بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سامية زكى يوسف

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

Endoscopic Transforaminal versus Endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy in Management of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review of Outcomes and Complications

A Systematic Review

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment
of Master Degree in Orthopedic Surgery

By:

Karim Atef Abdelghani Yousef Salem
M.B.B.Ch.,
Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Magdy Gamal Abdelkader Youssef
Professor of Orthopedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed Ashour
Lecturer of Orthopedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2022

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت العليم الحكيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAH, *the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Magdy Gamal,** *Professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Mahmoud Ashour,** *Lecturer of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Karim Atef Salem

List of Contents

Title	Page No.

List of Tables i
List of Figures ii
List of Abbreviations iii
Introduction 1
Aim of the Work 3
Review of Literature 4
Patients and Methods 32
Results 38
Discussion 63
Summary and Conclusion 67
References 70
Arabic Summary ..... ----

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Distribution of included cases in each study	38
Table (2):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding age of the included patients	39
Table (3):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding sex of the included patients	41
Table (4):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding operative time (minutes) of the included patients	43
Table (5):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding fluoroscopy time (minutes) of the included patients	45
Table (6):	Bed rest time (h)	47
Table (7):	Hospital stays (d)	49
Table (8):	ODI	51
Table (9):	VAS	53
Table (10):	Complications	55
Table (11):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding hyperalgesia	57
Table (12):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding dural tear	59
Table (13):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding recurrence	61

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Shows Zones of Lumbar Disc Herniation. [10]	5
Figure (2):	Showing content of intervertebral foramen. [11]	6
Figure (3):	Lumbar intervertebral foramina	7
Figure (4):	Fluoroscopic image of epidural root block being given at L3 level left side. [33]	16
Figure (5):	Tubular retractors [47]	22
Figure (6):	Endospine system [50]	24
Figure (7):	Showing the patient is placed in the prone position with the hands naturally oriented at the sides of the head. [53]	25
Figure (8):	Showing blunt tipped dilator is inserted and docked over the target interlaminar space	26
Figure (9):	Showing introduction of the endoscope and the removed disc fragments	27
Figure (10):	Kambin's Triangle [55]	28
Figure (11):	Marking the entry for trasnforaminal Endoscope	30
Figure (12):	Targeting disc space under fluoroscopic guidance	31
Figure (13):	PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis) flow diagram for study selection	36
Figure (14):	Percentage of PEID and PETD among the studied patients	38
Figure (15):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding age of the included patients	40
Figure (16):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding sex of the included patients	42

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (17):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding operative time (minutes) of the included patients	44
Figure (18):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding fluoroscopy time (minutes) of the included patients	46
Figure (19):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding bed rest time of the included patients.	48
Figure (20):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding hospital stay of the included patients	50
Figure (21):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding last follow up ODI of the included patients	52
Figure (22):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding last follow up of VAS of the included patients	54
Figure (23):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding postopertative dyesthesia	56
Figure (24):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding hyperalgesia	58
Figure (25):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding dural tear	60
Figure (26):	Comparison between PEID group and PETD group regarding recurrence	62

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
LDH	*Lumbar Disc Herniation*
SAP	*Superior Articular Process*
NSAIDs	*Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs*
ODI	*Oswestry Disability Index*
PEID	*Percutaneous Endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy*
PELD	*Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy*
PETD	*Percutaneous Endoscopic Transforaminal Discectomy*
MBB	*Medial Branch Block*
RFA	*Radiofrequency Ablation*
VAS	*Visual Analogue Scale*
AP	*Anteroposterior*
RCT	*Randomized Controlled Trials*
SNRB	*Selective Nerve Root Block*