بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / مني مغربي أحمد

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

THE ROLE OF INTRA-ARTICULAR INJECTION OF AUTOLOGOUS PLATELET RICH PLASMA VERSUS CORTICOSTEROIDS IN TREATMENT OF SYNOVITIS IN LUMBAR FACET JOINT DISEASE

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD Degree
in Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation and Rheumatology

Presented by

Shahdan Yousry Abdelmonen Mohamed Kotb
(M.B.BCH, M.Sc)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Nahed Mounir Sherif
Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hala Abdulhady Saleh
Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Sahar Fathi Ahmed
Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hossam Moussa Sakr
Professor of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Osman Taeimah
Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Intensive care and Pain management
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2022

دراسة دور الحقن المفصلي بالبلازما الغنية بالصفائح الدموية بالمقارنة مع الحقن بالكورتيكوستيرويد في علاج الالتهاب الزلالي في مرض المفاصل الوجيهية القطنية

رسالة

توطئة للحصول علي درجة الدكتوراة في الطب الطبيعي، الروماتيزم والتأهيل

مقدمة من

الطبيبة / شهدان يسرى عبدالمنعم محمد قطب

ماجستير الطب الطبيعي، التأهيل والروماتيزم – جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

أ.د/ ناهد منير شريف

أستاذ الطب الطبيعي، الروماتيزم والتأهيل

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

أ.د/ هالة عبد الهادي صالح

أستاذ الطب الطبيعي، الروماتيزم والتأهيل

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. سحر فتحي أحمد

أستاذ الطب الطبيعي، الروماتيزم والتأهيل

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. حسام موسى صقر

أستاذ الأشعة التشخيصية

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

د. محمد عثمان طعيمة

مدرس التخدير و الرعاية المركزة وعلاج الألم

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

٢٠٢٢

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم الحكيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgement

First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the Most Merciful.

I wish to express my deep appreciation to ***Prof. Dr. Nahed Mounir Sherif,*** *Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for devoting much of her time and effort for planning and supervision of this study.*

Great thanks and gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. Hala Abdulhady Saleh,*** *Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for her close supervision, valuable instructions, continuous help, patience, advices and guidance.*

I wish also to express my gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. Sahar Fathi Ahmed,*** *Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision, indispensable advice and great help in this work.*

My sincere appreciation to ***Prof. Dr. Hossam Moussa Sakr,*** *Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Ain Shams University, for his highly valuable work in this research, it was a great honor working with him.*

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to ***Dr. Mohamed Osman Taeimah,*** *Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Intensive care and Pain management, Ain Shams University, for his generosity in educating and sharing knowledge and for his continuous unconditioned support.*

Last and not least, I want to thank all my family, without them, this work could not have been completed.

Contents

Title	Page

- **List of Abbreviations** ..... **I**
- **List of Tables** ..... **III**
- **List of Figures** ..... **VIII**
- **Introduction** **1**
- **Aim of the work** **7**
- **Review of literature** .....

Chapter (1): The Facet Joint 8

Chapter (2): Lumbar Facet Disease 28

Chapter (3): Imaging in Facet Joint Disease 54

Chapter (4): Treatment of Lumbar Facet Disease 73

Chapter (5): Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) 97

- **Patients and Methods** **107**
- **Results** **124**
- **Discussion** **185**
- **Conclusion** **201**
- **Recommendations** **202**
- **Summary** **203**
- **References** **208**
- **Appendix** **245**
- **الملخص العربي** ..... **-**

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full-Term
AP	Antero-posterior
AS	Ankylosing Spondylitis
BFGF	Basic fibroblast growth factor
CBT	Cognitive behavioral therapy
CN	Cryoneurolysis
CPPD	Calcium Pyrophosphate Deposition Disease
CR	Conventional Radiography
CS	Corticosteroids
CT	Computed Topography
CTGF	Connective tissue growth factor
EGF	Epidermal growth factor
EX	Extension
FCL	Facet capsular ligaments
FFI	Facet fluid index
FGF	Fibroblast growth factor
FJ	Facet Joint
FJI	Facet Joint injection
GAG	Glycosaminoglycans
GCSF	Granulocyte colony-stimulating growth factor
GF	Growth factors
GRO-a	Growth-related oncogene-a
HA	Hyaluronic acid
IAP	Inferior articular process
IF	Interferential current
IFN-c	Interferon-c
IGF-1	Insulin like growth factor 1
IL	Interleukin
kMRI	Kinematic magnetic resonance imaging
LA	Local anesthesia
LBP	Low back pain
mAbs	Monoclonal Antibodies
MBB	Medial branch block
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MSC	Mesenchymal signaling cells
NGF	Nerve growth factors

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

Abb.	Full-Term
NSAIDs	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
OA	Osteoarthritis
ODI	Oswestry disability Index
PDGF	Platelet-derived growth factor
PRF	Platelet-rich fibrin
PRP	Platelet rich plasma
RF	Radiofrequency
RFA	Radiofrequency ablation
RMQ	Roland Morris Questionnaire
ROM	Range of motion
SAP	Superior articular process
sICAM-1	Soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1
SPECT	Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography
TENS	Transcutaneous electric stimulation
TGF- β	Transforming growth factor beta
TNF-a	Tumor necrosis factor-alpha
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor

List of Tables

Table No	Subjects	Page
Table (1):	CT grade criteria for facet degeneration.	59
Table (2):	A grading system for facet synovitis as seen by MR fat-suppression.	65
Table (3):	PRP role in wound healing, orthopedic and sports medicine (Isabel and Nicola, 2018).	102
Table (4):	Demographic data of the patients included in the study	124
Table (5):	Statistical comparative analysis between the two groups as regards their demographic data	126
Table (6):	Comparison between the number of joints showing tenderness on palpation before the intervention between both groups	128
Table (7):	Comparison between the two groups as regards maximum active lumbar extension ROM before the intervention (as measured by a goniometer)	130
Table (8):	Comparison between the two groups as regards pain score (VAS) before the intervention	130
Table (9):	Comparison between the two groups as regards functional disability questionnaires scores; RMQ and ODI before the intervention	131
Table (10):	Summative statistical analysis between the two groups before the intervention as regards number of joints showing MRI positive findings for synovitis and their grading	132

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table No	Subjects	Page
Table (11):	Comparison between MRI findings between both groups before the intervention as regards number of joints showing synovitis and their grading.	134
Table (12):	Comparing number of lumbar facet joints showing tenderness on palpation before and after the intervention in Group (I) which received PRP	137
Table (13):	Comparison between the degree of maximum extension ROM as measured by the goniometer before and after the intervention in Group (I) receiving PRP	138
Table (14):	Comparison between visual analogue score for low back pain before and after the intervention in Group (I) receiving PRP	140
Table (15):	Comparison between Functional Assessment Questionnaire scores before and after the intervention in Group (I) receiving PRP.	142
Table (16):	Comparison between total MRI lumbar facet joints synovitis grading at all lumbar levels before and after the intervention in Group (I) receiving PRP	144
Table (17):	Comparison between MRI lumbar facet joints synovitis grading before and after the intervention in Group (I) receiving PRP at each lumbar level	146
Table (18):	Comparing number of lumbar facet joints showing tenderness on palpation before and after the intervention in Group (II) which received Corticosteroids	151

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table No	Subjects	Page
Table (19):	Comparison between the degree of maximum extension ROM as measured by the goniometer before and after the intervention in Group (II) receiving Corticosteroids	152
Table (20):	Comparison between visual analogue score for low back pain before and after the intervention in in Group (II) receiving Corticosteroids	154
Table (21):	Comparison between Functional Assessment Questionnaire scores before and after the intervention in roup (II) receiving corticosteroids.	156
Table (22):	Comparison between total MRI lumbar facet joints synovitis grading at all lumbar levels before and after the intervention in Group (II) receiving coricosteroids	158
Table (23):	Comparison between MRI lumbar facet joints synovitis grading before and after the intervention in Group (II) receiving corticosteroids.	161
Table (24):	Comparison between the number of joints showing tenderness on palpation after the intervention between both groups	163
Table (25):	Comparison between the two groups as regards maximum lumbar extension ROM after the intervention	165
Table (26):	Comparison between the two groups as regards visual analogue score (VAS) for low back pain after the intervention	165

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table No	Subjects	Page
Table (27):	Comparison between the two groups as regards functional disability questionnaires scores; RMQ and ODI after the intervention	166
Table (28):	Summative statistical analysis between the two groups after the intervention as regards MRI positive findings for synovitis and their grading	167
Table (29):	Comparison between MRI findings between both groups after the intervention as regards number of joints showing synovitis and their grading	169
Table (30):	Showing correlation between participants' age and disease duration with number of lumbar facet joints showing tenderness on palpation, maximum lumbar active extension ROM, VAS, RMQ score and ODI score.	171
Table (31):	Statistical correlations between number of tender joints, extension ROM, VAS, RMQ and ODI before the intervention	173
Table (32):	Statistical correlations between number of tender joints on palpation, lumbar extension ROM, VAS, RMQ and ODI after the intervention.	177
Table (33):	The participants and the documented number of tender joints, maximum active lumbar extension and scores of VAS, RMQ and ODI.	180
Table (34):	Showing statistical relation between MRI grading of synovitis at different joint levels and ODI after the intervention	182

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table No	Subjects	Page
Table (35):	Performance of tenderness on palpation in detecting lumbar facet joint synovitis on MRI imaging at the same levels	183

List of Figures

Figure No	Subjects	Page
Figure (1):	The lumbar facet joint between superior articular process from the inferior vertebral level of the joint and the inferior articular process from the superior vertebral level	9
Figure (2):	MRI image of the facet joint. (arrows) positioned symmetrically relative to the mid-sagittal plane in the postero-lateral regions of the spine	10
Figure (3):	Transverse section of the facet joint at L2/3 level. SP: superior articular process of L3, IP: inferior articular process, SP: superior articular process LF: ligamentum flavum, C: articular cartilage, S: synovial lining	11
Figure (4):	Showing the paired lumbar facet joint and the intervertebral disc make up the 'three-joint complex'. a | Sagittal view of a lumbar disc–facet unit.. b| Axial view of a lumbar disc–facet unit	12
Figure (5):	The lumbar Facet capsular ligament	16
Figure (6):	The lumbar facet joint articular cavity as seen on MRI; red arrow: FJ cavity, White arrow: synovial recesses	19
Figure (7):	Movements of the lumbar spine: (A) side (lateral) flexion; (B) flexion/ extension; (C) rotation	19
Figure (8):	Flexion (A) and extension (B) in the lumbar spine	21
Figure (9):	Right lateral oblique view of the lumbar vertebral bodies and the dorsal rami medial branches.	25

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No	Subjects	Page
Figure (10):	Axial MRI noting assessment of facet joint angulation.	35
Figure (11):	Plain X-Ray image lateral view showing spondylolisthesis level L4-5 (arrow)	40
Figure (12):	Illustration of distribution pattern related to facet joint pain.	43
Figure (13):	MRI imaging of some of the common differential diagnosis of lumbar FJ disease	46
Figure (14):	A: multiple-level spondylolisthesis of L2 (Grade-I posterolithesis) and L4 (Grade-I anteriolithesis); B: spondylolisthesis of L2 (Grade-I posterolithesis)	53
Figure (15):	Showing the scottie dog sign of the FJ on oblique view radiograph	56
Figure (16):	Conventional radiography of the lumbar spine.	57
Figure (17):	Representative image of CT grading of FJ degeneration	60
Figure (18):	MRI imaging of facet joints: (a, b): axial and sagittal T2 STIR views and (c) T2 sagittal view showing Active synovial inflammation and intra articular edema.	62
Figure (19):	Classification of facet joint osteoarthritis using Pathria grading scale . a Grade I. b Grade II. c Grade III. d Grade IV	63
Figure (20):	MRI Grading of facet synovitis: fat-saturated T2-weighted imaging. (a) Grade 1 (b) Grade 2 (c) Grade 3.. (d) Grade 4	66