



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IN SINAI PENINSULA

By

Hadeel Abdelhamid Ibrahim Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IN SINAI PENINSULA

By
Hadeel Abdelhamid Ibrahim Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Imam. Hassan

Prof. Dr Ashraf H. Mahib Ghanem

.....
Professor of
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of Water Resources Engineering
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Mohamed Attia Mohamed

.....
Assistant Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL IN SINAI PENINSULA

By
Hadeel Abdelhamid Ibrahim Marzouk

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Imam. Hassan, Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Hydrogeology
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Reda Mohamed El-Damak, Internal Examiner
Professor of Hydraulics and Groundwater
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan, External Examiner
Professor of Environmental Hydrology
Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer's Name: Hadeel Abdelhamid Ibrahim Marzouk

Date of Birth: 3/2/1994
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Hadeel.abdelhamid@hotmail.com
Phone: 01150500983
Address: El Qanater El-khaireya, Qalubia
Registration Date: 1/3/2017
Awarding Date:/...../.....
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Imam Hassan
Prof. Dr. Ashraf Hassan Mahib Ghanem
Dr. Mohamed Attia Mohamed

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan (External examiner)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University
Prof Dr. Reda Mohamed El-Damak (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Imam Hassan (Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

Assessment of Groundwater Development Potential in Sinai Peninsula

Key Words:

Groundwater, Numerical modeling, Groundwater Modeling System, Model calibration, Sinai Peninsula.

Summary:

This study assesses the development potential in the Upper Cretaceous and Lower Cretaceous Aquifers in Sinai and predicts the effect of development on their sustainability. This can be achieved through simulating these groundwater aquifers in Sinai using Groundwater Modeling System (GMS) software that uses MODFLOW-2005 code. Before using the numerical model in prediction, the model has to be calibrated by changing different parameters until the calculated heads in the model domain match the observed ones with minimum error. Calibration can be achieved manually then automatically using the Parameter Estimation Program PEST in transient mode. After calibration, the model can be used to assess the future abstraction through a forward run to predict water levels and drawdown after 30 years. The results show that water depths in the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer will reach uneconomical depths in many areas while the drawdown in the groundwater level in the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer is relatively small.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Hadeel Abdelhamid Ibrahim Marzouk

Date: / /

Signature:

Acknowledgments

First of all, I have to thank ALLAH for His constant guidance in everything in my life. Without His support, this research could not have reached its goal.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Ahmed I A. Hassan, Dr. Ashraf H. M. Ghanim**, and **Dr. Mohamed Attia** for their guidance, persistent support, and encouragement during the whole period of the research.

I would like to especially thank **Prof. Dr. Ahmed I A. Hassan** for being always by my side in all issues I have faced. Without his deep knowledge and experience, the research goal couldn't have been achieved.

Special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ashraf H. M. Ghanim** who had suggested starting my work in this field.

Special thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Attia** for who had helped me a lot in organizing my thinking, and didn't hesitate to answer my questions

I scenically thank **Prof Reda El Damak** for his effort, guidance and providing me with some needed research materials

I wish to acknowledge the support and the great love of my family, my parents; my sister, Sarah; and my brother, Mohamed. They kept my going through the work, without their continuous encouragement this work would not have been possible.

I would like to pay my special regards to my friend, Mona Hassani, for her continuous support, love, and encouragement she has been a great inspiration to me throughout the whole period.

Last but not the least, to those not mentioned who supplied something by way of encouragement, discussion, and interest, I offer my sincere thanks.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
NOMENCLATURE	IX
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. GENERAL BACKGROUND	1
1.2. PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	1
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	2
1.1. THESIS OUTLINES	2
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. INTRODUCTION	3
2.2. RELATED WORK	3
CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY	6
3.1. DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA.....	6
3.1.1. Topography	6
3.1.2. Climate	6
3.1.3. Geomorphology	8
3.1.4. Geology and stratigraphy.....	11
3.1.4.1. Precambrian Basement Rocks.....	11
3.1.4.2. Paleozoic.....	11
3.1.4.3. Mesozoic Units	12
3.1.4.4. Cenozoic Units.....	13
3.1.5. The Major Structural Elements	14
3.1.6. Hydrogeology and Hydrology	19
3.1.6.1. Quaternary Aquifer	19
3.1.6.2. Miocene Aquifer	19
3.1.6.3. Eocene Aquifer	19
3.1.6.4. Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	19
3.1.6.5. Lower Cretaceous Aquifer	20
3.1.6.6. Jurassic Aquifer	20
3.1.6.7. Paleozoic Aquifer.....	20
3.1.6.8. Precambrian rocks Aquifer	21
3.1.7. Hydraulic Connection between Upper Cretaceous and Lower Cretaceous Aquifer Systems	21
3.2. GROUNDWATER MODELING	22
3.2.1.1. The Conceptual model of Lower Cretaceous Aquifer.....	23
3.2.1.2. Numerical model development	30

3.2.1.3.	Calibration of The Lower Cretaceous Aquifer model	35
3.2.2.	Upper Cretaceous Aquifer Model	47
3.2.2.1.	The Conceptual model of Upper Cretaceous Aquifer	47
3.2.2.2.	Numerical model development	50
3.2.2.3.	Calibration of the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer model.....	55
3.1.	CHALLENGES	62
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS OF RUNNING FUTURE SCENARIOS		63
4.1.	FUTURE SCENARIOS FOR THE LOWER CRETACEOUS AQUIFER	63
4.1.1.	The Adopted Future Scenarios.....	63
4.1.2.	Results of Running the Future Scenario.....	65
4.1.3.	Sensitivity Analysis.....	67
4.2.	FUTURE SCENARIOS FOR THE UPPER CRETACEOUS AQUIFER.....	70
4.2.1.	The Adopted Future Scenarios.....	70
4.2.2.	Results of Running the Future Scenario.....	71
4.3.	RESULTS DISCUSSION	71
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		73
5.1.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	73
5.2.	RECOMMENDATIONS	74
REFERENCES		74
APPENDIX A: DATA OF TOP AND BOTTOM ELEVATIONS OF LOWER CRETACEOUS AQUIFER IN THE STUDY AREA		78
APPENDIX B: HYDROLOGICAL DATA OF WELLS TAPPING THE LOWER CRETACEOUS AQUIFER IN SINAI PENINSULA.		83
APPENDIX C: DATA OF TOP AND BOTTOM ELEVATIONS OF UPPER CRETCEOUS AQUIFER IN THE STUDY AREA.....		95
APPENDIX D: HYDROLOGICAL DATA OF WELLS TAPPING THE UPPER CRETACEOUS AQUIFER IN SINAI PENINSULA		102

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Paleozoic unit lithofacies	11
Table 3.2: Distribution of recharge contribution for the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in Negev Area.....	28
Table 3.3: The parameters estimated as a result of Lower Cretaceous aquifer model calibration process	37
Table 3.4: Table lists the details of the calibration processes as implemented in this study.	38
Table 3.5: A table that shows the variation in observed water levels at wells of the same well group and the average value used in the verification process	45
Table 4.1: Table that presents the data of new developmental settlement in Sinai and the corresponding wells tapping the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer	63
Table 4.2: Table shows the daily abstraction assigned to the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer model for the adopted scenario.....	64
Table A.1: Data of top and bottom elevations of Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in Sinai ..	78
Table A.2: Data of top and bottom elevations of Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in The Negev.....	81
Table B.1: The hydrological data of wells tapping the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in Sinai (drilled before year 2000).....	83
Table B.2: The hydrological data of wells tapping the LC Aquifer in Sinai (drilled after year 2000 and collected by MWRI)	86
Table B.3: The hydrological data of the newly drilled wells associated with new developmental settlements tapping the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer and in Sinai (MWRI)	88
Table B.3: The hydrological data of the newly drilled wells associated with new developmental settlements tapping the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer and in Sinai (MWRI)	90
Table C.1: Data of Top and bottom elevations of Upper Cretaceous aquifer is Sinai ...	95
Table D.1: The hydrological data of wells taping the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer in Sinai (drilled before year 2000).....	102
Hosein Sriman(1).....	105
Table D.2: Hydrological data of wells drilled after year 2000 and tapping the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer in Sinai Peninsula (MWRI)	106

List of Figures

Figure 3.1: The topography of Sinai.....	7
Figure 3.2: Isohyetal Map for Sinai Peninsula	8
Figure 3.3: Main Geomorphological units in Sinai in The Study Area.....	9
Figure 3.4: Main Drainage Catchments in the Study Area	10
Figure 3.5: Generalized stratigraphic column for offshore, northern and central Sinai (Said 1990)	15
Figure 3.6: Geological sections across the study area	16
Figure 3.7: the main surface geologic units at Sinai	17
Figure 3.8: Main Structural Elements affecting the GW flow in the area.....	18
Figure 3.9: Distribution of lower cretaceous aquifer in Sinai Peninsula.....	24
Figure 3.10: Differentiated groundwater zones in the main block of the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in Sinai.....	25
Figure 3.13: The Currently-working abstraction wells tapping the Lower Cretaceous aquifer in Sinai	29
Figure 3.14: Results of Lower Cretaceous model simulation in steady state (1975)	31
Figure 3.15: One-layered 3D model grid for the Lower Cretaceous aquifer	32
Figure 3.16: The interpolated top elevation contour lines for the model layer of the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer	32
Figure 3.17: The interpolated bottom elevation contour lines for the model layer of the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer	33
Figure 3.18: Contour lines for the model layer thickness of the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer.....	34
Figure 3.19: A schematic that shows the components of calibration target bar displayed next to each observation point.....	35
Figure 3.20: The hydraulic conductivity zoning for Lower Cretaceous model calibration	37
Figure 3.21: Simulated potentiometric map of the Lower Cretaceous aquifer model in year 1999 with calibration target bar next to observation points	40
Figure 3.22: Simulated potentiometric map of the Lower Cretaceous aquifer model in year 2005 with calibration target bar next to observation points	40
Figure 3.23: Simulated potentiometric map of the Lower Cretaceous aquifer model in year 2009 with calibration target bar next to observation points	41
Figure 3.24: Simulated potentiometric map of the Lower Cretaceous aquifer model in year 2016 with calibration target bar next to observation points	41
Figure 3.25: Figure shows a comparison between observed heads contour lines and computed heads contour lines in 1999	42
Figure 3.26: Map of simulated heads with observed water level at observation points in 2005	43
Figure 3.27: Map of simulated heads with observed water level at observation points in 2009.....	43
Figure 3.28: Plot of the observed water levels vs the simulated water levels at observation points tapping the Lower Cretaceous aquifer	44
Figure 3.29: The result of verification stage using all observation points in 2017 for the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer showing error bars next to observation points	44

Figure 3.30: The result of the verification stage using the average value for each well group as observation points in 2017 for the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer showing error bars next to observation points	45
Figure 3.31: The observation points assigned to the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer model for verification with the average water level value for each well group	46
Figure 3.32: The boundary conditions and internal boundaries of the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	49
Figure 3.33: The recharge zoning in the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer conceptual model according to the isohyetal map	49
Figure 3.34: The currently-working abstraction wells tapping the Upper Cretaceous aquifer in Sinai	50
Figure 3.35: One-layered 3D model grid for the Upper Cretaceous aquifer.....	51
Figure 3.36: The interpolated top elevation contour lines for the model layer of the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	52
Figure 3.37: The interpolated bottom elevation contour lines for the model layer of the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	53
Figure 3.38: Contour lines for the model layer thickness of the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	54
Figure 3.39: Results of Upper Cretaceous model simulation in steady state (1971)	54
Figure 3.40: Data analysis for observation points in Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	56
Figure 3.41: Data analysis for observation points in Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	57
Figure 3.42: Data analysis for observation points in Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	57
Figure 3.43: Data analysis for observation points in Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	58
Figure 3.44: Data analysis for observation points in Upper Cretaceous Aquifer.....	58
Figure 3.45: The estimated hydraulic conductivity for the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer	59
Figure 3.46: The estimated hydraulic conductivity of the assigned fault in the Upper Cretaceous model Aquifer	60
Figure 3.47: The estimated recharge values in the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer model ...	60
Figure 3.48: Comparison between observed heads contour lines and computed heads contour lines in the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer	61
Figure 3.49: Plot of the observed water levels vs the simulated water levels at observation points tapping the Upper Cretaceous aquifer.....	62
Figure 4.1: Figure displays the location of the new developmental settlements and the daily abstraction from the corresponding well groups tapping the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer.....	64
Figure 4.2: The predicted drawdown in water levels in the Lower Cretaceous aquifer after 30 years	65
Figure 4.3: Comparison between depth to water in the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in year 2020 and year 2050.....	66
Figure 4.4: Plot of the observed water levels vs the simulated water levels at observation points tapping the Lower Cretaceous aquifer in the sensitivity analysis case	67
Figure 4.5: Figure that shows the change in verification results by changing storativity in the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer model (verification of sensitivity analysis case).....	68
Figure 4.6: The predicted drawdown in water levels of the Lower Cretaceous aquifer after 30 years in sensitivity analysis case	68
Figure 4.7: Comparison between depth to water in the Lower Cretaceous Aquifer in year 2020 and year 2050 in sensitivity analysis case	69
Figure 4.8: Figure displays the location of the new developmental settlement with the estimated daily abstraction from the Upper Cretaceous Aquifer	70

Figure 4.9: The predicted drawdown in water levels of the Upper Cretaceous aquifer after 30 years	71
--	----