



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

∞∞∞∞

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سامية زكى يوسف

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى

مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد





# **Incidence and Prognostic Value of Single Lead QRS Fragmentation in Successfully Revascularized Inferior STEMI Patients**

**Thesis**

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the M.Sc.  
Degree in **Cardiology***

**By**

**Sherif Abdelhamid Elbialy Hashish**

*MB.BCh, Mansoura University  
Cardiology Resident at National Heart Institute*

**Supervised by**

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdelrahman Sharafeldin**

*Professor of Cardiology  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Sameh Attia Amin**

*Lecturer of Cardiology  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University*

**2022**



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



# Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdelrahman Sharafeldin**, Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Sameh Attia Amin**, Lecturer of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.*

*Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.*

*Sherif Abdelhamid Elbially Hashish*



# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures.....	ii
List of Abbreviations .....	v
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Myocardial Infarction .....	4
Fragmented-QRS .....	22
Patients and Methods .....	37
Results.....	48
Discussion.....	75
Limitations .....	87
Summary.....	88
Conclusion .....	93
Recommendations.....	94
References.....	95
Arabic Summary .....	—





# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Sociodemographic characteristics and risk factors distribution among the study population (n=150).....	48
<b>Table (2):</b>	Incidence of QRS fragmentation shown in ECG of included patients (n=150).....	49
<b>Table (3):</b>	The association between sociodemographic characteristics and associated risk factor distribution and FQRS among included patients (n=150).....	51
<b>Table (4):</b>	Post PCI ECG data among included patients (n=150) .....	56
<b>Table (5):</b>	The difference between study groups concerning ECG findings (n=150).....	58
<b>Table (6):</b>	The laboratory data of included patients (n=150).....	60
<b>Table (7):</b>	The difference between study groups concerning lab measures (n=150). .....	61
<b>Table (8):</b>	The operative details of included patients (n=150).....	63
<b>Table (9):</b>	The difference between study groups concerning operative details (n=150). .....	64
<b>Table (10):</b>	ECHO findings among included patients (n=150).....	67
<b>Table (11):</b>	The difference between study groups concerning Echo findings (n=150). .....	69
<b>Table (12):</b>	Major adverse cardiac events (MACE) of included patients (n=150). .....	72
<b>Table (13):</b>	The association between MACE and FQRS among included patients (n=150).....	74



# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) demonstrating evidence of inferior myocardial infarction (MI) .....	12
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Fragmented QRS in inferior leads.....	21
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Classification of fragmented QRS (various RSR' patterns).....	23
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Effects of low-pass filter .....	26
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Different morphologies of the fragmented Q wave and a Q-R-borderline-fQRS morphology on the right.....	28
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Different RsR-morphologies .....	29
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Different morphologies of the notched S on the left and an example of the R-S-borderline-fQRS on the right.....	30
<b>Figure (8):</b>	A, ECG of an 11-year-old boy with small ASD and mild right atrial enlargement showing RSR0 -V1 pattern .....	31
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Leads V1 to V3 of a very lean 15-year-old man without heart disease .....	32
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Classification of fragmented QRS (various RSR' patterns).....	42
<b>Figure (11):</b>	65 years old male patient, smoker, diabetic, hypertensive with BMI=28,.....	42
<b>Figure (12):</b>	75 years old male patient, smoker not hypertensive not diabetic with BMI=29 .....	43
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning age distribution. ....	51
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning gender distribution.....	52

# List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning BMI. ....	52
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning smoking status. ....	53
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning diabetes mellitus. ....	53
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning Hypertension. ....	54
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning Dyslipidemia. ....	54
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning family history. ....	55
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning heart rate. ....	58
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning QRS width. ....	59
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning STR abnormalities. ....	59
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning Pathological Q wave. ....	60
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Difference between study groups concerning preoperative CKMB. ....	62
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Difference between study groups concerning postoperative CKMB. ....	62
<b>Figure (27):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning Culprit artery. ....	65
<b>Figure (28):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning number of used stents. ....	65

# List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (29):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning number of affected vessels. ....	66
<b>Figure (30):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning LVEDD .....	70
<b>Figure (31):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning LVESD .....	70
<b>Figure (32):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning ejection fraction. ....	71
<b>Figure (33):</b>	Difference between studied groups concerning wall motion score index. ....	71
<b>Figure (34):</b>	Major adverse cardiac events among included patients.....	72
<b>Figure (35):</b>	The difference between study groups concerning Major adverse cardiac events among included patients.....	74

