
RAVLT	: Rey auditory verbal learning test
RH	: Right hemisphere
RRMS	: Relapsing-remitting MS
SCCs	: Subjective cognitive concerns
SCD	: Subjective cognitive decline
SD	: Standard deviation
SDGM	: Subcortical deep GM
SDMT	: Symbol Digit Modalities Test
SES	: Socioeconomic status
SNPs	: Single nucleotide polymorphisms
SPART	: Spatial Recall Test
SPART-D	: Spatial Recall Test Delayed
TMT	: Trail Making Test
WM	: White matter
WMF	: WM fractional
3D	: Three dimensional

List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Figure (13):	Statistically significant negative correlation between age “years” with Non-verbal IQ. Error! Bookmark not defined.	
Figure (2):	Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis standardized brain MRI protocol to evaluate patients wheneverMultiple sclerosis is clinically suspected.....	19
Figure (3):	Shape differences between multiple sclerosis patients and healthy controls.....	58
Figure (4):	Cortical thickness borders overlaid on an anatomical T1-weighted Freesurfer image	62
Figure (5):	Example of Brainsuite processing steps for volumetric assessment	63
Figure (6):	Example of brain cortical and subcortical labeling using Freesurfer.....	65
Figure (7):	Cortical thickness map (BrainVoyager QX cross-platform software)	66
Figure (8):	Mechanisms of late axonal loss. Molecular and cellular mechanisms driving neurodegeneration and atrophy.	74
Figure (9):	Cortical thickness measurements.	79
Figure (10):	Comparison between control groups and MS group according to age “years”	91

Figure (11):	Comparison between control groups and MS group according to sex.	92
Figure (12):	Comparison between control groups and MS group according to temporal lobe cortex thickness (left side).	97
Figure (13):	Comparison between control groups and MS group according to temporal lobe cortex thickness (right side).....	97
Figure (14):	Comparison between control groups and MS group according to relative thalamus volume.....	99
Figure (15):	Bar chart Full scale IQ, Non-verbal IQ and Verbal IQ distribution among MS group.	105
Figure (16):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior tempoeral thickness “left” with Non- verbal: verbal-fluid reasoning.....	108
Figure (17):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior tempoeral thickness “left” with Non- verbal: knowledge.....	108
Figure (18):	Statistically significant negative correlation between superior tempoeral thickness “left” with Non- verbal: visual spatial processing.....	108
Figure (19):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior tempoeral thickness “left” with Verbal: Quantitative reasoning.	108

Figure (20):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior temporeal thickness “left” with Verbal: visual spatial processing.....	109
Figure (21):	Statistically significant positive correlation between middle temporal thickness “left” with Verbal: quantitative reasoning.....	109
Figure (22):	Statistically significant positive correlation between middle temporal thickness “left” with Verbal: visual spatial processing.....	109
Figure (23):	Statistically significant positive correlation between inferior temporal thickness “left” with Verbal: quantitative reasoning.....	109
Figure (24):	Statistically significant negative correlation between fusiform thickness “left” with Non Verbal: working memory.....	110
Figure (25):	Statistically significant positive correlation between fusiform thickness “left” with.....	110
Figure (26):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with Non-Verbal: verbal-fluid reasoning.....	110
Figure (27):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with Verbal: quantitative reasoning.....	110

Figure (28):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with Verbal: visual spatial processing.	111
Figure (29):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with Verbal: verbal-fluid reasoning.	111
Figure (30):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “left” with Verbal: quantitative reasoning.	111
Figure (31):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “left” with Verbal: visual spatial processing.	111
Figure (32):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior temporal thickness “left” with full scale IQ.....	112
Figure (33):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior temporal thickness “left” with full Verbal IQ.....	112
Figure (34):	Statistically significant positive correlation between Middle temporal thickness “left” with Verbal IQ.	112
Figure (35):	Statistically significant positive correlation between inferior temporal thickness “left” with full scale IQ.....	112
Figure (36):	Statistically significant positive correlation between inferior temporal thickness “left” with verbal IQ.	113

Figure (37):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with full scale IQ.....	113
Figure (38):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with Non verbal IQ.	113
Figure (39):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “left” with verbal IQ.	113
Figure (40):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “left” with full-scale IQ.	116
Figure (41):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “left” with Non verbal IQ.	116
Figure (42):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “left” with verbal IQ.	117
Figure (43):	Statistically significant positive correlation between inferior temporal thickness “left” with verbal: knowledge.....	120
Figure (44):	Statistically significant positive correlation between entorhinal thickness “right” with verbal: knowledge.	120
Figure (45):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “right” with non-verbal: verbal-fluid reasoning.	121

Figure (46):	Statistically significant positive correlation between superior temporal thickness “right” with Full scale IQ.....	123
Figure (47):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “right” with Full scale IQ.....	123
Figure (48):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “right” with non verbal IQ.....	123
Figure (49):	Statistically significant positive correlation between parahippocampal thickness “right” with verbal IQ.....	123
Figure (50):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “right” with full scale IQ.....	124
Figure (51):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “right” with non-verbal IQ.	124
Figure (52):	Statistically significant positive correlation between average of temporal lobe cortex thickness “right” with verbal IQ.	124
Figure (53):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with superior temporal thickness (left).....	127
Figure (54):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with middle temporal thickness (left).	127

Figure (55):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with inferior temporal thickness (left).	127
Figure (56):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with fusiform thickness (left).	127
Figure (57):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with entorhinal	128
Figure (58):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with parahippocampal thickness (left).....	128
Figure (59):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with average of temporal lobe cortex thickness (left).....	128
Figure (60):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with superior temporal thickness (right).....	128
Figure (61):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with fusiform thickness (right).	129
Figure (62):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with entorhinal thickness (right).	129
Figure (63):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with average of temporal lobe cortex thickness (right).	129

Figure (64):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative right thalamus volume with parahippocampal thickness (left).....	129
Figure (65):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative right thalamus volume with	130
Figure (66):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative right thalamus volume with parahippocampal thickness (right).	130
Figure (67):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative right thalamus volume with average of temporal lobe cortex thickness (right).	130
Figure (68):	Statistically significant negative correlation between relative left thalamus volume with non-verbal: visual spatial processing.....	132
Figure (69):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative left thalamus volume with verbal: quantiative processing.	132
Figure (70):	Statistically significant negative correlation between relative right thalamus volume with non- verbal: visual spatial processing.....	132
Figure (71):	Statistically significant positive correlation between relative right thalamus volume with verbal: quantiative processing.....	132
Fig. (72):	Statistically significant negative correlation between age “years” with Non-verbal IQ.	134