

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992

Assessment of the Mandibular Foramen Location in a Sample of Egyptian Population Using CBCT "A Retrospective Study"

A Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree of Science in Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

By

Aya ElSayd Abou Efetouh Metwaly

B.D.S. Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Dakihlya(2013)

Email: abouelfetouh.aya@gmail.com

Mobile: 01000495687

Under Supervision of

Dr. Mary Medhat Farid

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

Dr. Fatma Mostafa Elbadawy

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2022



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Aeknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Mary Medhat Farid,**Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Fatma Mostafa Elbadawy,** Lecturer of Oral and

Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain

Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful

encouragement.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Aya ElSaid Abou Efetouh Metwaly

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	v
List of Abbreviations	xvii
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	2
Aim of the Study	36
Patients and Methods	37
Case Presentation	48
Results	53
Discussion	107
Summary	120
Conclusion and Recommendations	128
References	130
Arabic Summary	1

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing midpoint of the anterior margin of fossa to the nearest point of the ar	mandibular foramen
Table 2:	in males and females	g the distance from gin of mandibular int of the posterior
Table 3:	ramus border in males and females Mann-Whitney U test comparing t anterior to posterior ramus bord midpoint of the mandibular fora	he distance from the der passing by the
Table 4:	and females	the distance fromthe otch to the entering ossa in males and
Table 5:	females	the distance fromthe to the most convex sing by the entering
Table 6:	females	the depth of the distance from the
Table 7:	of mandibular foramen to symmotexternal plate surface in males and Mann-Whitney U test comparing to midpoint of mandibular foramen the mandibular first and second in	d females
Table 8:	females Mann-Whitney U test comparing t apex of retromolar trigone to midpand females	he distance from the point of MF in males
Table 9:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing anterior border of mandibular for border of mandibular foramen point of mandibular foramen in ma	g the distance from oramen to posterior passing by entering

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 10:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing entering point of mandibular for point of the greatest convexity of border in males and females	amen fossa to the the inferior ramus
Table 11:	Summary of comparison of measurales and females	
Table 12:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing midpoint of the anterior margin of foramen fossa to the nearest point	the distance from of the mandibular
Table 13:	ramus border in different age groups Mann-Whitney U test comparing midpoint of the posterior margi- foramen to the nearest point of the border in different age group	the distance from in of mandibular ne posterior ramus
Table 14:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the anterior and posterior ramus bord midpoint of the MF fossa in different	e distance between ler passing by the
Table 15:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the lowest point of the mandibular not point of mandibular foramen in diffe	e distance from the sch to the entering
Table 16:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the mandibular notch and inferior ram by entering point of mandibular for	e distance between nus border passing ramen in different
Table 17:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing mandibular foramen Fossa which foramen fossa depression from the lingual tip on the inner plate surface point on the external plate surface management.	ng the depth of forms mandibular e point beyond the ace to symmetrical ce in different age
Table 18:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the midpoint of mandibular foramen to the mandibular first and second mol	e distance from the o occlusal plane of ars in different age
Table 19:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the apex of retromolar trigone to midpe foramen in different age groups	e distance from the oint of mandibular

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 20:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing anterior border of mandibular for border of mandibular foramen in dif	ramen to posterior
Table 21:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing entering point of mandibular for point of the greatest convexity of border in different age group.	the distance from amen fossa to the the inferior ramus
Table 22:	Summary of comparison of measure groups	ements different age
Table 23:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing midpoint of the anterior margin foramen fossa to the nearest poi ramus border according to dental st	the distance from of the mandibular nt of the anterior
Table 24:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing midpoint of the posterior margin nearest point of the posterior rame to dental status	the distance from of MF fossa to the us border according
Table 25:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the anterior and posterior ramus bord midpoint of the mandibular foramedental status	der passing by the n fossa according to
Table 26:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the lowest point of the mandibular no point of mandibular foramen fossa status.	te distance from the tch to the entering according to dental
Table 27:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing the mandibular notch and inferior rand by entering point of mandibulaccording to dental status	ne distance between nus border passing ar foramen fossa
Table 28:	Mann-Whitney U comparing the mandibular foramen Fossa which foramen fossa depression from the lingual tip on the inner plate surface status.	ne depth of the forms mandibular e point beyond the face to symmetrical according to dental
Table 29:	Mann-Whitney U comparing the apex of retromolar trigone to midp foramen to according to dental statu	distance from the point of mandibular

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 30:	Mann-Whitney U test comparing anterior border of mandibular for border of mandibular foramen pass	amen to posterior
Table 31:	mandibular foramen according to de Mann-Whitney U test comparing entering point of mandibular fora point of the greatest convexity of	the distance from amen fossa to the
Table 32:	border according to dental status Summary of comparison of meas edntate and dentate population	104 urements between

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	The reference points in the ramus	
Figure 2:	Medial surface of the right ramus	
Figure 3:	Common location of the mandibution adults. ¹⁵	
Figure 4:	Imaginary line extends from sign inferior border of the mandible	
Figure 5:	Graphical representation to the between the edentate/dentate ma	_
Figure 6:	Pathway of mandibular nerve. 15	11
Figure 7:	Transverse section of the right ramus at the level of the ling anteroposterior arrangement of inferior alveolar vasculatures	rula showing of IAN and
Figure 8:	Diagrammatic representation of anatomy of the mandibular n infratemporal fossa	erve in the
Figure 9:	Patient's face in horizontal posi- needle aligned with plane from of intertragic notch to corners o Needle is directed to target area	lower border f the mouth.
Figure 10:	Technique for Vazirani–Aki	
Figure 11:	(11A) Diagrammatic represent transverse section of the right ramus at the level at which an IA given. (11B) Photograph of a simulated maximum opening of t	mandibular NB would be skull with

$\boldsymbol{List\ of\ Figures\ {\rm cont...}}$

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 12:	(12A) intraoral photographs the oral cavity showing the landmarks observed and administering an CIANB. (1 syringe's insertion is 6-10 mm plane of mandibular teeth. barrel of syringe in the contraside of needle's insertion be and molar area, level of insertabove occlusal plane	of right side of the key intraoral palpated when 2B) show site of the mabove occlusal (12C) shows the translateral side of the etween premolar rition 6 to 10 mm
Figure 13:	(13A) illustrated the palpatic border the ramus and retrissue mildly by operator' illustrated the red dot is midpoint, blue dot is the first (6 to 8 mm above the midpomm posterior to the antramus). (13C) The first sinsertion and the syringe's becontral lateral side betwee premolar. (13D) The barre adjusted towards midline insert the needle freely to the medial side of ramus, the needle side of the back to the contral lateral bring the needle closer to the	action of the of s finger. (13B) the imaginary the imaginary that it is to fine the site of insertion oint and 8 to 10 erior border of site of needle's arrel is placed at the canine and el of syringe is of mandible to the further along eedle is inserted to IAN entry into syringe is taken side in order to
Figure 14:	(A) illustrates short distant oblique ridge to lingula. (B needle is in place in the suptouching the bone and above in range from 6 to 10 mm oblique ridge.	illustrates the oralingual notch, re occlusal plane a, IOR= internal

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 15:	(A) illustrates the novel approach (B) illustrates conventional inferinger block (CIANB). 50	or alveolar
Figure 16:	Illustrates retromolar triangle intr	raorally. ⁵² 23
Figure 17:	Schematic diagrams show the relative pterygomandibular space landmarks for the insertion and the the syringe in the anterior technique	and the ne angles of nnique and
Figure 18:	The modification of the lingual cu to Hunsuck and Epker. Notice horizontal cut on the lingual side. ⁶	the short
Figure 19:	Introduction of the caudal (fourth) As the osteotomy reaches the rangle, it allows the surgeon to mandible as if opening a book. ⁶¹	nandibular split the
Figure 20:	(A) The incision is made well lat attached gingiva in the molar regi mandibular ramus is exposed subperiosteal plane. (C) The late angle, and body are exposed. (D) The border is exposed.	on. (B) The d in the eral ramus, The inferior
Figure 21:	(A, B) A channel retractor is support the mandible. (C, D) The ramal border and coronoid preserved to the insertion of the muscle. 57	ne anterior rocess are temporalis
Figure 22:	(A) A medial ramus retractor is protect the nerve. (B) A horizontal (dashed line) is made with (C) a L burr. (D) Alternately, a reciprocati be used. ⁵⁷	l osteotomy indermann ng saw can

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 23:	A reciprocating saw is us external oblique ridge and coregion of the first and second vertical osteotomy is made	ontinued to the molar where a
Figure 24:	(A) With a series of or corticotomy is converted to an A ramal spreader is introduseparation of the medal and la completed with the nerve with component. (C, D). The component should be completed independent of the distal dental	osteotomy. (B) uced, and the teral cortices is thin the medial lateral ramal etely freed and
Figure 25:	The mandible is either advantable by placing it within the surgical splint and maxilla dental fixation. ⁵⁷	prefabricated ary-mandibular
Figure 26:	The repositioned elements a positional screws that can transbuccal	be placed via
Figure 27:	Development of a long osteoto proximal segment width	· -
Figure 28:	(A) The different FOV option Vatech CBCT (Vatech America (B) Different field of views ava units. ⁴⁴	a, FortLee, NJ). ailable in CBCT
Figure 29:	CBCT image acquisition, the detector move concomitantly rotation axis. ⁶⁵	y around the
Figure 30:	Corrected axial view on I-CAT	Vision41
Figure 31:	Corrected coronal view on I-CA	AT Vision42
Figure 32:	Corrected Sagittal view obtain	ed42

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 33:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed AB-MF identate female	n forty-three
Figure 34:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed PB-MF in dentate female	forty three
Figure 35:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed the CW is dentate female	n forty three
Figure 36:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed MF-MN in dentate female	a forty-three
Figure 37:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed MF-IB in dentate female	a forty-three
Figure 38:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed RW in a for old dentate female	ty-three years
Figure 39:	Corrected axial view on software to measure MFD	
Figure 40:	Corrected axial view on software showed MFD in a for old dentate female	ty-three years
Figure 41:	Corrected axial view on software to measure (MF-OP at	
Figure 42:	Corrected coronal view on software to measure (MF-CARMT).	OP and MF-

$\boldsymbol{List\ of\ Figures\ {\rm cont...}}$

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 43:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed MF-OP in dentate female	a forty-three
Figure 44:	Corrected sagittal view on software showed MF-ARMT in dentate female	a forty-three
Figure 45:	Corrected sagittal view show 25.25 mm	
Figure 46:	Corrected sagittal view show 10.50 mm	
Figure 47:	Corrected sagittal view showed	CW = 5 mm49
Figure 48:	Corrected sagittal view showermm	
Figure 49:	Corrected sagittal view show 17.50 mm	
Figure 50:	Corrected sagittal view show 22.25 mm	
Figure 51:	Axial view showed MFD = 4 mr	n51
Figure 52:	Corrected sagittal view shower	
Figure 53:	Corrected sagittal view showed 7.25 mm	
Figure 54:	Gender distribution of the s	
Figure 55:	Age distribution of the studied	CBCT scans55
Figure 56:	Dental status of the of the s	