

بِسْمِ اللّٰهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِیْمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار






بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



**Effect of Multiple Use and Sterilization of Single-Step
Polishing Systems On the Surface Roughness of Resin
Composite**

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Academic Master
Degree in Operative Dentistry

By

Marwa Mahmoud Said Ashour

B.D.S. 2013

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

2020

Supervisors

Assist. Prof. Dr. Khaled Aly Nour

Assistant Professor at Operative Dentistry Department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed Nasser M. Anwar

Lecturer at Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my deep sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Khaled Aly Nour and my co-advisor Dr. Mohammed Nasser M. Anwar for their continuous support during my Master research, for their patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Their guidance helped me throughout all this time of research and writing of this thesis. I cannot imagine having better advisors and mentors.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis with many sincere thanks to my dear mother, for her endless patience and support from the very first day and through all this way till this research came to an end.

I recognize also that this research would not have been possible without the patience and guidance of my dear Father throughout my life.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my husband and close friends for being always there for me, and supporting me at all times, hard times before good ones.

Marwa Mahmoud said Ashour

2020

Contents

| | Page |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| List of Tables..... | i |
| List of Figures..... | ii |
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Review of literature..... | 3 |
| Aim of the study..... | 26 |
| Materials and Methods..... | 27 |
| Results..... | 39 |
| Discussion..... | 60 |
| Summary and Conclusion..... | 68 |
| References..... | 70 |
| Arabic Summary..... | 90 |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Materials Ingredients & Specifications | 27 |
| 2 | Level of Investigation | 28 |
| 3 | Factorial Design | 28 |
| 4 | Two-way ANOVA followed by Post-Hoc test for the effect of Polisher System, Reuse and Sterilization Cycle, and their interaction on the R_a of resin composite. | 40 |
| 5 | 5 Means \pm Standard Deviations of surface roughness average R_a for the effect of the Reuse and Sterilization Cycle within each Single-Step Polisher System group. | 42 |
| 6 | Means \pm Standard Deviations of surface roughness average R_a for the effect of the Single-Step Polisher System within each Reuse and Sterilization Cycle group. | 44 |
| 7 | One-way ANOVA test for surface roughness average R_a followed by Dunnett Post Hoc test of all tested groups in comparison to Control. | 47 |

List of Figures

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| 1.1 | Pictorial display of surface texture. | 21 |
| 1.2 | Geometry of the four-detector system in polar coordinates. | 24 |
| 2.1 | a. Split Teflon mold used for samples preparation. b. Filtek™ Z350 XT syringe (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA). c. Elipar Deep Cure Light Cure device (3M ESPE). | 29 |
| 2.2 | a. Dimanto® - VOCO, US kit. b. PROGLOSS™ - KaVo Kerr kit. c. OptraPol® - Ivoclar Vivadent kit. | 31 |
| 2.3 | The disc (lens) shaped polishing tip used. | 32 |
| 2.4 | a. The load fixation device. b. The low-speed Handpiece and polishing tip in contact with the resin composite sample. | 33 |
| 2.5 | a. MCS, Digital Ultrasonic cleaner, CD-4860, 6000ml. b. Ritter M9 Ultraclave®, Automatic Sterilizer, MIDMark, USA | 34 |
| 2.6 | Gwydion Image analysis software interface showing an SEM imported scan. | 35 |
| 2.7 | a. Gwydion Image analysis software interface showing the selection of (calculate roughness parameters) option. b. The black arrows pointing to the three selected levels for roughness measurements. | 36 |

List of Figures

- 2.8 Gwydion Image analysis software interface showing the available roughness parameters and surface mapping charts provided for each level on the scan, the black box encircling numeric surface roughness average R_a value. 37
- 3.1 A diagram showing the Means \pm Standard Deviations of R_a for the effect of the Reuse and Sterilization Cycle within each Single-Step Polisher System group. 42
- 3.2 A diagram showing the Means \pm Standard Deviations of R_a for the effect of the Single-Step Polisher System within each Reuse and Sterilization Time group. 45
- 3.3 **One-way ANOVA** test for surface roughness average R_a followed by **Dunnett Post Hoc** test of all tested R_a groups in comparison to Control. 48
- 3.4 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface of the control group (Mylar strip formed surface), a. At 3000x magnification power and b. At 400x magnification power. 49
- 3.5 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface of the Roughened group (Wet grinded against 600 SC paper, 30 sec), a. At 3000x magnification power and b. At 400x magnification power. 50
- 3.6 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 400x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. DS1 Group $R_a = 92.3$ d. DS2 Group $R_a = 94.4$ e. DS3 Group $R_a = 100.5$ f. DS4 Group $R_a = 116.6$ g. DS5 Group $R_a = 130.9$ nm. 53

List of Figures

- 3.7 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 3000x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. DS1 Group $R_a = 92.3$ d. DS2 Group $R_a = 94.4$ e. DS3 Group $R_a = 100.5$ f. DS4 Group $R_a = 116.6$ g. DS5 Group $R_a = 130.9$ nm. 53
- 3.8 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 400x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. GS1 Group $R_a = 82.1$ d. GS2 Group $R_a = 83.9$ e. GS3 Group $R_a = 123.7$ f. GS4 Group $R_a = 129.9$ g. GS5 Group $R_a = 142.9$ nm. 55
- 3.9 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 3000x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. GS1 Group $R_a = 82.1$ d. GS2 Group $R_a = 83.9$ e. GS3 Group $R_a = 123.7$ f. GS4 Group $R_a = 129.9$ g. GS5 Group $R_a = 142.9$ nm. 56
- 3.10 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 400x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. GS1 Group $R_a = 82.9$ d. GS2 Group $R_a = 86.3$ e. GS3 Group $R_a = 95.8$ f. GS4 Group $R_a = 111.7$ g. GS5 Group $R_a = 123.5$ nm. 58
- 3.11 Scanning electron microscope photograph of Filtek™ Z350 XT composite resin surface at 400x magnification power, a. Control group b. Roughened group c. GS1 Group $R_a = 82.9$ d. GS2 Group $R_a = 86.3$ e. GS3 Group $R_a = 95.8$ f. GS4 Group $R_a = 111.7$ g. GS5 Group $R_a = 123.5$ nm. 59

Introduction