



Cairo University

3D MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL FOR OPTIMIZED WELLBORE STABILITY A CASE STUDY FROM SOUTH OF IRAQ

By

Hayder Lazim Abdulridha

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2020

**3D MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL FOR OPTIMIZED
WELLBORE STABILITY
A CASE STUDY FROM SOUTH OF IRAQ**

By

Hayder Lazim Abdulridha

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab

Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz

Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2020

**3D MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL FOR OPTIMIZED
WELLBORE STABILITY
A CASE STUDY FROM SOUTH OF IRAQ**

By

Hayder Lazim Abdulridha

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Petroleum Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab (Thesis Main Advisor)
Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz (Advisor)
Professor of Petroleum Engineering, Cairo University, Egypt

Abdel Aleem Hashem (Internal Examiner)
Professor of petroleum engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Basim S. Nabawy (External Examiner)
National Research Center, Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

2020

Engineer's Name: Hayder Lazim Abdulridha
Date of Birth: 15/05/1985
Nationality: Iraqi
E-mail: hydery85@yahoo.com
Phone: 009647802867445
Address: Iraq- Thiqr
Registration Date: 1-10-2018
Awarding Date: ---/---/2020
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Petroleum Engineering



Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab
Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz

Examiners:	Prof. Dr. Abdel Sattar A. Dahab	(Thesis Main Advisor)
	Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz	(Advisor)
	Prof. Dr. Abdel Aleem Hashem	(Internal Examiner)
	Basim S. Nabawy	(External Examiner)

National Research Center, Egypt

Title of Thesis:

3D MECHANICAL EARTH MODEL FOR OPTIMIZED WELLBORE TABILITY:
A CASE STUDY FROM SOUTH OF IRAQ

Key Words:

Geomechanics, Wellbore stability, MEM, Well trajectory, Uncertainty analysis

Summary:

Offset data of eleven wells are investigated in detail to determine the rock properties and stresses to construct a one-dimensional mechanical earth model (1DMEM). 1DMEMs are integrated to build a three-dimensional model to characterize the field geomechanical parameters. Besides, uncertainty analysis and history matching are executed on the inputs and outputs, respectively.

DISCLAIMER

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the reference section.

Name: Hayder Lazim Abdulridha

Date:

Signature:

DEDICATION

O Allah, this humble work is a sign of my endless thanks to you and deep appreciation to

those you made them part of my life!

To my father and mother for their unconditional love and care that cannot be

quantified.

To my brothers and sisters for their constant encouragement.

To my wife for the worth mentioning sacrifice and patience.

To my dear sons, Ali and Jaafar, and beloved daughter, Zahraa.

Simply, without your kind helps, this work would not come to light.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to the supervisors of this thesis: Prof Dr. **Abdel Sattar A. Dahab**, and Prof Dr. **Abdulaziz M. Abdulaziz**, Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University for their continuous guidance, sincere assistance, motivation, and encouragement in preparing this work.

I also would like to express my sincere thanks to Eng. **Shaban Dharb**, Iraqi Drilling Company, and Eng. **Hassan Hussein**, Missan Oil Company for the extremely helpful advice. I would like also to direct my gratitude to Eng. **Ali Shalash**, Dr. **Ahmed K. Abbas**, and Eng. **Haider Alwan**, Iraqi Drilling Company; Eng. **Rasheed Sharhan**, Eng. **Akram Shaty**, Eng. **Murtadha Al-Kinani**, Eng. **Sattar Jabbar**, Eng. **Worood Hassan**, Mr. **Firas Karim**, Mr. **Yas Abdul Khader**, and Mr. **Mustafa Hussein**, Thiqr Oil Company; Eng. **Abbas Hameed**, Eng. **Jawad Kadhim**, Geologist **Hussein Almalikee**, Eng. **Asmaa Hasan**, Eng. **Mohammed AL-Hajjar**, Eng. **Salaam Abdullah**, and Eng. **Mohammed Abdulsalam**, Basra Oil Company; Dr. **Farqad Hadi**, **Aows K. Mohammad**; Baghdad University; Dr. **Dheiaa Khafief**, Karbala University; and Eng. **Ali Jirjees**, North Oil Company for their supportive suggestions, and encouragement through this research.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my professors, administrative staff, and my colleagues, in the Petroleum Engineering Department, Cairo university who encouraged me and gave me all the needed support.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
DEDICATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XI
ABSTRACT	XII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Preface	1
1.2. Study Area	1
1.3. Statement of the Problem.....	3
1.4. Aim of the Study.....	4
1.5. Thesis Outline	4
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Preface	5
2.2. Stress and Strain	5
2.2.1. Stress.....	5
2.2.2. Strain.....	8
2.2.3. Effective Stress	9
2.2.4. Biot's Coefficient.....	10
2.2.5. Poroelasticity Deformation.....	10
2.2.6. Laboratory Tests	11
2.3. Fault Regimes	14
2.4. Geomechanical Rock Properties	17
2.4.1. Elastic Properties	17
2.4.2. Strength Parameters	19
2.5. Overburden Stress.....	21
2.6. Pore Pressure	21
2.6.1. Direct Methods	21
2.6.2. Indirect Methods	22
2.6.3. Hydrostatic Pore Pressure.....	23
2.7. Horizontal Stresses Magnitude	23
2.7.1. Direct Methods	23
2.7.2. Indirect Methods.....	26

2.8. Borehole Failure Modes	26
2.9. Horizontal Stresses Orientations	28
2.9.1. Acoustic Image Logs	29
2.9.2. Electrical Image Logs.....	30
2.9.3. Multi-Arm Calipers	31
2.10. Rock Failure Criteria	32
2.10.1. Mohr-Coulomb Criterion.....	33
2.10.2. Mogi-Coulomb Criterion.....	33
2.11. Wellbore Failure Analysis	33
2.12. Well Trajectory	35
2.13. 3DMEM	36
2.13.1. Stochastic and Deterministic Modeling.....	37
2.13.2. Property Scale-up.....	37
2.13.3. Property Population	38
2.14. Quantitative Risk Assessment	38
CHAPTER 3 : GEOMECHANICS MODELING.....	39
3.1. Preface	39
3.2. Data Quality Control.....	40
3.3. Building 1DMEM.....	40
3.3.1. Dynamic Elastic Properties.....	40
3.3.2. Static Elastic Properties	41
3.3.3. Rock Strength Parameters.....	41
3.3.4. Vertical Stress.....	41
3.3.5. Pore pressure.....	42
3.3.6. Horizontal Principal Stresses Magnitudes	43
3.3.7. Horizontal Principal Stresses Orientations	43
3.4. Wellbore Stability Analysis.....	43
3.4.1. Induced Stresses Magnitudes.....	43
3.4.2. Rock Failure Criteria	45
3.5. Horizontal Stresses Bounds	49
3.5.1. Frictional Faulty Theory.....	49
3.5.2. Wellbore Failures Bounds	50
3.5.3. Stress Polygon (Zoback-o-gram).....	51
3.6. Well Trajectory.....	52
3.7. Building the 3DMEM.....	54
3.8. Risk Assessment	59
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	61
4.1. Preface	61
4.2. Rock Elastic Properties.....	61
4.3. Rock Strength	65
4.4. Overburden Stress.....	70
4.5. Pore Pressure	71
4.6. Horizontal Stresses	73
4.7. Stress Polygon	77

4.8. Fault Regimes	78
4.9. Horizontal Stresses Orientation	79
4.10. Wellbore Stability Analysis	82
4.11. Single Depth Sensitivity Analysis	85
4.12. Wellbore Stability Forecasting	91
4.13. Monte Carlo Simulation	94
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSIONS.....	97
REFERENCES.....	99

List of Tables

Table 2. 1 Acoustic borehole imagers manufactured by international companies [69].	29
Table 2. 2 Electrical borehole imagers manufactured in international companies.....	30
Table 3. 1 Boundary points of the stress polygon	51
Table 3. 2 Statistics for the 3DMEM grid.	54
Table 3. 3 Monte Carlo simulation inputs.	59
Table 4. 1 The boundary points of the stress polygon in Tanuma formation.....	77
Table 4. 2 Fault regimes, well N1	79
Table 4. 3 Forecasting of wellbore trajectory and mud weight.	91

List of Figures

Figure 1. 1 The stratigraphic column of Rumaila oilfield, modified from [7].	2
Figure 1. 2 (a) Time breakdown (b) NPT analysis; in well A1.	3
Figure 2. 1 Stresses classification.....	6
Figure 2. 2 Stress state at a point [18].	6
Figure 2. 3 Far-field and borehole stresses [25].	7
Figure 2. 4 Axial stress in vertical, horizontal, and deviated wells.....	8
Figure 2. 5 Elastic and plastic strains [26].	8
Figure 2. 6 Brittleness as a function of confinement [16].	9
Figure 2. 7 Effective stress concept [26].	10
Figure 2. 8 Overview of rock failure types [16].	11
Figure 2. 9 Triaxial test [36].	12
Figure 2. 10 Triaxial strength tests and Mohr-circles [26].	13
Figure 2. 11 Fracture plan representing in Mohr's circle [16].	13
Figure 2. 12 Brazilian tension test [13].	14
Figure 2. 13 Faulting stress regimes [26].	15
Figure 2. 14 Range of stress magnitudes and pore pressure with fault regimes [16].	16
Figure 2. 15 Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of deformed solid.	18
Figure 2. 16 Shear modulus [41].	18
Figure 2. 17 Frictional force [16].	19
Figure 2. 18 Unconfined and confined compression test strength [16].	20
Figure 2. 19 Porosity, sonic transit time, and corresponding pore pressure [37].	22
Figure 2. 20 Schematic illustration of an extended LOT, modified from [52].	25
Figure 2. 21 Minimum principal stress determination from (a) ISIP (b) FCP [16].	25
Figure 2. 22 Shear failure and confining pressure effect [26].	27
Figure 2. 23 Failure modes [25].	27
Figure 2. 24 BKO's and DITFs [63].	28
Figure 2. 25 A schematic to wireline resistivity imaging tool [70].	31
Figure 2. 26 Borehole enlargements in four-arm and six-arm calipers [78].	32
Figure 2. 27 Sequence of shear failures [84].	33
Figure 2. 28 Sequence of fracture failures [84].	34
Figure 2. 29 Different failures observed in a wellbore when mud weight changes.	35
Figure 2. 30 Stresses anisotropy and resultant mud window [16].	36
Figure 2. 31 Stochastic versus deterministic approaches [93].	37
Figure 3. 1 Thesis flowchart.	39
Figure 3. 2 Vertical stress calculations in well N1.	42
Figure 3. 3 Stress perturbation around borehole [108].	44
Figure 3. 4 Induced stresses around a vertical wellbore variation with azimuth [11].	45
Figure 3. 5 Shear and tensile failures in Mohr's diagram [16].	46
Figure 3. 6 Breakout width after initiation [34].	50
Figure 3. 7 Stress polygon construction [118].	52
Figure 3. 8 Breakout propensity.	53
Figure 3. 9 Histogram of well logs (1D), upscaled, and property (3D) of σ_h	55
Figure 3. 10 Histogram well logs (1D), upscaled, and property (3D) of σ_H	55
Figure 3. 11 Histogram of well logs (1D), upscaled, and property (3D) of PR_STA.	56

Figure 3. 12 Histogram of well logs, upscaled, and property of YME_STA.....	56
Figure 3. 13 1D versus upscaled rock mechanical properties in well S2.	57
Figure 3. 14 1D versus upscaled principal stresses and pore pressure in well S4.....	58
Figure 3. 15 Histogram of the input parameters in Tanuma formation.....	60
Figure 3. 16 The Triangle distribution and CDF for the minimum horizontal stress.....	60
Figure 4. 1 The elastic properties and lab calibrations in well S1.....	62
Figure 4. 2 Poisson's ratio distribution in the 3D model.	63
Figure 4. 3 Histogram of 3D Poisson's ratio.	63
Figure 4. 4 Poisson's ratio distribution in Sadi formation.	64
Figure 4. 5 Poisson's ratio distribution in Zubair upper sand.	64
Figure 4. 6 Young's modulus distribution in the 3D model.....	64
Figure 4. 7 Histogram of 3D Young's modulus.....	65
Figure 4. 8 Young's modulus distribution in Shuaiba formation.....	65
Figure 4. 9 Young's modulus distribution in Tanuma formation,.....	65
Figure 4. 10 The strength properties and lab calibrations in well S1.	66
Figure 4. 11 Friction angle distribution in the 3D model.....	67
Figure 4. 12 Histogram of 3D friction angle distribution.....	67
Figure 4. 13 Friction angle distribution in Mishrif formation.....	68
Figure 4. 14 Friction angle distribution in Zubair/ upper sandstone formation.....	68
Figure 4. 15 UCS distribution in the 3D model.....	68
Figure 4. 16 Histogram of 3D UCS.....	69
Figure 4. 17 Tensile strength distribution in the 3D model.....	69
Figure 4. 18 UCS distribution in Khasib formation.	70
Figure 4. 19 UCS distribution in Zubair/ upper shale formation.	70
Figure 4. 20 Vertical stress distribution in the 3D model.....	70
Figure 4. 21 The predicted pore pressure, overburden stress.	71
Figure 4. 22 Pore pressure distribution in the 3D model.....	72
Figure 4. 23 Pore pressure distribution in Mishrif reservoir.....	72
Figure 4. 24 Pore pressure distribution in Zubair upper sand reservoir.....	72
Figure 4. 25 Horizontal stresses with XLOT point in well S1.	73
Figure 4. 26 Horizontal stresses results with XLOT point, N1.....	74
Figure 4. 27 Min horizontal stress in the 3D model.....	75
Figure 4. 28 Max horizontal stress in the 3D model.....	75
Figure 4. 29 Histogram of 3D maximum horizontal stress.....	76
Figure 4. 30 Max horizontal stress distribution in Shuaiba formation.....	76
Figure 4. 31 Max horizontal stress distribution in Zubair/upper shale formation.....	76
Figure 4. 32 Stress isotropies (σ_h/σ_H) in the 3D model.	77
Figure 4. 33 Stress polygon at the depth 2100m in well N1.	78
Figure 4. 34 Breakout failures on FMI and 2-arm caliper in well N1, Nahr Umr.	79
Figure 4. 35 Breakout failures on FMI and 2-arm caliper in well N1, U/ Sandstone	80
Figure 4. 36 Breakout failures on FMI and 2-arm caliper in well N1, L/ Sandstone.....	80
Figure 4. 37 Rose plot (the minimum horizontal stress orientation) in well N1.....	81
Figure 4. 38 Rose plot (maximum horizontal stress orientation) in well N1.	81
Figure 4. 39 Wellbore stability analysis and 1DMEM in well S1.....	83
Figure 4. 40 Wellbore stability analysis and borehole caliper log in well S1.	84
Figure 4. 41 Deviation and azimuth versus breakdown mud weight at depth 2267m. ..	85
Figure 4. 42 Deviation and azimuth versus breakout mud weight at depth 2267m.	86
Figure 4. 43 Mud weight window versus deviation at depth 2267m in well N1.	86
Figure 4. 44 Mud weight window versus an azimuth at depth 2267m in well N1.....	87

Figure 4. 45 2186m (top of Mishrif formation, normal fault regime).	87
Figure 4. 46 2837m, (bottom of Nahr Umr formation, normal fault regime).	88
Figure 4. 47 3100m, (Zubair/upper shale formation, normal fault regime).	88
Figure 4. 48 2613m (Maudud formation, reverse fault regime).	88
Figure 4. 49 2697m (top of Nahr Umr formation, reverse fault regime).	89
Figure 4. 50 2008m (Sadi formation, strike slip fault regime).	89
Figure 4. 51 2123m (top of Khasib formation, strike slip fault regime).	89
Figure 4. 52 2213m (Mishrif formation, strike slip fault regime).	90
Figure 4. 53 2333m (Rumaila formation, strike slip fault regime)	90
Figure 4. 54 2500m (Ahmadi formation, strike slip fault regime)	90
Figure 4. 55 3000m (Shuaiba formation, strike slip fault regime).	91
Figure 4. 56 Borehole stability prediction.	92
Figure 4. 57 Borehole stability forecasting.	93
Figure 4. 58 Cumulative Probability density for the drilling mud weight.	94
Figure 4. 59 Pprobability of success in Tanuma formation.	94
Figure 4. 60 A Tornado chart for the minimum mud pressure in Tanuma formation.	95

Nomenclatures

Acronym	Definition	Acronym	Definition
1DMEM	One-dimension mechanical earth model	3DMEM	Three-dimension mechanical earth model
BPC	Basra petroleum company	BS	Bit size, inch
CALI	Caliper log, inch	C _o , UCS	Shear strength
DTC, DT, ΔTC	Compression sonic slowness, μs/ft	DTSM, ΔTS	Shear wave slowness, μs/ft
FMI	Formation Micro Imager log	GR	Gamma-ray log, dega
INOC	Iraqi National Oil Company	MCS	Monte Carlo Simulation
MDT	Modular dynamic formation tester	M _w	Mud weight
PHIE, ϕ	Effective porosity	PHIT, ϕ	Total porosity
P _p	Pore pressure	P _w	Wellbore pressure
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment	RHOZ, ρ_b	Bulk density, g/cm ³
ROO	Rumaila Operating Organization	S ₁	Maximum principal stress
S ₂	Intermediate principal stress	S ₃	Minimum principal stress
S _o	Cohesion	UBI	Ultrasonic Borehole Imager
WBO	Breakout width, deg	θ	azimuth clockwise from the σ_H
σ'	Effective stress	σ_a, σ_z	Axial stress
σ_r	Radial stress	σ_θ	Tangential stress
σ_H	Maximum horizontal stress	σ_h	Minimum horizontal stress
ϕ , FANG	Internal friction angle, degrees	σ_v	Vertical principal stress