

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



# The effect of autogenous tooth roots for lateral alveolar ridge augmentation and staged implant placement

# (A Controlled clinical study and histological assessment)

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine -Ain shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master's Degree in Periodontology department

Presented BY

#### Leila Elraee Ibrahim Abed Elghany

B.D.S. 2015 Modern Sciences and Arts University (MSA)

Supervisors

#### Prof. Dr: Hala kamal Abdel Jaber

Professor of Oral medicine, periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams university, Egypt.

#### Prof. Dr: Hakem Hussein Elsayed

Professor and head of department of Oral medicine, periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry MSA University.

#### Dr: Doaa Adel Khattab

Lecturer of Oral medicine, Periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams university.

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2021

#### Dedication

To my precious **Mother** and **Father** who always support me, without them I wouldn't never achieve anything

To my supporting system brother **Mohamed** for encouragement and care

To Dr **Mahmoud moussa** for his great help throughout this journey

To all my sisters, brothers and friends specially **Noura** that they always present for support

#### Acknowledgement

First of all, I want to Thank **ALLAH** for guiding and supporting me throughout my entire life.

I wish to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Dr. **Hala kamal Abdel Jaber** Professor of oral medicine, periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University, for her support, guidance, giving me her clinical experience, continuous inspiration and valuable advices which were essential for the completion of this study.

My sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr: Hakem Hussein Elsayed.** Professor of oral medicine, periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry MSA University, for his support, guidance, giving me his clinical experience, continuous inspiration and valuable advices which were essential for the completion of this study.

My sincere gratitude to **Dr: Doaa Adel Khattab** Lecturer of Oral medicine, Periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University for her support, continous inspiration, clinical and academic supervision and generosity in giving me her time.

I owe special thanks to all my dear professors in faculty of Dentistry-Ain Shams University. Deep thanks to dear professors and my colleagues in MSA University.

Last but not least, great thanks to my patients for trusting me.

## **List of Contents**

	Pa	age
List of abbreviations		i
List of Tables		ii
List of Figures		iii
Review of the Literature		1
Aim of the study		32
Subjects and Methods		33
Results		54
Discussion		71
Summary		82
Conclusions and recommendations		85
References		86
Arabic Summary		

## List of abbreviations

AB	Autogenous Bone
ACP	Amorphous Calcium Phosphate
BIC	Bone-to-Implant Contact
BMP	Bone Morphogenetic Protein
CBCT	Cone Beam Computed Tomography
CEJ	Cemento Enamel Junction
(d.PTFE)	Dense polytetrafluoroethylene
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
(e.PTFE)	Expanded Polytetrafluoroethylene
FGF	Fibroblast Growth Factor
FOV	Field Of View
GBR	Guided Bone Regeneration
НА	Hydroxyapatite
H & E	Hematoxylin and Eosin
NCP	Non-Collagenous Proteins
OCP	Octacalcium Phosphate
OPN	Osteopontin
PDGF	Platelet Derived Growth Factor
PRP	Platelet-Rich Plasma
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor- β
TCP	Tricalcium phosphate
TR	Tooth Roots
UCLA	University of California Los Angeles

### **List of Tables**

Tab	ble Title I	Page
1-	The indication for ramus block graft	18
2-	Different bone graft alternatives	20
3-	Dentin composition as summarized by (Goldberg, et al. 2011)	24
4-	Descriptive statistics and comparison of clinical measurement by caliper between tooth block and bone block	
5-	Descriptive statistics of percent change of clinical measurements caliper) between tooth block and bone block	
6-	Descriptive statistics and comparison of radiographic measureme CBCT between tooth block and bone block	•
7-	Descriptive statistics of percent change of radiographic measure (CBCT) between tooth block and bone block groups	
8-	Descriptive statistics and comparison of histology results bettooth block and bone block group	

# **List of Figures**

Fig	gure	Title	Page
1.	Digram	of cologne classification 2013	5
2.	a. Recipi	ient site incision, b. full thickness flap elevation	37
3.	Reciepi	ient site bone decortication	37
4.	partially	aly partially impacted right third molar (48) b. Radiograph impacted 48 c. Extraction and decapitation of tooth no 48 of after preparation and cementum removal	d.
5.		r site retromolar area exposure, b. osteotomy c; bone block a ng	
6.		block fixation frontal view.b; bone block fixation frontal viewlock top view. d,e; suturing	
7.		t after exposure, c,d core biopsy, e; screw removal, f. import, g; suturing	
8.		ickness flap reflection"graft exposure" b; screw removal. c	
9.	b,buccolin	assessment by bone caliper a; tooth block (peroperative) ingual width, c: tooth block (immediately) after augmentate gual width, e;bone block 6 months from augmentation ingual width	
10.	tooth blo	aphic assessment by CBCT a; tooth block (preoperative) be (immediately postoperative) c; bone block (6 months rative)	
11.		rt illustrating mean clinical measurement by bone caliber in t different observation times	

# List of Figures (cont.)

<ul> <li>12. Line chart illustrating mean radiographic CBCT values in tooth block and bone block groups at different observation times</li> <li>13. Line chart illustrating correlation between clinical and radiographic results</li></ul>
14. Bar graph illustrating bone area fraction%, fibroustissue % in tooth bloc and bone block groups6
15. Photomicrographs of H&E stained sections of core biopsy from too
block with different maginficatons, a, b image showig residual dent
(blue arrow) (orig. mag. 4x, 10x), c. fibrous tissue connection (whi
arrow) between native bone (black arrow) and tooth block (blue arrow
in addition to connected by mineralizing matrix with viable cells (yello
arrow) (orig. mag. x20), d. osteoid formation with viable cells (yello
arrow) and minralizing matrix (green arrow) at the periphery of too
block (blue arrow) (orig. mag. 40x)6
16. Photomicrographs of of H&E stained sections of core biopsy from bord block with different maginfications, a, b image showing residual bord (green arrow) and new bone (blue arrow) (orig. mag. 4x, 10x), c. fibrout issue connection (black arrow) between native bone (green arrow) are new bone block (blue arrow), (orig. mag. x20), d. minralizing matrogreen arrow) showed haphazard bone lacunae in new bone (blue arrow and well organized old bone (greem arrow) (orig. mag. 40x)
17. a, frontal view before augmentation b, final crown 6 months from impla
placement c,d measurements by bone caliper before augmentation, bone wid
(3mm) e,f; bone width measurement by bone caliper after 6 months (7mm)-6

# List of Figures (cont.)

Fig	gure		Title			Page
18.	immediate	postoperative	mm crestal, 5.2m (crestal 6.34 mitoperative (crestal	m, middle	7.47mm,	apical
	apical 7.82	mm				68
19.	implant p augmentati	olacement c,d on(4mm), e,f; b	ugmentation b, fir measurements oone width measu	by bone rement by	caliper bone calip	before er after
20.	postoperati	ve(crestal 8mm	mm, middle 4.1 mm , middle6.4 mm, ap stal 6.9 mm, middle	oical8.7mm	) c; 6 mon	ths 6

# **Review of literature**

#### **Review of Literature**

Bone resorption is one of the most common complication following tooth extraction, whereas alveolar bone is gradually absorbed throughout life in buccolingual as well as apicocoronally dimension (*Lekovic*, *et al. 1998*). Successful implant therapy requires sufficient bone to be available prior to placing implants in the ideal prosthetically position. However, this requirement is often not fulfilled due to resorptive patterns after tooth extraction, these clinical situations have been successfully treated with different bone augmentation approaches (*Buser*, *et al. 1995*; *Iasella*, *et al. 2003*).

The width of the alveolar ridge is normally reduced by 50% during the 12 months following tooth extraction, major changes of an extraction site take place during this period, approximately two thirds of this reduction occur within the first 3 months following teeth extraction. However, only slight changes, less than 1mm in soft tissue height occurs during the 12 months of healing (*Schropp*, et al. 2003).

According to *Pietrokovski and Massler 1967*, the buccal plate in the maxilla was resorbed in a greater extent than the palatal plate, the center of the edentulous ridge shifts to a position closer to the palatal plate of bone. However, the buccal plate in the mandible was resorbed more than the lingual plate, the center

of the edentulous ridge shifts lingually, the amount of tissue resorption was significantly greater in the edentulous molar region than in the incisor and premolar regions of both jaws.

After tooth extraction, bundle bone appears to be the first bone to be absorbed (*Devlin and Sloan*, 2002). The remodeling process results in a reduced ridge morphology in vertical height and more palatal in relation to the original tooth position (*Pietrokovski and Massler*, 1967).

The healing events of extraction sockets have also been examined in human biopsies taken at various time points after extraction. It was shown that the density of vascular structures and macrophages slowly decreased from 2 to 4 weeks following teeth extraction, the level of osteoclastic activity slowly decreased over a 4- week period, whereas the presence of osteoblasts peaked at 6 to 8 weeks and remained almost stable thereafter (*Trombelli*, *et al. 2008*).

The facial bone wall thickness in the anterior maxilla has been shown to be less than 1 mm in 90% of cases and less than 0.5 mm in almost 50% of cases (*Huynh-Ba*, et al. 2010; Braut, et al. 2011). In cases with such thin facial bone walls, it is consisted mainly of bundle bone that resorb following tooth extraction. In a study on 39 patients, a progressive bone resorption pattern was