

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY





## TARGETED DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT OF MEDICAL EQUIPMENT IN HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS

By

## Marwa Amr Abdelhakim Mohammed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Biomedical Engineering and Systems

## TARGETED DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT OF MEDICAL EQUIPMENT IN HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS

## By **Marwa Amr Abdelhakim Mohammed**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Biomedical Engineering and Systems

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelrahman Sharawy

Associate Professor of Biomedical Engineering Systems Biomedical Engineering and Systems Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2020

## TARGETED DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT OF MEDICAL EQUIPMENT IN HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS

## By **Marwa Amr Abdelhakim Mohammed**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

**Biomedical Engineering and Systems** 

Approved by the Examining Committee

**Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelrahman Sharawy** (Thesis Main Advisor)

- Systems and Biomedical Engineering Department, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Manal Abdel Wahed Abdel Fatah** (Internal Examiner)

- Systems and Biomedical Engineering Department, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Hossam El Din Salah Moustafa** (External Examiner)

- Systems and Biomedical Engineering Department, Mansoura University.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2020 Engineer's Name: Marwa Amr Abdelhakim Mohammed

**Date of Birth:** 7/1/1990 **Nationality:** Egyptian

**E-mail:** marwa.bio13@gmail.com

**Phone:** 01211878076

**Address:** 7 Ahmed Sabry St., Zamalk

**Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2015 **Awarding Date:** ..../ 2020 **Degree:** Master of Science

**Department:** Biomedical Engineering and Systems



**Supervisors:** 

Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelrahman Sharawy.

**Examiners:** 

Prof. Dr. Hossam El Din Salah Moustafa (External examiner) Systems and Biomedical Engineering Department, Mansoura

University

Prof. Dr. Manal Abdel Wahed Abdel Fatah (Internal examiner) Prof. Dr. Amr Abdelrahman Sharawy. (Thesis main advisor)

#### **Title of Thesis:**

Targeted decision support system for effective maintenance management of medical equipment in healthcare organizations

#### **Key Words:**

Medical equipment; Preventive Maintenance; Multi criteria decision making; Analytical Hierarchy Process; Service contracts.

#### **Summary:**

Health-care industry is a globally industry targets patients care and it's highly dependent on medical equipment. Decision-making on medical equipment management is a daily task for clinical engineers (CEs) and obviously they aim to reach an optimal solution to guarantee availability of well-functioning health equipment with high quality with considering the available resources. In this study; multi-criteria decision making model have been established based on analytical hierarchy process approach to assist CEs in managing and implementing effective maintenance management program for medical equipment. This can be done by helping them prioritizing medical equipment and determining the appropriate frequency for their preventive maintenance. Also, assisting them to get the appropriate decision concerning the identification of the maintenance service provider and the type of maintenance service contract if there's a need to external service provider. Several steps were done and many criteria were selected to develop such model.

### **Disclaimer**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section

Name: Marwa Amr Abdelhakim Date: / / 2020

Signature:

## **Dedication**

This thesis is lovingly dedicated to my family who dedicated all their lives for me. They always offered me endless love and unconditional support.

### Acknowledgments

All praise is due to Allah, Most Merciful, and the Lord of the Worlds, Who taught man what he knew.

Special thanks go to Dr. Amr Abdelrahman Sharawi for providing me with the opportunity of working under his supervision in my post graduate studies in which I have gained useful experience. Really there are no enough words could describe how much you have helped and given useful advices throughout my work. It has been an honor to work under Dr. Amr supervision.

A special feeling of gratitude to Dr Ahmed Abdelhamid Torki and Eng Ahmed Zakaria Elseddawy. I'd like to thank them for their continuous support, precious time, patience and effort. I really appreciate all your guidance.

Finally, I would like to express my love and gratitude to my family. They provided me with suitable atmosphere to work with moral support I needed. Without their unconditional support and encouragement, this thesis would never have been written. Really, I'd like to thank them for everything even if they may not read this acknowledgement.

## **Table of Contents**

| DISCLAIMI   | ER   | I    |
|-------------|--|------|
| DEDICATIO   | ON   | II   |
| ACKNOWL     | EDGMENTS   | III  |
| TABLE OF    | CONTENTS   | IV   |
| LIST OF TA  | BLES   | VII  |
| LIST OF FIG | GURES  | VIII |
| NOMENCL     | ATURE  | IX   |
| ABSTRACT    |  | XIII |
| CHAPTER 1   | 1: INTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| 1.1.        | BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY                                | 1    |
| 1.2.        | PROBLEM DEFINITION                                     | 1    |
| 1.3.        | RESEARCH OBJECTIVES                                    | 2    |
| 1.4.        | ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS                             | 2    |
| CHAPTER 2   | 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW & BACKGROUND                     | 3    |
| 2.1.        | Overview   | 3    |
| 2.2.        | MAINTENANCE  | 3    |
| 2.2.1.      | Maintenance Management Strategies (MMS)                | 4    |
| 2.2.2.      | Maintenance Service Providers                          | 6    |
| 2.3.        | MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING (MCDM)                  | 8    |
| 2.3.1.      | MCDM Methods   | 9    |
| 2.3.2.      | MCDM in healthcare                                     | 12   |
| 2.3.3.      | MCDM methods applied to maintenance strategy selection | 18   |
| 2.3.4.      | MCDM applications in other disciplines                 | 18   |
| 2.4.        | ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)                       | 20   |
| 2.4.1.      | An overview of AHP applications                        | 20   |
| 2.4.2.      | AHP in healthcare                                      |      |
| 2.4.3.      | AHP model applied to maintenance                       | 21   |
| 2.4.4.      | AHP Model Applied to Medical Equipment Problems        |      |
| 2.4.5.      | AHP Model Applied to Other Engineering Problems        | 22   |
| 2.5.        | RISK CLASSIFICATION TECHNIQUES                         | 22   |
| 2.6.        | SUMMARY  | 23   |
| CHAPTER 3   | 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS                               | 25   |
| 3.1.        | Introduction   | 25   |
| 3.2.        | RESEARCH FRAMEWORK                                     | 25   |
| 3.3.        | POPULATION & SAMPLING                                  | 26   |
| 3.4.        | DATA COLLECTION  | 26   |

| 3.4.1.  | Criteria and Sub-criteria data selection                           | 26 |  |  |
|---|--|----|--|--|
| 3.4.2.  | Medical equipment data collection                                  |    |  |  |
| 3.4.2.1.  | Data set of the proposed model                                     |    |  |  |
| 3.5.  | ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)                                   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.1.  | AHP Overview   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.2.  | Decision Problem Structure   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.3.  | AHP Philosophy, Procedure and Practice                             |    |  |  |
| 3.5.4.  | Measurements Types   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.4.1.  | Relative Measurement   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.4.2.  | Absolute Measurement   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.5.  | The Fundamental Scale  |    |  |  |
| 3.5.6.  | The Eigenvector Solution and Consistency of the comparison matr    |    |  |  |
| 3.5.7.  | Structure of the Hierarchy   |    |  |  |
| 3.5.8.  | Hierarchical Synthesis and Rank                                    |    |  |  |
| 3.5.9.  | Rationality  |    |  |  |
| 3.6.  | SYSTEM OVERVIEW  |    |  |  |
| 3.6.1.  | The proposed criticality assessment model for medical equipment.   |    |  |  |
| 3.6.1.1.<br>3.6.1.2.  | Prioritization of medical equipment                                |    |  |  |
| 3.6.1.3.  | Selection the type of service contract                             |    |  |  |
| 3.6.2.  | Determining Weighting Values for Criteria and Sub-Criteria         |    |  |  |
| 3.6.3.  | Setting up the Grades and Determining the Intensities for Criteria |    |  |  |
| Sub-criteria.   |  |    |  |  |
| 3.6.4.  | Calculating the criticality score and developing overall ranking   | 55 |  |  |
| CHADTED 4.  | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION   |    |  |  |
| CHAPIER 4:  | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION   | 50 |  |  |
| 4.1.  | Introduction   | 56 |  |  |
| 4.2.  | RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED MODEL                                      | 56 |  |  |
| 4.2.1.  | Results of The Proposed Model (First Part)                         | 57 |  |  |
| 4.2.2.  | Results of The Proposed Model (Second Part)                        | 62 |  |  |
| 4.2.3.  | Results of The Proposed Model (Third Part)                         | 67 |  |  |
| 4.3.  | DISCUSSION   | 71 |  |  |
| CHADTED 5.  | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS                                    | 72 |  |  |
|   |  |    |  |  |
| 5.1.  | Conclusions  | 73 |  |  |
| 5.2.  | RECOMMENDATIONS  | 74 |  |  |
| 5.3.  | LIMITATIONS  | 75 |  |  |
| 5.4.  | Future Work  | 75 |  |  |
| PUBLICATIO:   | N  | 76 |  |  |
| REFERENCES  | S  | 77 |  |  |
| APPENDIX A:   | BASIC QUESTIONNAIRE  | 90 |  |  |
| APPENDIX B:   | SECOND QUESTIONNAIRE   | 92 |  |  |
| APPENDIX C:   | ESTIMATED EQUIPMENT LIFETIME                                       | 98 |  |  |
| APPENDIX D: TEST EQUIPMENT PER MEDICAL DEVICE CATEGORY .109 |  |    |  |  |

| APPENDIX E: QUALITATIVE GRADES AND INTENSITIES FOR |      |
|--|------|
| CRITERIA/SUB-CRITERIA (EXAMPLE: FMEA RPN)          | .111 |

## **List of Tables**

| Table 2.1: GTZ findings regarding equipment lifetime                                  | 3  |
|---|----|
| Table 2.2: Comparative study of the most common maintenance strategies                |    |
| Table 2.3: Comparison of in-house maintenance with an external provider               |    |
| Table 2.5. Most common MCDM Methods   |    |
| Table 2.4. MCDA Applications in Health Care   | 13 |
| Table 2.5. Summary of Single and Hybrid MCDM Method Applications to Health            |    |
| Problems  | 16 |
| Table 2.6: MCDM application in other disciplines                                      | 18 |
| Table 3.1: Medical equipment included in the study                                    |    |
| Table 3.2: The Fundamental scale  |    |
| Table 3.3: Random index value   | 35 |
| Table 3.4: Described summary of criteria and sub-criteria                             | 41 |
| Table 3.5: FMEA RPN grades and intensities  |    |
| Table 3.6: EM Number (Function) grades and intensities                                |    |
| Table 3.7: EM Number (Maintenance requirements) grades and intensities                |    |
| Table 3.8: EM Number (Physical risk) grades and intensities                           |    |
| Table 3.9: Mission Criticality (Utilization) grades and intensities                   |    |
| Table 3.10: Mission Criticality (Availability of alternatives) grades and intensities |    |
| Table 3.11: Age grades and intensities  |    |
| Table 3.12: Failure Consequence (Downtime) grades and intensities                     |    |
| Table 3.13: Failure Consequence (Cost of repair) grades and intensities               |    |
| Table 3.14: Technological Complexity grades and intensities                           |    |
| Table 3.15: Environment grades and intensities  |    |
| Table 3.16: User level grades and intensities   |    |
| Table 3.17: Human Resources (Number of CE Staff) grades and intensities               |    |
| Table 3.18: Human Resources (Years of Experience) grades and intensities              |    |
| Table 3.19: Human Resources (Experience in the considered equipment) grades and       |    |
| intensities   |    |
| Table 3.20: Physical resources grades and intensities                                 |    |
| Table 3.21: Financial resources grades and intensities                                |    |
| Table 3.22: Availability of spare parts among suppliers grades and intensities        |    |
| Table 3.23: Rate of failure that needs spare parts grades and intensities             |    |
| Table 3.24: Summation of Repair cost and lost profit Compared to Cost of contract     |    |
| grades and intensities  |    |
| Table 4.1: Maximum and Minimum score values for equipment in the model's first        |    |
| Table 4.1. Maximum and Minimum score values for equipment in the model's first        | -  |
| Table 4.2: Proposed maintenance intervals classes and thresholds                      |    |
| Table 4.3: The normalized TSV and the maintenance intervals                           |    |
| Table 4.4: Maximum and Minimum score values for equipment in the model's secon        |    |
| part  |    |
| Table 4.5: Proposed service provider classes and thresholds                           |    |
|   |    |
| Table 4.6: The normalized TSV and maintenance service provider                        |    |
| Table 4.7: Maximum and Minimum score values for equipment in the model's third        |    |
| partTable 4.8: Recommended service contract type classes and thresholds               |    |
| Table 4.8: Recommended service contract type classes and thresholds                   |    |
| 1 able 4.7. The normalized 15 v and type of maintenance service contract              | 09 |

## **List of Figures**

| Figure 3.1: Research Framework   | 25 |
|--|----|
| Figure 3.2: General AHP Hierarchy  | 30 |
| Figure 3.3: Steps of the proposed model  | 36 |
| Figure 3.4: Decision hierarchy for prioritization of medical equipment                   | 38 |
| Figure 3.5: Decision hierarchy for selection the maintenance service provider of         |    |
| medical equipment  | 39 |
| Figure 3.6: Decision hierarchy for selection the type of service contract of medical     |    |
| equipment  | 40 |
| Figure 3.7: Weights of criteria and sub-criteria of the first part of the model          |    |
| (Prioritization of medical equipment)  | 45 |
| Figure 3.8: Weights of criteria and sub-criteria of the second part of the model         |    |
| (Selection IPM service provider)   | 46 |
| Figure 3.9: Weights of criteria and sub-criteria of the third part of the model (Selecti | on |
| of the service contract type)  | 46 |
| Figure 4.1: Maintenance Intervals percentages  | 62 |
| Figure 4.2: Maintenance Service Providers  |    |
| Figure 4.3: Types of Maintenance Service Contract  |    |