



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / مني مغربي أحمد

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد





# **Early postoperative outcome of Posterior Component Separation through Transversus Abdominus Release for the treatment of Large Midline Incisional Hernia**

*Thesis*

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Doctorate Degree  
*in General Surgery*

*By*

**Ahmed Salah Kamel EL-Samahy**

*M.B. B.CH., M.Sc., General Surgery, Ain Shams University*

*Supervised by*

**Prof. Dr. Mohey Eldin Ragab Elbanna**

*Professor of General Surgery*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr. Tarek Youssef Ahmed Youssef**

*Professor of General Surgery*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Ashraf Shawky**

*Assistant Professor of General Surgery*

*Military Medical Academy*

**Faculty of Medicine**

**Ain Shams University**

**2022**



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا  
إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم  
(سورة البقرة - الآية ٣٢)



## Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohey Eldin Ragab Elbanna**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his careful supervision, valuable instructions and generous assistance.*

*Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Youssef Ahmed Youssef**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ashraf Shawky**, Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help, outstanding support, active participation, and guidance.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.*

***Ahmed Salah Kamel EL-Samahy***



# LIST OF CONTENTS

Subject	Page No.
<b>Abstract</b>	III
<b>List Of Abbreviations</b>	III
<b>List Of Tables</b>	VI
<b>List Of Figures</b>	V
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Aim Of the Work</b>	6
Anatomy of Anterior Abdominal Wall (Review of Literature)	7
Pathophysiology of Incisional Hernia (Review of Literature)	29
Techniques of Ventral Wall Large Midline Incisional Hernia Repair (Review of Literature)	37
How To Choose Mesh For Treatment Of Large Ventral Wall Incisional Hernia	52
European Hernia Society (EHS) Classification for Incisional Hernia	55
Assessment of Post Operative Surgical Site Occurrence (SSO)	60
<b>Patient and Method</b>	65
<b>Results</b>	71
<b>Discussion</b>	82
<b>Conclusion</b>	90
<b>Recommendation</b>	91
<b>References</b>	92
<b>Arabic Summary</b>	-

## Early postoperative outcome of Posterior Component Separation through Transversus Abdominus Release for the treatment of Midline Incisional Hernia

Ahmed S. EL-Samahy, MSc;<sup>1</sup> Ashraf Shawky, MD;<sup>1</sup> Tarek Youssef, MD;<sup>2</sup> Mohey Elbanna, MD;<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, Military Medical Academy, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Posterior Component Separation through Transversus abdominus Muscle Release (PCS-TAR) is considered as a better option for Abdominal Wall Reconstruction (AWR) during large ventral wall IH repair as it has advantage over both Rives-Stoppa (Retro muscular) repair and Anterior Component Separation (ACS) repair in avoiding injury of the nerve supply to rectus muscle, and in the ability to achieve more lateral dissection, providing better quality of life (QoL).

**Aim of work:** to evaluate 30-day post operative outcome of PCS-TAR regarding both; Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Surgical Site Occurrence (SSOs) classification provided by Ventral Hernia Working Group (VHWG) in 2010.

**Patients and methods:** This prospective observational study was conducted to 30 patients who have a midline incisional hernia with defect size  $\geq 10$  cm in widest diameter (W3) and underwent IH repair through (PSC-TAR) after routine laboratory investigations, abdominal ultrasonography, and CT. Informed consent was taken from all cases. Results were reviewed and evaluated.

**Results:** Out of 30 patients, 13 patients (43.3%) developed SSOs. 3 patients (10%) developed cellulitis. 3 patients (10% of patients) presented with superficial infection. Seroma occurred in 5 patients (16.7%) 3 of which (10%) developed complicated seroma that needed procedural intervention (SSOpi). Hematoma was observed in 2 patients (6.7%).

**Conclusion:** Retro muscular, Rives Stoppa technique and ACS are comparable to PCS-TAR regarding patient reported outcomes (PROs). However, PCS-TAR still has resulted in a better quality of life (QoL). The outcome of PCS-TAR is still better even in the presence of comorbidities such as high BMI, DM, and COPD.

**Keywords:** Posterior Component Separation through Transversus Abdominis Release, Incisional Hernia Repair, Surgical Site Occurrence.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbr.	Full term
ACS	: Anterior Component Separation
ACS	: Abdominal Compartmental Syndrome
ACT	: Abdominal Computerized Tomography
AWF	: Abdominal Wall Function
AWR	: Abdominal Wall Reconstruction
CVH	: Complex Ventral Hernia
EHS	: European Hernia Society
EO	: External Oblique muscle
IAP	: Inter Abdominal Pressure
IH	: Incisional Hernia
IO	: Internal Oblique muscle
LOD	: Loss Of Domain
OACS	: Open Anterior Component Separation
PCS	: Posterior Component Separation
PRO	: Patient Reported Outcome
PSACS	: Perforator Sparing Anterior Component Separation
QoL	: Quality of Life
RA	: Rectus Abdominis muscle
RR	: Recurrence Rate
RR	: Retro Rectus
SSE	: Surgical Site Events
SSO	: Surgical Site Occurrence
SSO	: Surgical Site Infection
SSOpi	: Surgical Site Occurrence need procedural intervention
TA	: Transversus Abdominis muscle
TAR	: Transversus Abdominis Release
VAS	: Visual Analogue of Pain
VHWG	: Ventral Hernia Working Group
W	: Width

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	European Hernia Society classification for incisional abdominal wall hernia.	56
2	New classification for seroma after VHR. by Clavien-Dindo, S Moralis-Conde	62
3	Definitions of SSI, SSO, SSE, SSOp	63
4	Hernia Grading System: assessment of risk for Surgical Site Occurrence	64
5	Distribution of patients regarding demographic data	72
6	Distribution of patients regarding the actual length of the incision during incisional hernia repair	74
7	Distribution of patients regarding defect width.	75
8	Distribution of patients regarding the length of defect zones during Incisional Hernia Repair	76
9	Distribution of patients regarding post-operative pain assessment regarding VAS scale	78
10	Distribution of patients regarding the post-operative assessment of IH Repair according to SSO	80



## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page No
1	Arrangement of the anterolateral abdominal wall vessels at the level of the mid abdomen.	9
2	The left anterolateral abdominal wall muscles	14
3	Muscles of the left side of the trunk. External oblique has been removed to show internal oblique, but its digitations from the ribs have been preserved.	15
4	Deep muscles and arterial supply of the anterolateral abdominal wall.	20
5	Left transversus abdominis.	23
6	The cutaneous branches of the lower intercostal and lumbar nerves	24
7	Retzius space and Bogros Space	26
8	Cross section of the anterior abdominal wall	27
9	Completed retro rectus dissection and the retro rectus space	28
10	Graphic layout of transverse (I) and sagittal (II) slices of the abdominal cavity and of the hernia sac	35
11	Calculating Hernial Sac Volume (HSV) and Abdominal Cavity Volume (ACV) during Abdominal C.T. for IH	36
12	Mayo Repair.	38
13	Onlay Ventral Hernia Repair	39
14	Initial dissection into the retro rectus plane	40

<b>15</b>	Preservation of the inferior epigastric vessels.	<b>41</b>
<b>16</b>	Transition from posterior rectus sheath to transversalis fascia/peritoneum	<b>41</b>
<b>17</b>	Lateral extent of dissection across the linea semilunaris	<b>42</b>
<b>18</b>	Technique of the “component separation technique	<b>43</b>
<b>19</b>	Retro rectus space creation	<b>46</b>
<b>20</b>	Identifying perforators of rectus muscle and inferior epigastric artery	<b>46</b>
<b>21</b>	Cutting through TAM tendon to access pre fascial plane of transversalis fascia. (Transversus Abdominis Release; TAR)	<b>47</b>
<b>22</b>	Upper subxiphoid extension of dissection	<b>48</b>
<b>23</b>	Caudal extension of dissection to retro pubic and retro inguinal spaces.	<b>49</b>
<b>24</b>	Exposed Transversalis Fascia and Pre fascial plane.	<b>49</b>
<b>25</b>	Planes of dissection	<b>50</b>
<b>26</b>	Mesh insertion sublay and Abdominal closure	<b>50</b>
<b>27</b>	Transverse section of the supraumbilical abdominal wall, showing relevant anatomical structures and mesh locations for incisional hernia repair	<b>51</b>
<b>28</b>	Classification of primary and incisional abdominal wall hernias	<b>57</b>
<b>29</b>	To classify lateral incisional hernias, four zones lateral of the rectus muscle sheaths were defined	<b>57</b>

<b>30</b>	Definition of the width and the length of incisional hernias for single hernia defects and multiple hernia defects	<b>58</b>
<b>31</b>	classification of SSO by VHWG	<b>60</b>
<b>32</b>	Opening through posterior rectus sheath to access Retro muscular plane	<b>66</b>
<b>33</b>	Cutting through TAM tendon to access pre fascial plane of transversalis fascia. (Transversus Abdominis Release; TAR)	<b>67</b>
<b>34</b>	Accessing pre-Transversalis Fascial Plane	<b>68</b>
<b>35</b>	Mesh fixation bilaterally after closure of peritoneum	<b>69</b>
<b>36</b>	Mean and range of age in the studied patients	<b>72</b>
<b>37</b>	Distribution of patients according to gender	<b>73</b>
<b>38</b>	Mean and range of BMI in the studied patients	<b>73</b>
<b>39</b>	Mean and range of length of the incision during incisional hernia repair in the studied patients	<b>74</b>
<b>40</b>	Mean and range of defect width in the studied patients	<b>75</b>
<b>41</b>	Distribution of patients according to the length of defect zones.	<b>77</b>
<b>42</b>	Distribution of patients according to length range of defect zones	<b>77</b>
<b>43</b>	Distribution of patients according to post-operative pain	<b>78</b>
<b>44</b>	Distribution of patients according to the post-operative assessment of Incisional Hernia Repair according to Surgical Site Occurrence	<b>81</b>

## INTRODUCTION

Incisional Hernia (IH) is defined as a gap in the abdominal wall, with or without a bulge associated with a surgical scar which is palpable by clinical examination and or imaging. (*Korenkov et al.,2001*). It is one of the common iatrogenic complications following abdominal surgery with incidence up to 11-20% (*Kumar DS et al., 2017*).

IH is considered as a surgical complication of considerable morbidity, as it is being associated with pain, aesthetic disfigurement, and most importantly impaired quality of life (QoL) especially young adults & middle-aged individuals (*Deshmukh et al., 2017*); (*Santoshkumar N, et al., 2017*), (*Brunicardi FC, et al., 2010*).

There is no etiological factor could be linked directly to the development of IH; however, there are multiple factors that lead to development of IH. The predisposing causes of midline IH include obesity, bad wound healing, which itself depends on factors like suture integrity, nutritional status of patient and presence of infective organisms in the patient's body (Shukla A et al., 2018). Also, multiple previous abdominal surgeries, and inadequate surgical technique are considered of such causes (*Brunicardi FC et al., 2010*).

The main principle of IH repair is a tension-free closure of the defect while ensuring there is no significant increase in the intra- abdominal pressure. Based on that, many different techniques have been adopted to achieve such tension- free repair. However, these techniques have their own limitations, and complications which range from failure to get proper fascial closure with adequate mesh enforcement, up to significant increase in postoperative surgical site occurrence. (*Munegato et al., 2017*).

Classically, IH repair with mesh was first introduced by Usher who provided knitted monofilament polypropylene (Marlex) mesh into clinical practice in 1963, which is still the most widely used prosthetic material. In 1972, Gore et al developed polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and it is gaining popularity because of its apparent reduced tissue reaction. It consists of relaxing incisions in the anterior rectus sheath with primary approximation of the linea alba and medial turnover of the anterior rectus sheath followed by mesh placement. The disadvantages are that it requires wide undermining of tissue, which may predispose the patient to wound-related complications, and that less pressure is required for disrupting the mesh from the anterior abdominal wall than that of other repair procedures. (*Choi Y. et al, 2018*)

One of the most common and successful repair of midline IH is the Retro muscular repair, originally described by Rives and Stoppa, and modified by Wantz. (*Stoppa R, et al., 1973*), (*Rives J, et al., 1985*), (*Wantz GE., 1998*). However, the main limitation of the retro muscular Rives – Stoppa - Wantz repair is the inability to achieve tension free repair in large defects. (*Rheumtulla IA. et al 2018*). It is considered durable technique for ventral hernia defects, and completely avoids subcutaneous flap elevation. But technically requires developing the space dorsal to the rectus abdominis muscles up to the edge of the rectus sheath. In the average patient (70 kg), this affords a 6–8 cm lateral space on each side of the midline. Repair of large IH defects with diameters > 15 cm may require a larger mesh overlap than can be afforded by this dissection which is limited to the lateral border of the rectus sheath (*Carbonell AM, et al., 2008*).

One of the common techniques for repair of IH is Anterior component Separation (ACS); was first described by Ramirez et al. in 1990, (*Ramirez et al 1990*), (*Hodgkinson J.D. et al., 2018*), and is based on the concept of re-establishing a functional abdominal wall with autologous tissue repair. The procedure involves dividing the relatively fixed external oblique aponeurosis and muscle, elevating the rectus abdominis muscle from its posterior rectus sheath, and then mobilizing the myofascial flap consisting of the rectus, internal oblique, and transversus

abdominis medially (*Switzer NJ, et al., 2015*). However, ACS technique has its own complications and limitations such subcutaneous dissection was complicated with seromas, wound infections and abscess formation which could be reduced by using minimally invasive ACS, such as perforator sparing (PSACS) or endoscopic techniques, but these techniques are not possible in all cases. The lateral area of dissection where the External Oblique (EO) tendon has been divided, can develop a bulge or lateral abdominal wall hernias, which once occurs, there is no possibility of further ACS to be done. The use of mesh reinforcement has been described with open Anterior Component Separation (OACS) and their use in combination is thought to be safe and recommended in current guidelines in certain situations, such as significant loss of domain (LOD). (*Group VHW 2010*).

To overcome these limitations, a novel technique was described by Novitsky et al (2012), which is based on extension of the original Rives-Stoppa-Wantz retro muscular space by dividing the Transversus Abdominis muscle (TA) muscle based on introducing of a new concept regarding abdominal wall anatomy, which demonstrates the extension of the TA muscle far beyond the limit of linea semilunaris medially. (*Novitsky et al, 2012*). Since that time, the technique is being known as Posterior Component Separation through Transversus Abdominal Release (TAR) and still getting more popular (*Novitsky et al, 2016*).