

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$ 

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سلوي محمود عقل

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992





# A CASE STUDY OF MATERIAL AND ENERGY BALANCE OF STEEL MAKING IN ELECTRIC ARC FURNACE FROM DRI

By

# **Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ferig**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

# A CASE STUDY OF MATERIAL AND ENERGY BALANCE OF STEEL MAKING IN ELECTRIC ARC **FURNACE FROM DRI**

# By **Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ferig**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE in MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. S. A. Kaseb Prof. Dr. M. A. Kassem **Professor** Professor

Mechanical Power Engineering Department Arab Republic of Egypt

**Mechanical Power Engineering** Department Faculty of Engineering Cairo University Faculty of Engineering Cairo University Arab Republic of Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2022

### A CASE STUDY OF MATERIAL AND ENERGY BALANCE OF STEEL MAKING IN ELECTRIC ARC FURNACE FROM DRI

# By **Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ferig**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in

# MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee

#### **Prof. Dr. Sayed A. Kaseb**, Thesis Main Advisor

- Professor and chairman of the department and advisor

#### Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. Kassem, Member

- Professor at the department and advisor

#### **Prof. Dr. Saad M. El-Raghy**, Internal Examiner

- Professor Emeritus at the department of metallurgy

#### Dr. Gamal. M. Megahed, External Examiner

- General Manager for Technical Affairs – Egyptian Steel Group & Formerly Associate Professor at Tabbin Institute for Metallurgical

**Engineer's Name:** Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ferig

**Date of Birth:** 02/06/1994 **Nationality:** Egyptian

**E-mail:** Ahmed.ferig@yahoo.com

**Phone:** 00201005416186

**Address:** 10th district, Sadat City, Egypt

**Registration Date:** 01/10/2018 **Awarding Date:** ..../2022 **Degree:** Master of Science

**Department:** Mechanical Power Engineering

**Supervisors:** 

Prof. Dr. Sayed A. Kaseb Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. Kassem

**Examiners:** 

**Dr. Gamal M. Megahed** (External examiner)

- General Manager for Technical Affairs – Egyptian Steel Group & Formerly Associate Professor at Tabbin

Institute for Metallurgical

Prof. Dr. Saad M. El-Raghy (Internal examiner)- Professor Emeritus at the department of metallurgyProf. Dr. Sayed A. Kaseb (Thesis main advisor)

- Professor and chairman of the department and advisor

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. Kassem (Advisor)Professor at the department and advisor

#### **Title of Thesis:**

A CASE STUDY OF MATERIAL AND ENERGY BALANCE OF STEEL MAKING IN ELECTRIC ARC FURNACE FROM DRI

#### **Key Words:**

Steel Industry; Electric Arc Furnace; Steelmaking; Mass Balance; Energy Balance.

#### **Summary:**

The contribution of this thesis is to study the effect of the sponge iron on the energy consumption. DRI is considered one of the most important factors affecting energy. To study that effect, mass and energy balances as well as exergy analysis were implemented in order to deduce the amount of all the energies supplied by electrical or resulting from the combustion of methane or from the oxidation and reduction reactions. Then those energies were described by equations to study its change with the DRI change.



# **Disclaimer**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have

cited them in the references section.

Name: Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Ferig	Date:	/	/ 2022
Signature:			

# **Dedication**

To my brother and Sister, for the highlights of my childhood, grown-up dreams, and the inspiration of success and keenness—Side by Side, Amr and Basma!

# Acknowledgments

First, I am extremely grateful to my supervisors, Dr. Sayed Kaseb, for giving me the opportunity to work in this research and guiding me to find a suitable research topic. Also, thanks to Dr. Mahmoud Kassem for his guidance and checking numerous revisions and his continued guidance. I would also like to express my appreciation to the jury members for accepting the evaluation of this work, Dr Saad El-Raghy, I have benefited greatly from his wealth of knowledge and the valuable remarks in the discussion and a special thanks to Dr. Gamal Megahed for his effort and his brilliant comments in addition to his appreciated technical suggestions.

I'd also like to thank my friends and family for their encouragement and insights into the research. I'd like to thank my colleagues from Cairo University for the excellent cooperation.

Finally, I'd like to thank my family, especially my father and mother, for their patience, care, and help in allowing me to complete this study.

# **Table of Contents**

TABLE OF O	CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TA	BLES	VI
LIST OF FIG	GURES	VII
ABSTRACT		IX
CHAPTER 1	: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	OVERVIEW AND RESEARCH CONTEST	1
1.2.	OUTLINE OF THE THESIS	2
1.2.1.	Introduction	2
1.2.2.	Literature Review	2
1.2.3.	Mass, Energy and Exergy Analysis.	2
1.2.4.	Results and Discussion	2
CHAPTER 2	: LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1.	OVERVIEW OF EAF DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY SITUATION	3
2.2.	ULTRAHIGH-POWER (UHP).	5
2.3.	FOAMY SLAG PRACTICE	
2.3.1.	Slag Mechanism	
2.3.2.	Foaming Index	
2.4.	OXY-FUEL BURNER	
2.4.1.	Combined Burner/Injector Description	10
2.4.2.	Combined Burner/Injector operating	
2.5.	EAF OPERATING CYCLE	12
2.6.	RELATED WORK	13
CHAPTER 3	: METHODOLOGY	17
3.1.	MASS BALANCE	17
3.2.	ENERGY BALANCE	20
3.2.1.	Electric Energy	21
3.2.2.	HDRI Energy & Hot Heel from Previous Heat	21
3.2.3.	Chemical Energy	21
3.2.4.	Oxy-Fuel Burner Energy	22
3.2.5.	Oxidation Reactions Energy	22
3.2.6.	Energy absorbed by the metallic Charge	
3.2.7.	Energy lost by Slag, Off-Gas and Dust	
3.2.8.	Cooling Energy	
3.2.9.	Heat Lost	
3.2.10.	Hot Heel Remains	
3.3.	EXERGY ANALYSIS	28
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	: IMPLEMENTATION AND CALCULATIONS	30

4.1.	MASS BALANCE	31
4.2.	ENERGY BALANCE	35
4.2.1.	Electric Energy	35
4.2.2.	Oxy-Fuel Burner Energy	35
4.2.3.	Oxidation reactions Energy	39
4.2.4.	Post Combustion Energy	40
4.2.5.	HDRI Energy	40
4.2.6.	Heat added from the Hot Heel	40
4.2.7.	Energy absorbed by the iron charge	40
4.2.8.	Energy lost by Slag, Off-Gas and Dust	42
4.2.9.	Cooling Energy	43
4.2.10.	Heat Lost	43
4.2.11.	Heat Remaining with the Hot Heel	44
4.3.	Exergy Analysis	44
4.3.1.	Exergies of Input Materials for 100% DRI - Heat No. 14 (84.4% HD	RI &
	15.6% CDRI	) 45
4.3.2.	Exergies of Output Materials for 100% DRI – Heat No. 14	50
4.3.3.	Exergies of Input Materials for 100% Scrap – Heat No. 20	54
4.3.4.	Exergies of Output Materials for 100% Scrap – Heat No. 20	58
CHAPTER 5	S: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	62
5.1.	ELECTRIC ENERGY CONSUMPTION	62
5.2.	Oxy-Fuel Burner Energy	64
5.3.	CHEMICAL ENERGY	64
5.4.	LIME & DOLIME ADDITION	65
5.5.	POWER ON TIME.	
CHAPTER 6	5 : CONCLUSION	68

# **List of Tables**

Table 3.1: Some of the chemical reactions occurring in EAF	17
Table 4.1: Knowns and Unknowns for 100% DRI Heats	31
Table 4.2: Knowns and Uunknowns for Scrap and Mixed Heats	31
Table 4.3: Mass Balance for the 100% DRI Heats	34
Table 4.4: Mass Balance for Scrap and Mixed Heats.	34
Table 4.5: Electric Energy Power input for the Heats	35
Table 4.6: Burner Energy Power input for the Heats	39
Table 4.7: Chemical Energy Power input for the Heats	39
Table 4.8: PC Power input for the Heats	40
Table 4.9: HDRI Energy input for the Heats	40
Table 4.10: Hot Heel on Energy for the Heats	
Table 4.11: Energy absorbed by iron charge for the Heats	41
Table 4.12: Energy lost by Slag, Off-Gas and Dust for the Heats	43
Table 4.13: Cooling Energy for the Heats	
Table 4.14: Heat Lost for the Heats	
Table 4.15: Hot Heel out Energy for the Heats	44
Table A.1: Chemical composition of Scrap and DRI	71
Table A.2: Chemical composition of Slag	
Table A.3: Chemical composition of Steel	73
Table A.4: Average chemical composition of Dust used for the 18 Heats	74
Table A.5: Average chemical composition of Off-Gas used for the 18 Heats	74
Table A.6: DRI Quantity in the heats	74
Table B.1: EAF Possible Exothermic Reactions	75
Table B.2: Thermodynamic properties of some species	76
Table C.1: Standard Chemical Exergy [33].	77

# **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1: The development of the world's steel production [10]	3
Figure 2.2: EAF Evolution [9]	4
Figure 2.3: Charging Practices for the DRI in the EAF [12]	5
Figure 2.4: Electrode Current Ranges: High-Power (HP), Ultrahigh-Power (UHP)	
DC Furnaces [14]	6
Figure 2.5: Effect of Slag foaming on Arc Radiation [15]	6
Figure 2.6: Oxidation Reduction Reactions [16]	
Figure 2.7: The Effect of Slag Viscosity on the foaming Index [16]	8
Figure 2.8: Effect of the Basicity on the Electric Energy Consumption at 20-25%	
Oxide [19]	9
Figure 2.9: Schematic of the Distribution of the Oxy-Fuel Burners and Oxygen La	ances
Inside the EAF	10
Figure 2.10: The Refining Combined Burner of Primetals Technologies	11
Figure 2.11: a) Standby Mode. b) Burner Mode. c) Lance Mode	12
Figure 2.12: DRI/Lime handling Chute Located on the EAF Roof	12
Figure 2.13: Schematic of the mass balance evaluated in the study [23]	13
Figure 2.14: The energy patterns evaluated in the study [23]	14
Figure 2.15: Variation of Specific Electrical Energy with Percentage of DRI [23].	
Figure 3.1: The materials going in and out from the EAF	18
Figure 3.2: Electrode consumption categories [26]	19
Figure 3.3: The Energy Balance system boundary [23].	
Figure 3.4: Iron Enthalpy as a function of the temperature [29]	24
Figure 3.5: Schematic of the shell bottom layers.	27
Figure 5.1: Variation of Electric Energy Demand versus the Ratio of DRI	62
Figure 5.2: Energy Saving of HDRI in the 100% DRI heats.	
Figure 5.3: Variation of Electric Energy Demand VS the HDRI percentage in the	100%
DRI heats.	
Figure 5.4: Variation of Oxy-Fuel Energy versus the Ratio of DRI.	
Figure 5.5: Variation of Carbon Combustion Energy versus the Ratio of DRI	65

### **Nomenclature**

A = Area  $(m^2)$ A<sub>f</sub> = cross-section area  $(cm^2)$ Cp = Specific heat at constant pressure  $(\frac{J}{mol}K)$ D<sub>f</sub> = Shell diameter (m);

 $D_0$  = Electrode outer diameter (m);  $D_t$  = Electrode tip diameter (m).

 $ex^{ch} = chemical exergy (\frac{J}{mol});$ 

 $ex^{ir} = total irreversibility (\frac{J}{mol});$  $ex^{phy} = physical exergy (\frac{J}{mol});$ 

F = Shape factor

G<sub>A</sub> = weight of Charge (ton) G<sub>DRI</sub> = weight of DRI (ton)

 $G_E$  = weight of ferrous materials (ton)

 $G_{HBI}$  = weight of HBI (ton)  $G_{HM}$  = weight of hot metal (ton)

Gs = Steel weight (ton);

 $G_{Shr}$  = weight of shredder (ton)  $G_{Z}$  = weight of slag formers (ton)

H = enthalpy (J)

h = heat transfer coefficient  $(W/m^2K)$ 

 $H_t = Duration (Hours)$ 

I = Electric current (Ampere);

 $K = \text{thermal conductivity } (\frac{W}{m}K)$ 

m = mass of substance (kg)

m° = mass flow rate (kg/h)

 $M_G$  = specific burner gas  $(m^3/h)$ 

 $M_L$  = specific lance oxygen  $\binom{m^3}{h}$ 

 $N_V$  = furnace specific factor

 $P = \text{Energy} \left( \frac{kWh}{ton} \right)$ 

Pr = Productivity (ton/h).

Q = Heat(MJ)

 $Q_g = \text{the gas flow rate } (cm^3/s)$ 

 $R_{Gas} = Universal gas constant \left(\frac{J}{mol}K\right)$ 

 $S = Entropy \left(\frac{J}{K}\right)$ 

T = temperature(K)

t = time (min)

 $T_0 = standard temperature at 298.15^{\circ} k$ 

 $T_A$  = tapping temperature (K)  $t_N$  = power-off time (min)  $t_S$  = power-on time (min)

 $W_X = Weight of X (ton)$ 

 $W_R$  = specific electric energy

consumption  $(\frac{kWh}{ton})$ 

 $W_s = \text{Side consumption } (\frac{kg}{ton});$ 

 $W_t = \text{Tip consumption } (kg/ton);$ 

 $W_V = \text{energy losses } (\frac{kWh}{ton})$  $W_{Vm} = \text{mean value of } W_V$ 

 $\Delta H = Variation in slag height (cm)$ 

 $\Delta V_g^s$  = superficial gas velocity  $(\frac{cm}{s})$ 

 $\epsilon$  = Emissivity

 $\sigma = \text{Stefan-Boltzmann Constant } (\frac{W}{m^2} K^4)$ 

 $\Sigma_f$  = Foaming index

 $\alpha$  = thermal diffusivity  $(\frac{m^2}{s})$ 

### **Abbreviations**

BF - Blast Furnace

BOF - Basic Oxygen Furnace

CFD - Computational Fluid Dynamics

DRI - Direct Reduced Iron

HBI - Hot Briquetted Iron

CDRI - Cold Direct Reduced Iron

HDRI - Hot Direct Reduced Iron

EAF - Electric Arc Furnace

RCB - Refining Combined Burner

UHP - Ultra High Power

HH - Hot Heel

#### **Abstract**

The production of steel is an energy intensive process and the Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) is considered the largest electric energy consumer in the industrial sector. With the significant growth of the EAF production, the share of electricity demand in the steel industry is expected to increase from 10% in 2012 to 22% in 2040 [1]. The electric energy consumption in the EAF is about 65% of the total energy supplied, while the reset is produced from the oxy-fuel burners and the chemical energy during melting and refining [2, p. 1].

The Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) percentage in the charge is a very important factor influencing the EAF productivity, yield, as well as operating costs. A brief comparison between the most significant EAF parameters with the variation of DRI percentage is presented to investigate the effect of the DRI on them. Such parameters are Electric energy demand, Carbon combustion, Oxy-Fuel burner energy, HDRI Energy, and Power on time. To fully define the EAF parameters, mass and energy balance of the EAF were implemented on 160 tones tapping capacity EAF charged with DRI and Scrap. An exergy analysis is implemented as well to determine the EAF potential and to estimate the maximum available work that the EAF benefit from it.

Due to the presence of FeO in the DRI, which absorbs additional heat since the reaction is endothermic, the electric energy consumption increases appropriately with the increase of the DRI. The electric energy consumption for 100% scrap heats was 431.15 kWh/t and it increased to a maximum value of 565 kWh/t at around 70% DRI. The role of the HDRI in reducing the electric energy arises when its quantity increases with the increase of the DRI percentage through supplying heat resulting electric energy consumption of 539.30 kWh/t at 100% DRI since it is charged with temperatures ranging from 400°C to 600°C. Similarly, the power on time has a quite relation with the DRI percentage. scrap heats have a shorter power on time than DRI heats because the process of reducing the FeO in the DRI takes more time.

The carbon content of the DRI has a considerable influence on the chemical energy use since it aids in the reduction of FeO. The greater the quantity of carbon in the DRI than the amount required to lower the FeO in the DRI, the greater the necessity for the addition of oxygen, resulting in a more increase in chemical energy. As the cases in this study has a positive equivalent carbon, the carbon injection was a positive factor for the energy. The increase of the energy supplied through the combustion of carbon by means of the positive equivalent carbon is typically from 20.68 kWh/t at 0% DRI to 67.73 kWh/t at 100%. The oxy-fuel burners energy on the other hand has a reverse affect with DRI fraction, it ranges from 30 kWh/t at 100% Scrap to 22 kWh/t at 100% DRI.

# **Chapter 1: Introduction**

#### 1.1. Overview and Research Contest

1,911.9 million tons of crude steel produced worldwide in 2021 with increase 3.6% from 2020 [3] and This increase forces the steel manufactures to reduce the energy consumption as possible as it is the most factor influence the production cost. The energy intensity per ton of steel produced has decayed by 61% since the 1960s and the consumption reached 18.9 GJ/ton in 2019 [4]. above and beyond the electric energy consumption is subjected to be lower in the future.

With a cumulative production of 10.3 million tons in 2021 with increase 25.1% from 2020 [3], Egypt is the second-largest steel producer in the Middle East and North Africa, however its production capacity is 14 million tons per year and the iron and steel sector consumed 8604.79 GWh in 2014 in Egypt with share 20.44% [5]

Electric Arc Furnace is the route of producing steel by recycling metal scrap; however, the Electric Arc Furnace can be charged with DRI. In MIDREX® Processes (MIDREX direct reduction ironmaking), The iron ore is first charged in a shaft furnace and reduced using reducing gases generated in a gas reformer from natural gas then the reduced iron is discharged to be fed into the Electric arc furnace. DRI is charged either hot using a hot transport conveyor for energy saving or cold [6].

The study is implemented in an integrated Steel Plant in Egypt with one DRI plant, 2 steel melt Shops, and one section mill. One of the melt shops is integrated with the DRI plant by a hot charge conveyer that directly discharge the DRI to the EAF. The Electric Arc Furnace of the melt shop is 3 phase AC type with 160 tones taping capacity equipped by an eccentric bottom tapping system. The energy supplied to the EAF by:

- 3 graphite electrodes connected to a 140 MVA apparent power transformer.
- 4 refining combined burners (RCBs), consists of oxy-fuel burner and a Laval nozzle for supersonic oxygen jet for the refining process.

The high electrical energy costs lead to a significant movement to increase the chemical energy share in the electric arc furnace at the expense of the electrical energy. A modern electric arc furnace consumes 350 kWh/t of energy for 100% scrap operations. About 65% of the total energy is electrical, while the rest produced from the exothermic reactions and from the oxy-fuel burners [2, p. 1], [7, p. 273]. The temperature around the arc generated from the 3 graphite electrodes reaches 5000°C, this makes the melting power is maximum at the center of the furnace, while the temperature near the side walls is not hot enough. To overcome this issue, burners are installed in the cold spots around the furnace to make the temperature homogeneous near the wall.

Refining combined burners (RCBs) developed by siemens VAI are used to make the temperature distribution inside the furnace homogenies during melting; as well as, producing a supersonic oxygen lance that works along with a carbon injection stream located beside it. The oxygen and carbon injection boost the exothermic reactions in the bath and form the foamy slag that increases the energy efficiency and stabilize the arc.