



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات : لا يوجد





**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department**

MODELING FLOW BEHAVIOR OVER BUCKET SPILLWAY USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

A Thesis

**Submitted in partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of
Doctor of PHILOSOPHY IN CIVIL ENGINEERING**

Submitted by

Eng. Rusul Latteef Naji AL- Sfouk

B.Sc. in Water Resource Engineering, 2012, Faculty of Engineering, Baghdad University

M.Sc. in Water Resource Engineering, 2016, Faculty of Engineering, Baghdad University

Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Hesham EL-Badry

Professor of Hydraulics
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams
University

**Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Abd El-
Rahaman**

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Physics and Mathematics Department
Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams
University

**Cairo- Egypt
2022**



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

MODELING FLOW BEHAVIOR OVER BUCKET SPILLWAY USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University for the Fulfillment of the Requirement of PhD. Degree in
Civil Engineering (Irrigation and Hydraulics Department)

Rusul Latteef Naji AL-Sfouk

B.Sc. in Water Resource Engineering, 2012, Faculty of Engineering, Baghdad University

M.Sc. in Water Resource Engineering, 2016, Faculty of Engineering, Baghdad University

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Hesham EL-Badry
Professor of Hydraulics
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams
University

Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Abd El-Rahaman
Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Physics and Mathematics Department
Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams
University

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof. Dr.

Prof.

Prof. Dr.

Prof.

Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Prof. Dr. . Hesham EL -Badry

Professor of Professor of Hydraulics

Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, in Ain-Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Abd El-Rahaman

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Physics and Mathematics Department, in Ain-Shams University

Date:- ---/--/2022

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of PhD. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Irrigation and Hydraulic, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from November 2018 to March 2022.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date:- ---/--/2022

Signature:- -----

Name:- ***Rusul Latteef Naji AL- Sfouk***

Researcher Data

Name	: Rusul latteef Naji AL-Sfouk
Date of birth	: 6-10- 1989
Place of birth	: Baghdad governorate
Last academic degree	: M.Sc. in Water Resource Engineering
Field of specialization	: Water Resource Engineering
University issued the degree	: Baghdad University

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Gratitude is due to merciful generous God that guided me throughout this work.

Deep gratitude and sincere thanks are to be expressed to ***Prof. Dr. Hesham EL-Badry***, Professor of Environmental Water Resources in Irrigation and Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams University, for his kind guidance and continuous encouragement throughout this work and for his help and patience during the preparation of this study. Without his assistance, this investigation would have never been realized.

Thanks are due to ***Dr. Ahmed Ezzat***, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Physics and Mathematics Department, Faculty of Engineering Ain-Shams University, for his constant assistance and valuable advice throughout this study and for his valuable supervision, so as active help during this investigation.

Gratitude is to be extended to the staff members of Ain Shams, who assisted me to accomplish my thesis.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
الرَّحْمَنُ (١) عَلَّمَ الْقُرْآنَ (٢) خَلَقَ
الْإِنْسَانَ (٣) عَلَّمَهُ الْبَيَانَ (٤) الشَّمْسُ وَالْقَمَرُ
بِحُسْبَانٍ (٥) وَالنَّجْمُ وَالشَّجَرُ يَسْجُدَانِ (٦) وَالسَّمَاءَ
رَفَعَهَا وَوَضَعَ الْمِيزَانَ (٧) أَلَّا تَطْغَوْا فِي الْمِيزَانِ (٨)

صدق الله العظيم

DEDICATION

*This work took a period from my life. I wish to dedicate it to
whom suffered to educate, prepare, build capacity and help
myself to be as I am,*

To my beloved mother and beloved father

To my beloved husband

Finally to

My main supervisor

*“Who opened for me the path of scientific research with freedom of
thought”*

ABSTRACT

Spillway associated with flip bucket structure is considered as an effective evacuation tool in dam structural components. Huge destructive flood can be dissipated safely through this structure. Poor geometrical, structural and hydraulic design of this structure may cause serious damage followed by failure in the dam's stability.

The main aim of the study was orientated to introduce practical proposed modifications to avoid the critical damage zones in a real ogee spillway flip bucket structure. Proposed solutions were calibrated and assessed based on the numerical simulation process using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Optimum modification was classified according to its high ability in dissipating the dangerous flood energy away from the dam's body. Disposed hyper flood was carried to safe qualified location to ensure the downstream stability.

This main aim was preceded by an initial another aim of assessing the most reliable turbulent model in simulating this multiphase flow type. Four different turbulent models were examined such as: standard k-epsilon model (SKE), realizable k-epsilon model, renormalization group model (RNG) and detached eddy simulation model (DES). The multiphase water-air flow process was simulated using volume of fluid (VOF) method. The ANSYS FLUENT software was used in simulation analysis.

Results of each turbulent model were compared with results of physical (or experimental) model. Several physical models were investigated for ogee spillway and flip bucket individually and in other combined case. Multiple

comparing criteria of flow profiles, velocity values, and pressure values were examined. Detached eddy simulation (DES) process achieves the most reliable results for all cases.

Subsequently, DES was used for the main analysis of the damage simulation analysis and its modifications. Several constraint modifications of path curvature and its inclination angle were examined. Successful geometrical adjustment was developed for solving the damage problem.

List of Contents

ABSTRACT	9
List of Contents	I
List of Figures	III
List of Tables	XI
CHAPTER (1): Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Definition	1
1.2 Research Objectives	2
1.3 Research Methodology	3
1.4 Thesis Layout	3
CHAPTER (2): Literature Review	6
2.1 Spillways	6
2.2 Ogee Spillway (OG)	14
2.3 Flip bucket (FB):	17
2.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD):	20
2.4.a Advantages of Using CFD	20
2.4.b. Previous Investigation Efforts	20
2.5. Theoretical Background	22
2.5.1 .Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	22
2.5.2. Governing Equations	22
2.5.3. Multiphase Numerical Models	23
2.5.3.a Volume of Fluid Model (VOF)	24
2.5.3.b. Mixture Model	25
2.5.3.c Eulerian Model	27
2.6. Turbulence Modelling	28
2.7 Implemented Turbulence Models	30
2.7.a Standard K- ϵ Model (SKE)	31
2.7.b The RNG k- ϵ Model (RNG)	31
2.7.c Realizable k- ϵ Model (RKE)	32
2.7.d Detached Eddy Model (DES)	33
2.8 Boundary Conditions	33
2.9 Mesh Generation	34
CHAPTER (3): Estimating Reliable Turbulent Model in Simulating Flow Over Ogee Spillway	36
3.1 Investigation Procedure	36
3.2 Synopsis About (ogee spillway)	37
3.3 Physical Model	37
3.4 Mathematical Formulation	38
3.5 Model Development	39
3.6 Results and Analysis	41
3.6.a Water Surface Profile (W.L.)	50
3.6.b Static Pressure (SP)	54
3.6.c Results Comparison	57

3.7 Discussions-----	59
3.8 Afterword-----	61
CHAPTER (4): Assessing Reliable Turbulent Model in Simulating Flip	
Bucket spillway -----	62
4.1 Investigation Procedure -----	62
4.2 Synopsis About Flip bucket spillway-----	62
4.3. Case Study (A) -----	63
4.4. Numerical Modeling Approach -----	64
4.5 Model Geometry and Discretization -----	65
4.6 Model Boundary Conditions-----	66
4.7. Numerical Simulations and Results of case study (A)-----	67
4.8 Empirical Estimation of Throw Distance -----	83
4.9. Results Analysis and Discussion of Case Study (A) -----	85
4.9.1. Jet Velocity-----	85
4.9.2. Throw Distance Results-----	87
4.10. Case Study (B)-----	90
4.11. Results and Analysis of Case study (B) -----	91
4.12. Throw Distance by Dynamic Pressure -----	97
CHAPTER (5): Assessing Proposed Spillway Modifications by Detached	
Eddy Simulation Turbulence Model -----	100
5.1 Investigation Procedure -----	100
5.2 collecting data(field data) and simulating Cambambe spillway: -----	101
5.3 Definition of the Problem -----	102
5.3. Base case Analysis -----	103
5.4 Proposed Modifications To Limit Damages (Proposed Solutions): -----	107
5.5 Simulating Cambambe With The Proposed Solutions : -----	110
5.5.A. The flip bucket angle and radius of curvature results: -----	110
5.5.B. Changing the slope of the chute results: -----	128
5.5.C. Changing the slope of the chute and flip bucket angle results: -----	136
5.6. Afterword-----	144
CHAPTER(6):Inferential Investigation -----	145
6.1 Conclusions -----	145
6.2 Recommendations-----	146
REFERENCES -----	147
الملخص العربي-----	160

List Of Figures

Figure (2.1). Ogee spillway	9
Figure (2.2). Chute spillway.....	9
Figure (2.3).Side channel spillway.....	10
Figure (2.4). Tunnel / Shaft spillway	10
Figure (2.5).Siphon spillway	11
Figure (2.6).Free over –fall spillway.....	11
Figure (2.7).Stepped spillway	12
Figure (2.8).Labyrinth spillway	12
Figure (2.9) OG crest profile.....	15
Figure (2.10) Kavsak Dam Modelling by Serife Y. 2014.....	16
Figure (2.11) Empirical method of Kawakami	19
Figure (2.12) Results of Hurtig ‘K. et al, 2013	19
Figure (3.1): Ogee spillway Geometrical dimensions.....	38
Figure (3.2). The ogee spillway crest design	38
Figure (3.3): Model meshing by triangle method.	40
Figure (3.4): Boundary condition for 2D model.	40
Figure (3.5). The flow profile for SKE turbulent model for case 1	42
Figure (3.6). The flow profile for RNG turbulent model for case 1	42
Figure (3.7). The flow profile for RKE turbulent model for case 1	43
Figure (3.8). The flow profile for DES turbulent model for case 1	43
Figure (3.9). The flow profile for SKE turbulent model for case 5	44
Figure (3.10). The flow profile for RNG turbulent model for case 5	44
Figure (3.11). The flow profile for RKE turbulent model for case 5.....	45
Figure (3.12). The flow profile for DES turbulent model for case 5	45
Figure (3.13). The velocity profile for SKE turbulent model for case 1	46
Figure (3.14). The velocity profile for RNG turbulent model for case 1	46
Figure (3.15). The velocity profile for RKE turbulent model for case 1	47
Figure (3.16). The velocity profile for DES turbulent model for case 1	47
Figure (3.17). The velocity profile for SKE turbulent model for case 5.....	48
Figure (3.18). The velocity profile for RNG turbulent model for case 5.....	48
Figure (3.19). The velocity profile for RKE turbulent model for case 5	49
Figure (3.20). The velocity profile for DES turbulent model for case 5.....	49
Figure (3.21) Water surface profile at different ogee spillway locations case no. 1.	50
Figure (3.22) Water surface profile at different ogee spillway locations case no. 2.	51
Figure (3.23) Water surface profile at different ogee spillway locations case no. 3.	52
Figure (3.24) Water surface profile at different ogee spillway locations case no. 4.	53
Figure (3.25) Water surface profile at different ogee spillway locations case no. 5.	54

Figure (3.26) Numerical and experimental static pressure results for case no. 1 ($H/H_d = 1.2$)	55
Figure (3.27) Numerical and experimental static pressure results for case no. 2 ($H/H_d = 1$)	55
Figure (3.28) Numerical and experimental static pressure results for case no. 3 ($H/H_d = 0.75$)	56
Figure (3.29) Numerical and experimental static pressure results for case no. 4 ($H/H_d = 0.5$)	56
Figure (3.30) Numerical and experimental static pressure results for case no. 5 ($H/H_d = 0.25$)	56
Figure (3.31) Correlation factor results for water level and static pressure	58
Figure (3.32) Average absolute simulated error of water level and static pressure	58
Figure (3.33) Standard deviation of absolute simulation error for water level and static pressure	58
Figure (4.1) The experimental flume and flip bucket dimensions	64
Figure (4.2): Geometrical model properties	66
Figure (4.3): Boundary conditions of spillway flip bucket model	66
Figure (4.4). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for SKE model	68
Figure (4.5). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RNG model	68
Figure (4.6). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RKE model	69
Figure (4.7). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-KW-SST model (case no.1, $Q=0.22\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	69
Figure (4.8). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-RKE model (case no.1, $Q=0.22\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	70
Figure (4.9). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for SKE model	70
Figure (4.10). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RNG model	71
Figure (4.11). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RKE model	71
Figure (4.12). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-KW-SST model (case no.2, $Q=0.13\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	72
Figure (4.13). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-RKE model (case no.2, $Q=0.13\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	72
Figure (4.14). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for SKE model (case no.3, $Q=0.07\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	73
Figure (4.15). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RNG model	73
Figure (4.16). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for RKE model	74
Figure (4.17). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-KW-SST model (case no.3, $Q=0.07\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	74
Figure (4.18). Flow profile from phase contour obtained for DES-RKE model (case no.3, $Q=0.07\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	75
Figure (4.19). Velocity profile obtained from SKE model (Case 1, $Q=0.22\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	75
Figure (4.20). Velocity profile obtained from RNG model (Case 1, $Q=0.22\text{m}^3/\text{s}$)	76
