

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / حسام الدين محمد مغربي

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992

Propries 1992

ملاحظات: لا يوجد



A Comparative Study between Femoral Nerve Block and Saphenous Nerve Block in Enhanced Recovery after Knee Replacement Surgery under Spinal Anesthesia

Thesis
Submitted for Partial Fulfilment
of M.D. Degree in Anesthesia

By

Aya Mahmoud Mohamed Tolba El-Ghandour

M.B.B.CH., M.Sc. Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Saeed Mohamed

Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Eman Mohamed Kamal Abosief

Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Abd El-Fattah Ghoneim

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Aya Hisham Moussa Ahmad

Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2022



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Saeed Mohamed,** Professor of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Eman**Mohamed Kamal Abosief, Professor of Anesthesia,
Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine,
Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful
encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Abd El-Fattah Ghoneim**, Lecturer of Anesthesia,
Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine,
Ain Shams University, for his great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Aya Kisham Moussa Ahmad,** Lecturer of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Aya Mahmoud El-Ghandour

Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	
Chapter 1: Innervation of the Knee	4
Chapter 2: Regional Analgesic Modalities for Total Arthroplasty TKA	
Chapter 3: Anatomy	
Chapter 4: Regional Lower Limb Blocks	
Chapter 5: Pharmacology of the Study Drug	47
Patients and Methods	66
Results	74
Discussion	83
Conclusion	92
Summary	93
References	96
Arabic Summary	

Tist of Tables

Table No	. Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Comparison between groups demographic data	~
Table 2:	Comparison between the two groups quadriceps muscle weakness	
Table 3:	Comparison between the two groups TUG test	
Table 4:	Comparison between groups as regarating scale	
Table 5:	Comparison between groups as regarded of nalbuphine consumption	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Main nerve branches innervati knee joint divided into 4 quadra	9
Figure 2:	iPACK block showing how the is distributed transversally Popliteal Artery (PA) and the F	between the
Figure 3:	Lumbar plexus and its branche	s18
Figure 4:	Femoral nerve at the inguinal r	region20
Figure 5:	Femoral nerve course in the thi	igh20
Figure 6:	Muscles of the anterior part of	the thigh22
Figure 7:	Sensory nerve supply of the fellower limb	
Figure 8:	Saphenous nerve passing adductor canal (sub-sartorial thigh	canal) in the
Figure 9:	Saphenous nerve course in the	leg24
Figure 10:	Femoral triangle and its conten	nts26
Figure 11:	Boundaries of the Adductor (canal)	canal (Hunter's
Figure 12:	Distribution of the femoral nerv	ve blockade30
Figure 13:	Femoral nerve (FN), deep arte (DAT)	-
Figure 14:	A Cross-sectional anatomy of nerve (FN) at the level of the fe	

Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 15:	An example of a custom nervincluding lidocaine 1%, lidocal epinephrine, sterile saline, syring and marker, prep sponges and its sterile occlusive dressing and extension tubing	ine 1% with ges, connector, odine solution, l drape, and
Figure 16:	Probe position in femoral nerve b	lock34
Figure 17:	Needle path and spread of local a	nesthetic35
Figure 18:	Ultrasound guided femoral nerve	e block37
Figure 19:	Expected distribution of an saphenous nerve block at the leve	•
Figure 20:	(A)Cross-sectional anatomy of the nerve at the level of the thing nerve (SaN), Sartorius muscle medialis muscle (VM), femoral at vein (FV). (B) US anatomy of the space at the midthigh	h. Saphenous (SM), Vastus rtery (FA) and le subsartorial
Figure 21:	Probe position in adductor canal	block43
Figure 22:	Needle path, needle tip position anesthetic initial distribution to the SaN at the level of the thigh.	to anesthetize
Figure 23:	Ultrasound guided saphenous ne	rve block46
Figure 24:	Chemical structure of bupi	
Figure 25:	Bupivacaine vial	48
Figure 26:	Sodium channel opening and caction potential	_

Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 27:	 A) The structure of Sodium chembrane-spanning segment four domains. B) The four together to form a channel 	ts arranged in domains come
Figure 28:	(A) Reproduced by kind per Association of Anesthetists of and Ireland	f Great Britain
Figure 29:	Lipid Emulsion vial	65
Figure 30:	SonoSite M-Turbo ultrasound d	levise68
Figure 31:	CONSORT flow diagram	74
Figure 32:	Bar chart between two grodemographic data	
Figure 33:	Bar chart between group quadriceps motor power	_
Figure 34:	Bar chart between groups as re	gard TUG test79
Figure 35:	Box and whisker between gr Numerical Rating Score	
Figure 36:	Bar chart between groups as re of nalbuphine consumption	_

Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AAG	α-acid glycoprotein
	$Adductor\ canal\ block$
ACL	Anterior cruciate ligament
	American society of anesthesiologists
	Common peroneal nerve
ERAS	Enhanced recovery after surgery
FA	Femoral artery
FN	Femoral nerve
FN	Femoral nerve
FNB	Femoral nerve block
FT	Femoral triangle
FV	Femoral vein
<i>GABA-A</i>	Gamma aminobutyric acid
IPACK	Infiltration between popliteal artery & capsule of the knee
<i>LA</i>	Local anesthetic
<i>LAI</i>	Local anesthetic infiltration
LOS	Length of stay
MVIC	Maximum voluntary isometric contraction
NRS	Numerical rating scale
ON	Obturator nerve
<i>PABA</i>	Para-amino benzoic acid
<i>PACU</i>	Post anesthesia care unit
POD	Postoperative day

Tist of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
RA	Regional analgesia
<i>RFA</i>	
ROSC	Return of spontaneous circulation
SaN	Saphenous nerve
SN	Sciatic nerve
SNB	Sciatic nerve block
TKA	Total knee arthroplasty
TKR	Total knee replacement
TN	Tibial nerve
TUG	Time Up & Go
<i>US</i>	Ultra sound
VAS	Visual analogue score
<i>VASC</i>	Voltage activated Na+ channel

Abstract

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is one of the most commonly performed operations in our daily practice. It is indicated in all patients with severe osteoarthritis and it needs early postoperative ambulation to improve postoperative outcomes and to reduce immobility related complications. Providing adequate analgesic control is very important to achieve functional recovery, facilitates rehabilitation and attenuates the progression from acute to chronic postsurgical pain, aiming to maximize non-opioid analgesics in addition to regional analgesic techniques. It is estimated that the majority of patients experience either severe pain (60% of patients) or moderate pain (30% of patients) following TKA surgeries. With the emergence of enhanced recovery after surgery ERAS clinical pathways, many surgical specialties are adopting multimodal analgesic regimens to improve patient outcomes.

Systemic analgesics (opioids and non-opioids) have long been used for postoperative pain, then neuraxial or peripheral nerve blocks were employed. An ideal nerve block that targets the sensory nerves and spares the motor function, can facilitate early ambulation and rehabilitation, which is a major goal for patients undergoing total knee replacement

Femoral nerve block is known to provide superior pain control and shortens the time of functional recovery and the length of hospital stay without associated side effects, in comparison with epidural or intravenous patient-controlled analgesia. However, it reduces quadriceps muscle strength and results in an increased risk of falls. Saphenous nerve block in the adductor canal finds the balance between optimal analgesia and minimal motor weakness and it continues to be an active area of research. it produces a primarily sensory block.

Keywords: Femoral Nerve Block, Saphenous Nerve Block Knee Replacement, Spinal Anesthesia

Introduction

Total knee replacement (TKR) is a very common orthopedic procedure in our daily practice. It provides a definitive treatment for patients with degenerative disease of the knee joint (Osteoarthritis) and can relieve joint pain, improve mobilization, and improve quality of life. This procedure is associated with severe agonizing early postoperative pain which results in immobilization and immobility related complications thus, an effective analgesia is mandatory. Patients are usually elderly with multiple comorbidities and it is important to choose an analgesic regimen that will minimize side effects as well as providing suitable postoperative pain relief (Fischer et al., 2008).

Variety of regional nerve blocks provide an effective postoperative analgesia after total knee replacement such as lumbar plexus block, femoral nerve block FNB and adductor canal block ACB (Saphenous nerve block) (Jenstrup et al., *2012*).

However, femoral nerve block reduces quadriceps muscle power by about 80% which leads to delayed mobilization. This adverse effect of FNB is unaccepted especially following this type of surgery after which an early mobilization is very important for early functional recovery of the joint and to reduce immobility related complications (Charous et al., 2011).

Adductor canal (Hunter's canal) contains a group of nerves and nerve branches including the saphenous nerve, the nerve to

vastus medialis, posterior branch of the obturator nerve and medial cutaneous nerve (in some cases). All these nerves are pure sensory nerves (except the nerve to vastus medialis) and play a major role in sensory supply of the knee region. Adductor canal block (Saphenous nerve block) will provide an effective postoperative analgesia after TKR and less motor impairment (Lund et al., 2011).

In this study, saphenous nerve block is compared to regard ambulation femoral nerve block as ability and postoperative analgesia following total knee replacement. Quadriceps muscle power will be assessed and graded. Postoperative ambulation ability will be assessed by Timed UPand- GO test 'TUG test'. Postoperative analgesia will be assessed by Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) and opioid consumption.

AIM OF THE WORK

This study aimed at shedding lights on the value of preserving the motor function in the immediate postoperative period after total knee replacement. That was illustrated by comparing femoral nerve block (mixed motor and sensory nerve) versus saphenous nerve block (pure sensory nerve) in the adductor canal, and the effect of either blocks on the ambulation and analgesia of the patients postoperatively.