

بِسْمِ اللّٰهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِیْمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار






بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



"Color stability and surface roughness of different recent provisional restorative materials" An in vitro study

A thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of the master's degree of science in fixed prosthodontics, crown and bridge department, Faculty of dentistry, Ain shams university

By

Mohamed Mohamed Dabash

B.D.S Faculty of dentistry Nahda University (2012)

Supervisors

Prof.Dr. Tarek Salah Eldin Morsi

Professor and head of fixed prosthodontics department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Prof.Dr. Omaima Salah Eldin El Mahalawi

Professor of fixed prosthodontics department

Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University

Dr. Hoda Mohamed Abdel Sadek

Lecturer of fixed prosthodontics department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

2021

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I am thankful to Allah for guiding and helping me to complete this work.

I wish to express my deep sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Prof.Dr. Tarek Salah Eldin Morsi**, Professor and head of fixed prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his organized supervision. It was a great honor to work and study under his guidance.

Special thanks to **Prof.Dr. Omaila Salah Eldin El Mahalawi**, Professor of fixed prosthodontics department Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, for her continuous sincere efforts and fruitful encouragements.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Hoda Mohamed Abdel Sadek**, Lecturer of fixed prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her great help, outstanding support, active participation and patience during the research work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my **family** for their support and prayers till this work was completed.

Contents

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| Acknowledgement..... | I |
| List of content | II |
| List of figures..... | III |
| List of tables..... | II |
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Review of literature..... | 2 |
| Statement of problem..... | 30 |
| Aim of the Study..... | 31 |
| Materials and Methods | 32 |
| Statistical Analysis..... | 58 |
| Results..... | 59 |
| Discussion..... | 90 |
| Summary and Conclusion | 104 |
| References..... | 107 |
| Arabic Summary..... | 1 |

List of figures

| Figure | Title | Page |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Diagram shows provisional materials used in the study | 32 |
| 2 | Diagram shows staining solutions and finishing system used in the study | 32 |
| 3 | Photograph shows Protemp4 | 35 |
| 4 | Photograph shows Tuff Temp | 35 |
| 5 | Photograph shows Tempron | 36 |
| 6 | Photograph shows Vita CAD Temp | 36 |
| 7 | Photograph shows breCAM.multiCOM | 37 |
| 8 | Photograph shows Coffee | 37 |
| 9 | Photograph shows Listerine MW | 38 |
| 10 | Photograph shows Enhance finishing and polishing kit | 38 |
| 11 | Photograph shows Teflon mold parts | 42 |
| 12 | Photograph shows material poured within molds | 42 |
| 13 | Photograph shows specimens removal from Teflon mold | 43 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| 14 | A photograph shows Cutting block into disk shaped samples using Isomet 4000 micro saw | 43 |
| 15 | Schematic 3d drawing showing the complete VITA CAD Temp block dimensions and milling way | 45 |
| 16 | Schematic 3d drawing showing the complete BreCAM multiCOM dimensions and milling way | 46 |
| 17 | Sand paper used for finishing specimens | 47 |
| 18 | Photograph shows standardization of thickness by caliper | 48 |
| 19 | Photograph shows cleaning samples of one material by Codyson ultrasonic cleaner | 48 |
| 20 | Photograph shows specimens measurements with Cary 5000 spectrophotometer | 52 |
| 21 | photograph shows profilometer (TR200) | 52 |
| 22 | photograph shows ASUS PC & Digital microscope with built-in camera | 53 |
| 23 | photographs show specimens immersion in the 3 solutions | 54 |
| 24 | photographs show specimens maintained in an incubator | 54 |
| 25 | Photographs show Tuff Temp provisional materials specimens | 56 |
| 26 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of provisional materials regardless of immersion media and time | 60 |
| 27 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of different immersion media regardless of provisional material and time | 61 |
| 28 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE at the two measurement times regardless of provisional material and immersion media | 62 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| 29 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of provisional materials with different interactions of variables | 65 |
| 30 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of immersion media with different interactions of variables | 67 |
| 31 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE at the two measurement times with different interactions of variables | 68 |
| 32 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for Ra of provisional materials with different interactions of variables | 71 |
| 33 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for Ra of immersion media with different interactions of variables | 73 |
| 34 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for Ra before and after immersion with different interactions of variables | 75 |
| 35 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔRa of provisional materials with different immersion media. | 76 |
| 36 | Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔRa of immersion media with different provisional materials | 78 |
| 37 | 3D optical profilometry images shows surface roughness of breCAM multiCOM before &after immersion | 79 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 38 | Histogram shows surface roughness of breCAM multiCOM before &after immersion | 80 |
| 39 | 3D optical profilometry images shows surface roughness of Protemp 4 before &after immersion | 81 |
| 40 | Histogram shows surface roughness of Protemp4 | 82 |
| 41 | 3D optical profilometry images shows surface roughness of tempron before &after immersion | 83 |
| 42 | Histogram shows surface roughness of tempron before &after immersion | 84 |
| 43 | Images of one material group (Tempron) by 3D microscope camera before &after immersion | 85 |
| 44 | 3D optical profilometry images shows surface roughness of tuff temp before &after immersion | 86 |
| 45 | Histogram shows surface roughness of tuff temp | 87 |
| 46 | 3D optical profilometry images shows surface roughness of Vita Cad Temp before &after immersion | 88 |
| 47 | Histogram shows surface roughness of Vita Cad Temp by 3D microscope before &after immersion | 89 |

List of Tables

| Table NO. | Title | page |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Materials used in the study, the manufacturers and the compositions | 33 |
| 2 | Illustrates codes, number of samples used in the study and experimental groups | 39 |
| 3 | Illustrates experimental design and coding of specimens | 49 |
| 4 | Repeated measures ANOVA results for the effect of different variables on mean ΔE | 59 |
| 5 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE of different provisional materials regardless of immersion media and time | 60 |
| 6 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE of immersion media regardless of provisional material and time | 61 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 7 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE of different times regardless of provisional material and immersion media | 62 |
| 8 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE values of different materials with different interactions of variables | 64 |
| 9 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE values of different immersion media with different interactions of variables | 66 |
| 10 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE values at different times of measurement with different interactions of variables. | 67 |
| 11 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for comparison between Ra values of different materials with different interactions of variables | 70 |
| 12 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for comparison between Ra values of different immersion media with different interactions of variables | 72 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 13 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison between Ra values before and after immersion with different interactions of variables | 74 |
| 14 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for comparison between Δ Ra values of different materials with different immersion media | 76 |
| 15 | The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for comparison between Δ Ra values of different immersion media with different provisional materials | 77 |