

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سامية زكى يوسف

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992

Propries 1992



"Two immediate sealing protocols versus delayed dentin sealing for indirect ceramic restorations"

A proposal submitted for partial fulfillment of requirements of the Master's degree of science in fixed prosthodontics, crown and bridge department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

By Ibrahim Mustafa Ibrahim El Sharif

B.D.S Faculty of Dentistry, Benghazi University (2012)

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2022

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah Morsy

Professor of fixed prosthodontics,
Fixed Prosthodontics Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy

Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics,
Fixed Prosthodontics Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2022

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah Morsy,** Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy**, Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

Ibrahim Mustafa

Dedication

This work is dedicated to:

My Father and Mother for their support.

My Brothers and Sister each of whom has a special place in my heart

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
1. IDS and DDS	4
2. Ceramics	15
3. Indirect restorations	23
4. Cementation of indirect restorations	25
5. Tensile bond strength	30
Statement of Problem	33
Aim of the Study	34
Materials and Methods	35
Results	69
Discussion	81
Summary	89
Conclusion	91
References	92
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Materials used in the study	35
Table (2):	Chemical Composition of IPS e.max on the second of the sec	
Table (3):	Physical properties of IPS e.max CAD	block 37
Table (4):	Physical and mechanical propertie enamic.	
Table (5):	Chemical composition	39
Table (6):	Experimental factorial design	46
Table (7):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of te strength (MPa) for different mate sealing protocols	erials and
Table (8):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of te strength (MPa) for different sealing pr Emax	cotocols for
Table (9):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of te strength (MPa) for different surface t in IDS samples for Emax	reatments
Table (10):	Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) failure in subgroups for Emax	
Table (11):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of te strength (MPa) for different sealing pr Vita Enamic	rotocols for
Table (12):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of te strength (MPa) for different surface t in IDS samples for Vita Enamic	reatments
Table (13):	Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) failure in subgroups for Vita Enamic	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	IPS e.max CAD block	36
Figure (2):	Vita enamic CAD block	37
Figure (3):	Single bond universal adhesive 3M E	SPE 39
Figure (4):	Adhesive resin cement RelyXUnicem temporary filling material	
Figure (5):	Orafil-G	40
Figure (6):	SILAN-IT silane coupling agent	41
Figure (7):	CERAM-ETCH 9% hydrofluoric acid	gel 41
Figure (8):	Surveyor	43
Figure (9):	Mounted molar in acrylic resin mold	43
Figure (10):	Low speed diamond saw	44
Figure (11):	Exposing occlusal dentin	45
Figure (12):	a) exposed dentin, b) occlusal view	45
Figure (13):	Schematic presentation of the study	48
Figure (14):	Temporization of DDS group	49
Figure (15):	Sealing dentin with adhesive	51
Figure (16):	Temporization of IDS group	51
Figure (17):	Milling machine forming ceramic cyl	inder 52
Figure (18):	6mm Ceramic cylinders: a) Vita enar e-max cylinders	
Figure (19):	Low speed diamond saw cutting 3mm discs: a) vita enamic, b) emax	
Figure (20):	Caliper confirming the discs measure	ements 54
Figure (21):	Crystallization cycle of e.max in prog	-
Figure (22):	emax discs inside the furnace	56

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title Page No	•
Figure (23):	Crystallized emax discs	. 56
Figure (24):	e-max finishing and polishing kit	. 57
Figure (25) :	e-max glaze	. 57
Figure (26):	Vita enamic polishing set	. 57
Figure (27):	a. Ceramic etching, b. ceramic silanization	. 58
Figure (28):	Pre curing of the adhesive layer	. 59
Figure (29):	Ceramic disc cementation	. 60
Figure (30):	Thermal cycling simulation process	. 61
Figure (31):	Custom made stainless steel mold	. 62
Figure (32):	Universal testing machine tensile test	. 63
Figure (33):	Diagrammatic illustration of specimen-mold complex.	. 64
Figure (34):	Diagrammatic illustration of specimen-mold complex mounted on the universal testing machine. D: lower fixture / E: upper fixture / F: Tensile force	. 65
Figure (35):	Diagrammatic illustration of failure modes	. 66
Figure (36):	Adhesive failure between dentin and resin cement, a) bare dentin, b) Ceramic disc and resin layer	. 67
Figure (37):	Mixed failure	. 67
Figure (38):	Adhesive failure between ceramic and resin cement	. 67
Figure (39):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different materials and sealing protocols	. 70

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (40):	Bar chart showing average tensistrength (MPa) for different protocols for Emax	sealing
Figure (41):	Bar chart showing average tensistrength (MPa) for different treatments in immediate sealing sar Emax.	surface nples for
Figure (42):	Stacked bar chart showing percent mode of failure in different sealing percent for Emax*	protocols
Figure (43):	Bar chart showing average tensistrength (MPa) for different protocols for Vita Enamic	sealing
Figure (44):	Bar chart showing average tensistrength (MPa) for different treatments in immediate sealing sar Vita Enamic	surface nples for
Figure (45):	Stacked bar chart showing percent mode of failure in different sealing p	•

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
A /D D	
	Adhesive failure between dentin and resin
	Adhesive failure between resin and ceramic
BL	<u> </u>
	Computer aided design
	Computer aided manufacturing
DBA	Dentin bonding agent
DDS	Delayed dentin sealing
DEJ	Dentino-enamel junction
FPD	Fixed-partial-dentures
HEMA	2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate
HF	
IDS	Immediate dentin sealing
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
	Lithium disilicate ceramics
Li2O	Lithium oxide
Li2SiO3	Lithium metasilicate
1TBS	Microtensile bond strength
MD	. Mesio-distal
MDP	Methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate
MPa	. Mega Pascal
NS	Non-significant
	Oxygen-inhibition layer
	Polymer-infiltrated ceramic network
SD	
SPP	Simulated pulpal pressure
	Microtensile bond strength

INTRODUCTION

The use of resin luting cements has increased in recent years. Resin cements provide better retention, esthetics and greater resistance to dissolution over conventional cements. They are essential for the cementation of esthetic inlays, onlays, veneers and the majority of all-ceramic crowns in providing strength to the bonded assembly ^[1]. Resin luting cements are, however, technique sensitive and their use demands a careful implementation of a series of steps including the application of enamel and dentin adhesives ^[1].

Adhesion to restorations is achieved by using bonding agents which is essential in modern restorative materials from mechanical, biological and aesthetic perspectives ^[2]. Resin adhesives and resin cements are found in self-cure, light-cure and dual cure formulations. The degree of polymerization plays a vital role in determining the ultimate biological, physical and mechanical properties of the material. It is significant to establish a strong bond between restoration and dentin.

A significant increase in bond strength has been suggested when the adhesive was cured prior to application of the resin cement in indirect restorations. However, if the thickness of the polymerized adhesive layer is high, either generally or in localized areas, this adhesive pre-curing step could prevent complete seating of the indirect restoration ^[1].

Cementation is a process dependent on several factors, such as the type of substrate, type of adhesive substance(s), humidity of the environment, and operator's ability in performing the bonding procedure.

Introduction

With regard to the dental substrates, adhesive procedures are usually performed to achieve bond to dental enamel and dentin ^[3]. Since the advent of adhesive dentistry, the composition of the materials and the clinical methods used for adhesion has changed ^[4].

Most prosthodontics restorations require a provisionalization phase. A considerable decrease in bond strength after cementation has been identified with eugenol-free formulations. This has been related to the obliteration of dentinal tubules with provisional material residues which avoid resin tag formation. Therefore, elimination of PLA from the tooth surface is crucial. There have been different attempts to accomplish complete removal of PLA. Residual PLA was evident on dentin surfaces after cleaning with pumice and water^[5].

Applying dental adhesive before impression making, called immediate dentin sealing (IDS) technique. It provides adhesion to a freshly cut dentin, which is suggested to be ideal for bonding. When the adhesive is applied only at the moment of definitive cementation, the approach is known as delayed dentin sealing (DDS)^[6].

The primary advantage of immediate dentin sealing technique is to protect the tooth from the consequences of micro leakage by sealing the dentin tubules that are vulnerable to bacterial invasion immediately after completion of the preparation ^[7]. Sealing of the dentinal tubules also reduces sensitivity by preventing hydraulic fluid flow within the dentinal tubules, which is responsible for post-operative sensitivity, thus improving patient comfort. It has been shown that cements can penetrate the dentinal tubules before the final setting and microorganisms and their by-products can also penetrate into the patent dental tubules post- operatively.