

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992



FEASIBLE TECHNIQUE FOR IN STREAM AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WASTEWATER TREATMENT

A Thesis
Submitted To The Faculty Of Engineering
Ain Shams University For The Fulfillment
Of The Requirement Of Ph. Degree
In Civil Engineering

Prepared By

Eng. Zainab Abdelaziz Mohamed Mahmoud El Hefny B.Sc. In Civil Engineering, May 1999, Faculty Of Eng., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

M.Sc. Degree In Civil Engineering March 2018, Sanitary & Environmental Engineering, Faculty Of Eng., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Ghada Mahmoud Samy

Prof. Of Hydraulics And Water Resources, Irrigation & Hydraulics Department, Faculty Of Engineering , Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi

Prof. Of Sanitary & Environmental Eng., Public Works Department, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams Univ.

Dr. Nany Aly Hassan Nasr,

Associate Professor Of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams
University, Cairo, Egypt

Dr. Mona Abdelhamid Hagrass, Associate Prof. Irrigation And Hydraulics Department, Faculty Of Engineering , Ain Shams Univ.

2022



FEASIBLE TECHNIQUE FOR IN STREAM AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WASTEWATER TREATMENT

A Thesis For

The Ph. Degree In Civil Engineering

(Irrigation And Hydraulics)

Ву

Eng. Zainab Abdelaziz Mohamed Mahmoud El Hefny B.Sc. In Civil Engineering, May 1999, Faculty Of Eng., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

M.Sc. Degree In Civil Engineering March 2018, Sanitary & Environmental Engineering, Faculty Of Eng., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt

Thesis Approval

| Examiners Committee | Signature |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Prof. Dr. Hesham Sayed Abdel | naleem Professor Of Sanitary Eng Faculty Of |
| Eng., Cairo Univ. | |
| Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Nour E | din Owis |
| Professor Of Hydraulics A | and Water Resources, |
| Irrigation & Hydraulics D | epartment, Faculty Of Eng., Asu. |

Prof. Dr. Ghada Mahmoud Samy
Prof. Of Hydraulics And Water Resources,
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department, Faculty Of Eng., Asu.
Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi
Prof. Of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering.
Public Works Department, Faculty Of Eng., Asu.

Date: ---/--/2022

DEDICATION

It Took From Me A Portion Of My Life To Finish This Work, And I Return The Credit Of Finishing This Work To God.

I Wish To Dedicate This Work To Who Suffered To Educate, Prepare, Build Capacity And Help Myself
To Be As I Am,

TO

MY MOTHER

AND

MYFATHER

AND I LIKE TO DEDICATE THE WORK TO MY LOYELY CHILDREN AND

MY HUSPEND

STATEMENT

This Dissertation Is Submitted To Ain Shams University, Faculty Of Engineering For The Degree Of Phd In Civil Engineering.

The Work Included In This Thesis Was Carried Out By The Author In The Department Of Irrigation And Hydraulics, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams University, From October 2019 To March 2022.

No Part Of The Thesis Has Been Submitted For A Degree Or A Qualification At Any Other University Or Institution.

The Candidate Confirms That The Work Submitted Is His Own And That Appropriate Credit Has Been Given Where Reference Has Been Made To The Work Of Others

Date: - --- /-- /2022

Signature: - ------ Name:

- Zainab Abdelaziz Mohamed Mahmoud El Hefny

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Candidate Is Deeply Grateful To Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi, Professor Of Environmental And Sanitary Engineering, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams University, For Help, Encourage, Co-Operation Sponsoring And Patient Advising During Preparation Of This Research.

And Deep Thanks To Prof. Dr. Ghada Mahmoud Samy, Professor Of Hydraulics And Water Resources, Irrigation & Hydraulics Department, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams University For Help, Encourage, Co-Operation During Preparation Of This Research.

And Deep Thanks To Dr. Nany Aly Hassan Nasr, Associate Professor Of Sanitary & Environmental Engineering, Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams University, For Help, Encourage, Co-Operation During Preparation Of This Research.

And Deep Thanks To Dr. Mona Abdel Hamid El Sayed Hagras, Associate Prof. Irrigation And Hydraulics Department- Irrigation And Hydraulics - Ain Shams University For Help, Encourage, Co-Operation During Preparation Of This Research.

And Deep Grating To The Staff And Workers In Faculty Of Engineering, Public Works Dept Specially Whom Work In The Sanitary Laboratory For Their Support, Encourage And Co-Operation During The Preparation Of This Research.

ABSTRACT

Name: Zainab Abdelaziz Mohamed Mahmoud El Hefny

Title: Feasible Technique For In Stream Agricultural Drainage Wastewater Treatment

Faculty : Faculty Of Engineering, Ain Shams University : Civil Eng., Irrigation And Hydraulic Department

Summary :

This Research Aims To Study Three Different Methods Used Instream For Drain Water Treatment. Application Of Agricultural Waste (Rice Husk), Plastic Material, And Rotating Paddles, To Improve The Self-Purification Process Through The Stream And Achieve The Possibility Of Its Water Reuse.

A Pilot Was Made At Faqous Wwtp, Sharkia Governorate, Near To Bahr Al-Baqar Drain Bank, Simulated Five Parallel Channels Built From Bricks Plastered By Epoxy Mortar. The First Channel Represents The Drain Water Without Treatment As Raw Water. The Second, Third, Fourth And Fifth Channels Used The Treated Media With Different Lengths From 50 Cm, 80 Cm, 110 Cm And 140 Cm. Samples Were Taken From Different Locations From Each Channel, The Channel Beginning, After The Treated Media By One Meter, Then 5 Meters And At 10 Meters From The Channel Beginning.

Three Methods Were Tested, The First Used Natural Material By Agricultural Wastes Of Rice Husk, The Second Used Artificial Media Of Overlapping Perforated Plastic Boxes, And The Third Using Rotating Paddles Rotated Due To The Water Velocity In The Stream. Experiments Were Conducted On 3 Operating Cycles One For Each Method, And In Each Cycle Water Samples Were Taken A Week After The Start Of Operation And For A Period Of Six Weeks To Measure The Different Elements.

Laboratory Experiments Showed That The Removal Ratio Using 140 Cm With All The Applied Methods Is The Higher In Removal Efficiency Than The Other Lengths, Where It Achieved Removal Ratios Of 20-87% With Rice Husk And From 34-87% With Plastic And From 47-85% With Rotating Paddles For All Measured Items, Which Are Bod, Cod. Tss, Hm And An Increase Ratio In Do Were Ranging From 20-80% For The Three Methods.

Technical, Financial And Environmental Comparisons Were Made Between The Three Methods Showed That Using Rice Husk Is The Best For Treating Agricultural Drain Water. So The Simulation Model Was Made For It.

The Mathematical Simulating Equations Were Deduced To Determine The Removal Ratio Of Each Element With Rice Husk Media Application Using The Data Of The First Four Weeks, And Its Verification Was Confirmed By Using The Results Of The Fifth And Sixth Weeks. The Produced Simulating Equations Are As Follows:

1- For CODWith An Error Ratio Of -0.01 & +0.1%

E = -7e-16ci 2 + 0.034ci +19.228 R2 = 1.0

2- For BOD, With An Error Ratio Of -0.96 & -1.23%

E= -9e-05ci2 + 0.1336ci +36,655 R2 = 1

3- For TSS With An Error Ratio Of -13.52 & +12.29%

E=87.418 Lnci – 517.6 R2 =0.1271

4- For HM With An Error Ratio Of -4.21 & +15.65%

E= 7.3429 Lnci + 26.451

R2 = 0.0311

5- For DO With An Error Ratio Of -0.23 & +5.62%

E= 7.3429 Lnci + 26.451

R2 = 0.0311

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ghada Mahmoud Samy

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Hosseiny El Nadi,

Dr. Nany Aly Hassan Nasr

Dr. Mona Abdel Hamid El Sayed Hagras

ABBREVLATIONS

| Ann | Artificial Neural Network |
|------------------|---|
| Asb | Aerated Stabilization Basin |
| Baf | Biological Aerated Filter |
| Bod | Biochemical Oxygen Demand |
| \mathbf{Bod}_5 | Biochemical Oxygen Demand At The Fifth Day Of |
| | The Experiment |
| Cod | Chemical Oxygen Demand |
| Dbaf | Dual Biological Aerated Filter |
| Do | Dissolved Oxygen |
| Eb | Eucalyptus Bark |

Fbr Fluidized Bed Reactor

Gac Granular Activated Carbon
Mbr Membrane Biological Reactor
Mlp Multilayer Layer Perceptron

Mse Mean Square Error Prh Phosphate Rice Husk Pvc Polyvinyl Chloride

Rbc Rotating Biological Contactor

Rbf Radial Basis Function S.P. Self Purification

Ss Suspended Solids
Ssfw Subsurface Flow Wetland

Tb Tubular

Tds Total Dissolved Solids

Tf/Sc Trickling Filter / Solids Contact

Tss Total Suspended Solids
Ufbr Up Flow Fixed Bed Reactor

Vf Vertical Flow

Vfw Vertical Flow Wetland Vss Volatile Suspended Solids

Xf Cross Flow

Table Of Contents

| | Page |
|---|--------------|
| Cover | Ī |
| Thesis Approval | Ii |
| Dedication | Iii |
| Statement | Iv |
| Acknowledgement | ${f V}$ |
| Abstract | Vi |
| Abbreviations | Vii |
| Table Of Contents | Viii |
| List Of Figures | \mathbf{X} |
| List Of Tables | Xii |
| Chapter I: Introduction | 1-5 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Study Objectives | 1 |
| 1.3 Scop Of Work | 2 |
| 1.3.1 Theoretical Work | 2 |
| 1.3.1.1 Data Collection | 2 |
| 1.3.1.2 Data Analysis And Discussion | 2 |
| 1.3.1.3 Modeling | 2 |
| 1.3.1.4 Thesis Preparation | 3 |
| 1.3.2 Experimental Work | 3 |
| 1.4 Thesis Organization | 4 |
| Chapter I: Introduction | 4 |
| Chapter Ii: Literature Review | 4 |
| Chapter Iii: Material And Methods | 5 |
| Chapter Iv: Results Of Experimental Work | 5 |
| Chapter V: Study Modeling | 5 |
| Chapter Vi: Discussion | 5 |
| Chapter Vii: Conclusions | 5 |
| Chapter 2: Literature Review | 6-49 |
| 2.1 General | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Egyptian Agrictulural Drains | 7 |
| 2.1.2 Advantages & Disadvantages Of Drainage System | 9 |
| 2.1.3 Sources Of Drains Waterpollution | 11 |
| 2.1.3.1 Drains Point Source Of Pollution | 12 |
| 2.1.3.2 Drains Non-Point Source Of Pollution | 13 |
| 2.1.4 Need For Reuse Of Agricultural Drainage | 15 |
| 2.1.5 Types Of Drainage Systems | 15 |
| 2.2national | 17 |
| 2.3 Need For Drainage Water Treatment | 18 |
| 2.4 Drains Water Treatment Procedures | 19 |
| 2.4.1 Stream Body End Drains Water Treatment | 20 |
| 2.4.2 Drains Water Treatment Near By Stream | 21 |
| 2.4.3 Drains Water Treatment Inside Stream | 21 |

| 2.5 Drains Water Treatment Technique | 22 |
|--|-------|
| 2.5.1 Physical Treatment Techniques | 22 |
| 2.5.1.1 Screening | 23 |
| 2.5.1.2 Sedimentation | 24 |
| 2.5.1.3 Floatation | 25 |
| 2.5.1.4 Centrifugation | 26 |
| 2.5.1.5filtration | 26 |
| 2.5.2 Chemical Treatment Techniques | 28 |
| 2.5.2.1 Coagulation And Flocculation | 29 |
| 2.5.2.2 Direct Chemical Reaction | 29 |
| 2.5.2.3aearation & Oxidation | 30 |
| 2.5.2.4adsorption | 30 |
| 2.5.2.5 Electric Analysis And Dialysis | 31 |
| 2.5.2.6 Distillation | 32 |
| 2.5.2.7 Ion Exchange | 32 |
| 2.5.2.8 Chemical Disinfection | 32 |
| 2.5.3 Biological Treatment Techniques | 33 |
| 2.5.3.1 Aerobic Suspended Growth (Activated Sludge System) | 35 |
| 2.5.3.2 Aerobic Attached Growth Processes (Biological Filters) | 36 |
| 2.5.3.3 Stabilization Ponds | 38 |
| 2.5.3.4aerated Lagoons | 38 |
| 2.5.3.5 Wetlands | 39 |
| 2.5.3.6 Algae Ponds | 40 |
| 2.5.3.7 Anaerobic Biological Treatment | 40 |
| 2.6. Drains Water Treatment Applications | 41 |
| 2.6.1 World Experience | 44 |
| 2.6.2 Egypt Experience | 44 |
| Chapter Iii: Materials And Methods | 50-63 |
| 3.1 study location | 50 |
| 3.2 Applied Pilot Unit | 50 |
| 3.2.1 Pilot Describtion | 50 |
| 3.2.2 Pilot Components Details | 51 |
| 3.3 Experimental Work | 52 |
| 3.3.1 Application Of Agriculture Wastes | 53 |
| 3.3.2 Application Of Plastic Media | 54 |
| 3.3.3 Application Of Natural Rotors | 54 |
| 3.4 Operation Procedure | 54 |
| 3.5 Sampling | 55 |
| 3.6 Measurments Analysis | 56 |
| 3.6.1 Ph Value | 56 |
| 3.6.2 Dissolved Oxygen (Do) | 57 |
| 3.6.3 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (Bod) | 57 |
| 3.6.4 Chemical Oxygen Demand (Cod) | 57 |
| 3.6.5 Total Dissolved Solid (Tds) | 58 |
| 3.6.6total Suspended Solids | 58 |
| 3.6.7 Heavy Metals (Hm) | 59 |

| Chapter Iv: Results Analysis And Discussions | 59 |
|--|-------|
| 4.1 Introduction | 63 |
| 4-2 Experimental Works Result For Agriculture Wastewater | 63 |
| 4-2-1 Agricultural waste Media Results | 64- |
| 4-2-2 Plastic Media Results | 176 |
| 4-2-3 Rotating Paddles Results | 64 |
| Chapter V: design equation | 64 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 64 |
| 5-2 Agriculture Waste Method Results Discussion | 103 |
| 5-2-1 Do Results Discussion | 141 |
| 5-2-2 Bod Results Discussion | 177- |
| 5-2-3 Cod Results | 177 |
| 5-2-4 Tss Results Discussion | 177 |
| 5-2-5 Hm Results Discussion | 177 |
| 5-3 Discussion Of Secound Run Results (Plastic Media Method) | 177 |
| 5-3-1 Do Results Discussion | 178 |
| 5-3-2bod Results Discussion | 178 |
| 5-3-3 Cod Results Discussion | 179 |
| 5-3-4tss Results Discussion | 180 |
| 5-3-5 Hm Results Discussion | 180 |
| 5-4 Discution For Rotating Paddles Method | 180 |
| 5-4-1 Do Results Discussion | 180 |
| 5-4-2 Bod Results Discussion | 181 |
| 5-4-3 Cod Results Discussion | 181 |
| 5-4-4 Tss Results Discussion | 182 |
| 5-4-5 Hm Results Discussion | 183 |
| 5-5 Comparison Between Methods Results | 183 |
| 5-5-1 Comparison For Do Results | 184 |
| 5-5-2 Comparison For Bod Results | 184 |
| 5-5-3comparison For Cod Results | 185 |
| 5-5-4comparison For Tss Results | 185 |
| 5-5-5 Comparison For Hm Results | 186 |
| 5-6 Technical Comparison Between Methods | 187 |
| 5-7 Finanical Comparison Between Methods | 188 |
| 5-7-1 Agricultural Waste Method | 189 |
| 5-7-2plastic Media Method | 190 |
| 5-7-3 rotating Paddels Method | 190 |
| | 191 |
| | 191 |
| Chapter Vi: Discussion | 32-45 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 193 |
| 6.2 Background | 193 |
| 6.3 Water Quality Modeling | 194 |
| 6.3.1 Hydraulic Water Quality Models | 194 |
| 6.3.1.1 STREAM HYDRAULICS WATER QUALITY MODELS | 194 |

| 6.3.1.2 STREAM GEOMETRICS WATER QUALITY MODELS | 197 |
|--|------|
| 6.3.2 Biological Water Quality Models | 198 |
| 6.3.3 Self Purification Models | 200 |
| 6.3.4Mixed Model (Self Purification And Biological Filtration) | 201 |
| 6.4 Model Production6 | 203 |
| 6.4.1 COD MODEL | 203 |
| 6.4.1.1 Comparison With Previous Studies | 204 |
| 6.4.1.2 Regression Analysis | 206 |
| 6.4.2 BOD MODEL | 211 |
| 6.4.3 TSS MODEL | 218 |
| 6.4.4 HM MODEL | 225 |
| 6.4.5 DO MODEL | 234 |
| 6-5 Summary Of Produced Equations | 234 |
| 6.6 Model Verification | 241 |
| 6.6.1 Verification For Cod Model | 241 |
| 6.6.2 Verification For Bod Model | 241 |
| 6.6.3 Verification For Tss Model | 242 |
| 6.6.4 Verification For Hm Model | 242 |
| 6.6.5 Verification For Do Model | 245 |
| | 245 |
| Chapter Vii: Conclusions | 247- |
| 7.1 Over View | 251 |
| 7.2 Conclusions | 247 |
| 7.2.1experimental Work Conclusion | 248 |
| 7.2.2 Modeling Conclusion | 248 |
| 7.3 Recommendations | 249 |
| 7.4 Further Work | 250 |
| | 251 |
| | 251 |
| | |

List Of Figures

| | <u>========</u> | Page |
|---------------------------|---|-------------|
| Chapter Ii Figure 2.1. | Drains in Delta | 6 |
| Figure 2.2. | Positive effects and impacts of agricultural drainage | 8 |
| Figure 2.3. | Bar Screens | 22 |
| Figure 2.4. | Aeration Process | 29 |
| Figure 2.5. | Schematic diagram of a suspended-growth ATU | 32 |
| Figure 2.6. | Conventional Trickling Filter Unit | 34 |
| Figure 2.7. | Waste Stabilization Pond, in As-Samra, Jordan | 35 |
| Figure 2.8. | Facultative Pond Process | 35 |
| Figure 2.9. | Constructed Wetlands | 37 |
| Figure 2.10 | Constructed Algae Ponds | 38 |
| Chapter Iii Figure 3.1. | Study Location Site Map | 50 |
| Figure 3.2. | Photo of the Applied Pilot | 52 |
| Figure 3.3. | Section of the Applied Pilot | 52 |
| Figure 3.4. | Plan of the Applied Pilot | 52 |
| Figure 3.5. | Application of rice husk in the study pilot | 53 |
| Figure 3.6. | Application of plastic media in the study pilot | 54 |
| Figure 3.7. | Application of natural rotor in the study pilot | 55 |
| Figure 3.8. | pH Meter | 57 |