

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

$\infty\infty\infty$

تم عمل المسح الضوئي لهذة الرسالة بواسطة / سامية زكى يوسف

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

اتوتكنوبوج

ملاحظات:

- بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل
 - بعض الصفحات الأصلية تالفة
- بالرسالة صفحات قد تكون مكررة بالرسالة صفحات قد تكون مكررة
 - بالرسالة صفحات قد يكون بها خطأ ترقيم



EFFECT OF NITROGEN SOURCES AND BIOFERTILIZER ON MAIZE PLANT IN CALCAREOUS SOILS

A THESIS

Presented to the Graduate School Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha) Alexandria University

In Partial of Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

of

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (AGRONOMY)

BY

HANY ABD EL-ATI ABD EI-RAHMAN DARWESH

2001



EFFECT OF NITROGEN SOURCES AND BIOFERTILIZER ON MAIZE PLANT IN CALCAREOUS SOILS

Presented By

HANY ABD EL-AATY Abd El-RAHMAN DARWESH

For the degree of

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (AGRONOMY)

Examiner's Committee:

Approved

Prof. Dr. FOUAD ABD EL-HALIM SOROUR

KOUK

F. A. Sorour

Prof. of Agronomy, Agronomy Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University.

Prof. Dr. FATHALLA IBRAHIM REHAB

F. Rehal.

Prof. of Agronomy, Plant Production Dept., Fac. of Agric. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

Prof. Dr. MOHAMED NAGUIB EL-BANNA

Prof. of Agronomy, Plant Production Dept.,

Fac. of Agric. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

Prof. Dr. FATHY IBRAHIM RADWAN

Prof. of Agronomy, and Vice Dean for Cummunity Development and Environment Affairs, Fac. of Agric. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

F. Radwan

ADVISOR'S COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. FATHALLA IBRAHIM REHAB

Professor of Agronomy,
Plant Production Department
Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha),
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. FATHY IBRAHIM RADWAN

Professor of Agronomy, and Vice Dean for Cummunity Development and Environment Affairs, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. FAWZY ABD EL-MAGEED SALAMA

Head of Maize Research Department, FCRI, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

CONTENTS

		Page
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	-
	LIST OF TABLES.	_
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
		•
н.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
	I- Effect of nitrogen sources	3
	II- Effect of biofertilizers	8
III.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23
	1- Agronomic characteristics	23
	1- Plant height	23
	2- Stem diameter (cm)	25
	3- Total plant leaf area	27
	4- Days to 50-percent of silking	29
	II- Yield and yield components	31
	1- Ear height (cm)	31
	2- Ear length (cm)	32
	3- Ear diameter (cm)	35
	4- Codé diameter (cm)	37
	5- Number of rows/ear	37
	6- Number of kernels/row	40
	7- Number of ears/plant	43
	8- One hundred-kernel weight	45
	9- Grain yield kg/plot	47
	10- Grain yield ton/ha	50
	III- Grain quality	56
V.	SUMMARY	61
VI.	LITERATURE CITED.	65
VIII.	ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

		Pag
Table (1):	Soil chemical and mechanical analysis of the two experimental sites of 1999 and 2000 seasons.	19
Table (2):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilizer on plant height (cm) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	24
Table (3):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilizer on stem diameter (cm) of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons.	26
Table (4):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilizer on leaf area (cm²) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons.	28
Table (5):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilizer on days to 50% silking of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	30
Table (6):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on ear height (cm) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	33
Table (7):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on ear length (cm) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	34
Table (8):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on ear diameter (cm) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	36
Γable (9):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on code diameter (cm) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	38
Γable (10):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on number of rows/ear of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	39
Γable (11):	Interactions between nitrogen sources and biofertilization on number of rows/ear and number of grains/row of maize plant in 2000 season.	<i>A</i> 1
Fable (12):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on number of kernels/row of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	41
Table (13):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on number of ear/plant in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	44

Table (14):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on 100-kernel weight (g) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	46
Table (15):	Interactions between nitrogen sources and biofertilization on 100-kernel weight (g) in maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons.	48
Table (16) :	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on grain yield kg/plot of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	49
Table (17):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on grain yield ton/ha of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	52
Table (18):	Interactions between nitrogen sources and bio-fertilization on grain yield kg/plot of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons.	54
Table (19):	Interactions between nitrogen sources and bio-fertilization on yield ton/ha of maize plant during 1999 and 2000 seasons	55
Table (20):	Effect of nitrogen sources and biofertilization on crude protein of maize grains during 1999 and 2000 seasons	57
Table (21):	Interactions between nitrogen sources and bio-fertilization on crude protein of maize grains during 2000 season.	59

.

.

·

y.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to God, the most beneficent and merciful, I wish to express my thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. FATHALLA IBRAHIM REHAB**, Professor of Agronomy, Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University, for his supervision, valuable advice and great help.

Faithful thanks and gratitude are also owned to **Prof. Dr. FATHY IBRAHIM RADWAN**, Professor of Agronomy, and Vice Dean for Community Development and Environment Affairs, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba-Basha), Alexandria University.

My sincere thank also due to Prof. Dr. FAWZY ABD EL-MAGEED SALAMA, Head of Maize Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt, who suggested this study, supervised it, and his kind aid during the preparation of this work.

I gratefully acknowledge the Staff of Maize Research at Nubaria Research Station for their Sincere Assistance. Special acknowledgement to Dr. Khamis Ibrahim Khalifa, Maize Research Team Leader at Nubaria, and Dr. Ahmed Habliza, and Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Fattah, for their help, encouragement and advice during the study.

Special thanks are extended to the soul of **Dr. MOHAMMED AMER** for this sincere help.

Special thanks are extended to Dr. GAMAL EL-SHEBINY, and Mr. EL-HUSSIEN GHALAB GALAL AHMED; Nubaria Research Station for their kind help and co-operation during the practical work of this study.

Finally I wish to express my worm thanks and graduation to my Father, Mother, Brothers, Sisters and my Friends for their help, patience and sharing me the difficulties of this study.

INTRODUCTION

Maize is the very important cereal crop, in Egypt, next to wheat, it is mainly used, by Egyptian farmer, for animal feed as well as human food. Such importance has kept the maize acreage without reduction compared to the other cultivated crops. For the period of 1950 to 1999, maize area, in our country, relatively increased from 0.61 to 0.78 million hectare, or about 27.9% (Agricultural Agric. Econom., 1979-1999).

Therefore there is a need to raise productivity of maize. In Egypt, among various N-fertilizer sources ammonium sulphate, calcium nitrate and urea are the most common essential forms required for growth and yield of maize plant. The effect of three N-sources are being evaluated in different locations and under various conditions.

Biofertilizer by N-fixing bacteria could contribute to the nitrogen requirements for maize plants. Therefore, the importance of the present study was justified according to the following reasons.

- Limitation of N-fertilizers technology pollution losses of N-fertilizers, the destructive effects of dinitrefication products on ozone, application costs and production difficulties (Madkour *et al.*, 1987 and Zaid, 1992).
- Inoculation with N-fixing bacteria increasing yield of field crops even in agricultural systems where mineral N-supplementation is not a major problem (Mitkess et al., 1996).

- Using mixtures of microorganisms is a promoting trend for increasing the efficiency of N₂-fixing bacteria (Belimov et al., 1995).
- At present we can satisfy N-requirements of plants grown in desert ecosystems, via mineral N-fertilization or inoculation with N₂-fixing organisms (Fayez, 1990).

Therefore, the objective of the present work was to study the effect of biofertilizer (N₂-fixing bacteria), and nitrogen sources and the interactions effects between nitrogen sources and biofertilizers on maize crop.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

I- Effect of nitrogen sources:

Among the great number of nitrogenous fertilizers, ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulphate and urea usually are used in Egyptian corn plantations. This, the evaluation of these form to chose the best of them with regard to their effect on maize productivity is of great importance.

Power et al. (1972), found that corn production was the highest for ammonium sulphate and ammonium nitrate at 110 kg N/ha in dry land regions of the Northern Great plains.

Jackson et al. (1976), indicated that when both NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ are present in the growth medium as (in NH₄⁺NO₃⁻) total N uptake is increased.

Rathore et al. (1986), reported that nitrogen application had positive significant effect on maize plant height and number of grains per ear.

Shalaby and Makhail (1979a), showed that increasing nitrogen level from 72 up to 216 kg N/ha, significantly increased both plant height and area of the sixth leaf blade on plant, on the other hand; opposite trend was obtained with the mean number of days to 50% of silking. Data published by the same author; Shalaby and Makhail (1979 b), revealed that some yield components namely; percentage of two-eared maize plant upper, most ear length, number of kernels per row and seed index, significantly increased as nitrogen level increased from 72 up to 216 kg N/ha.

Hageman (1980), reported that from the physiological considerations, either NO_3^- or NH_4^+ can serve as an adequate source of N for plant growth and productivity.

Shalaby and Omar (1981), noticed that grain yield, number of ears per plant, ear weight, plant height and number of green leaves at 75% of silking increased with increasing nitrogen level, while number of barren plants per hectare showed a reverse trend.

Khalifa *et al.* (1983), reported that nitrogen level of 107 kg/ha caused a significant increase in maize plant height, ear height, number of leaves below the main ear and leaf area. Meanwhile, the same level caused a significant reduction in the percentage of barren plants, increasing nitrogen level above 107 kg/ha did not cause any significant changes in the above mentioned characteristics. In addition, increasing nitrogen fertilizers up to 214 kg/ha, significantly increased grain yield, ear length, number of kernels per row, ear weight, kernel weight per ear and 1000-kernel weight per ear and 1000-kernels weight. Moreover, that level significantly reduced the number of days to 50% silking.

Bashir et al. (1984), obtained the highest yield of grain sorghum when using calcium nitrate with Giza 15.

Boswell et al. (1985), concluded that N fertilizer can increase, decrease leaves or soil pH unchanged depending on (i)whether the form of N NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻, (ii) the accompanied anion or cation, (iii) the crop grown, and (iv) the ultimate fate of the fertilizer N ammonium sources produce acidity during nitrification. The final acidity may be greater if the NH₄⁺ is

associated with an acidic anion such as sulphate (SO₄⁻). Thus, the ammonium sulphate is usually the most acidic N source. On the other hand, they also concluded that nitrate sources accompanied by basic cations such as Na⁺ or Ca⁺⁺ may raise the soil pH. Most plant roots in the soil absorb N as NO₃⁻ since that form occurs in higher concentration than NH₄⁺ and is free to move to plant roots, primarily by mass flow.

Kanyong and Impithuksa (1985), found no significant differences in sorghum grain yield when applying different sources of nitrogen (ammonium sulphate, ammonium chloride and urea).

Ashoub *et al.* (1987), found that increasing nitrogen rate up to 142.9 kg/ha caused such significant increase in plant height at 60 days after planting, leaf area, dry weights at 45 and 60 days from planting, ear weight percentage, grain yield percentage, seed index and ear length.

Seif *et al.* (1988) showed that the tallest plant length, stem diameter and grain yield of grain sorghum was obtained by using ammonium nitrate followed by using urea and followed by using ammonium sulphate.

Alexander *et al.* (1991), found that dry matter and grain yield increased for two hydroponically grown maize hybrids (Pioneer-3925 and Pioneer-3949) when plants were supplied with an NH₄⁺ enhanced nutrient solution (31 percent of total N) compared with a control (4 percent of total N as NH₄⁺). The major difference in yield developed between silking and 2 weeks post silking and silking and 4 weeks post silking for the P-3925 and P-3949, respectively.

Mahli (1991) reported that significant reduction in soil pH due to N fertilizer, primarily in the 0-15 cm layer. The amount and depth of depression in soil pH was the greatest with ammonium sulphate followed by ammonium nitrate and a small effect by urea.

Gouda et al. (1992), found that N application up to 150 kg N/Fad caused a significant increase in number of green leaves, leaf area plant, ear height, ear length and diameter, number of grains/row as well as/ear, 100-grain weight and grain yield/fad. Number of days to 50% silking was significantly reduced by nitrogen application up to 150 N/fad. While, number of rows/ear was not affected by nitrogen fertilization.

El-Koumey (1993), found that farm yard manure and N-fertilizer sources Ca (NO₃)₂ or (NH₄)₂SO₄ showed an increase of dry matter yield, grains and nutrients uptake. The application of Ca (NO₃)₂ increased Cauptake by corn plants more than (NH₄)₂ SO₄ application.

Salem (1993), found that increasing nitrogen rate from 40 to 120 kg/Fad increased stem diameter, number of leaves/plant, leaves and stem fresh and dry weight, leaf area index, grain number/row, ear weight, grain weight/ear, 100-grain weight as well as ear yield/Fad.

Salwau (1993), indicated that different sources of nitrogen fertilizer had no effect on plant height, ear height, ear diameter and number of rows/car, whereas number of ears/plant, ear length, shelling % and 100-grain weight were significantly affected by nitrogen sources in one season out of two. Application of ammonium nitrate and urea were similar and both gave higher number of grains/row, ear weight and grain weight/ear in