

# Accuracy of Dental Implants inserted by Stereolithographic surgical guide using Partial versus Complete limiting designs in the posterior mandible:

#### A Split Mouth Study

#### Thesis

submitted to the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University
in Partial Fulfilment of The Requirements of Academic Master's Degree in

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

By

#### Wael Alaa Eldin Hussein

B.D.S (2011)

Faculty of Dentistry, Misr International University

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2020





# بِسْ لِللَّهِ ٱلدَّمْنِ ٱلدَّحِيمِ



ضابة الله الغطين



سورة طه – الآية (١١٤)



#### **SUPERVISORS**

#### **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdul Mageed Katamish**

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Mostafa Mohamed Sayed Taha

Lecturer in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

# Acknowledgement

I am thankful to those who have supported me and helped me get through this thesis.

The thesis would not have been possible without the continuous support of **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Katamesh** and **Dr. Mostafa Taha**, whom generously supported me in every step throughout the clinical work and the writing of this thesis.

I could not have done it without the ultimate support and sacrifices of my wife **Hebatalla Abdou Mattar**, who not only assisted me performing my clinical cases, but also for her continuous and unconditional support through all the master's degree phases.

This thesis could not have been done without the support and guidance of my role models and examples, my parents **Alaa Eldin Hussein Ahmed Hussein** and **Sanaa Mohamed Moussa** to whom I owe every success to, not only through this thesis but throughout life.

I owe my gratitude to my department and colleagues at Misr International University especially **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Katamish** and **Associate Prof. Dr. Tamer Nasr**, whose support and encouragement helped me throughout this thesis and on both the professional and personal levels.

#### Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my lovely family and friends.

I dedicate it to my parents, twin, sister and brother and their spouses, to my parents in law and brothers and sister in law and their families for all their support and encouragement which guided me to accomplish this thesis.

I dedicate it lovingly to my wife

Hebatalla Abdou Mattar whose
unyielding love lightens my path, her
encouragement guides me, and her
sincere support enriches my soul and
inspired me to complete this thesis.

I dedicate it to my soon to come daughter whom I love even before I see.

WAEL ALAAELDIN HUSSEIN

# LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	ii
List of Tables	iii
List of Figure	iv
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
Aim of the study	19
Patients and Methods	20
Results	63
Discussion	78
Summary	84
Conclusion	86
Recommendations	87
Appendix	88
References	91
Arabic Summary	-

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abb.	Full name
3-D	Three-Dimension
CAD/CAM	Computer-Aided Design/Computer-Assisted Manufacturing
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
CAM	Computer-Assisted Manufacturing
СВСТ	Cone Beam Computed Tomography
СТ	Computed Tomography
MSCT	Multislice Computed Tomography
M.W.	Mouth Wash
Dx	Buccolingual Deviation
Dy	Mesiodistal Deviation
Dz	Apico-coronal Deviation

# LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	Patients' number, gender, age and sites of implants	20
2	Descriptive statistics and results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison between degree differences of completely and partially guided designs	64
3	Descriptive statistics and results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison between coronal differences of completely and partially guided designs	66
4	Descriptive statistics and results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparison between apical differences of completely and partially guided designs	68

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1	Preoperative Surgical Site case number 2	28
2	Preoperative Diagnostic Casts case number 2	28
3	Preoperative CBCT case number 2	29
4	Virtual planning of Implant placement on the left side on CBCT case number 2	29
5	Virtual planning of Implant placement on the right side on CBCT case number 2	30
6	Virtual position of Sleeves in relation to the ridge case number 2	30
7	Virtual position of Sleeves in relation to the ridge and implant positions case number 2	31
8	Printed Stereolithographic Guide on the cast with metallic sleeves case number 2	31
9	Stereolihographic Guide with Biohorizon sleeves on the right side and In2guide sleeves on the left side case number 2	32
10	Stereolithographic guide tested in place intraorally preoperative case number 2	32
11	Figure 11a & 11b: Surgical Site for both control (11a) and test (11b) sides after flap reflection and retraction case number 2	33
12	Stereolithographic guide anchored in place using 2 anchor pins case number 2	33
13	In2guide Universal Kit case number 2	34
14	Implant pilot drill through the universal metal sleeve case number 2	34
15	Sequential drilling was continued on the control side through the universal sleeve case number 2	35

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
16	Parallelism was checked after drilling was completed on all sites on the same side case number 2	35
17	Implant sites ready for implant placement case number 2	36
18	Implants were placed freehand on the control side case number 2	36
19	Implants were placed to desired length case number 2	37
20	Implants placed on control side case number 2	37
21	Cover screws fitted on the implants and an occlusal view showing the position of implants on the arch case number 2	38
22	Biohorizon Specific Kit case number 2	38
23	Drilling through the specific metal sleeve and using the drill guides case number 2	39
24	Sequential Drilling through the Drill guide case number 2	39
25	Procedure is repeated in all implant sites case number 2	40
26	Implant is placed through the stereolithographic guide using the Depth Handle to insure the cervico-incisal (depth) accuracy of implant placement case number 2	40
27	Implant is placed till it is stopped by the depth handle to ensure it is seated in the planned position case number 2	41
28	Same procedure is repeated in all other implant sites case number 2	41
29	Implants placed through the guide case number 2	42
30	Implants position checked after removal of guide case number 2	42
31	Implants placed on both sides case number 2	43
32	Suturing of both sides case number 2	43
33	Immediate postoperative periapical radiograph case number 2	44
34	Immediate postoperative CBCT showing the position of the implants case number 2	44

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
35	Healing Abutments placed after Second Stage Surgery case number 2	45
36	Panorama showing Implants with Healing Abutments well secured case number 2	45
37	Metallic Framework before metal try in case number 2	46
38	Metal Try In case number 2	46
39	Panorama showing Implants with metal try in well seated case number 2	47
40	Final Prosthesis case number 2	47
41	Final Prosthesis delivered case number 2	48
42	Preoperative diagnostic casts frontal view case number 1	48
43	Preoperative diagnostic casts for Case number 1: left and right sides case number 1	49
44	Preoperative CBCT case number 1	49
45	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 45 case number 1	50
46	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 46 case number 1	50
47	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 47 case number 1	51
48	Virtual position of sleeves in relation to optically scanned diagnostic cast: occlusal view case number 1	51
49	Virtual position of sleeves in relation to optically scanned diagnostic cast: side view case number 1	51
50	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 36 case number 1	52
51	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 37 case number 1	52

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
52	Printed stereolithographic guide case number 1	53
53	Stereolithographic guide patient's mouth after flap reflection case number 1	53
54	(a) anchor pin drilled; (b) secured in place case number 1	54
55	Parallelism was checked after drilling was completed on all sites on the same side case number 1	54
56	cover screws placed on control side case number 1	55
57	stereolithographic guide secured in place by anchor pin case number 1	55
58	Panorama showing implants after second stage surgery and placement of healing abutments case number 1	56
59	Healing abutments were placed case number 1	56
60	After healing abutments were removed case number 1	57
61	Metal framework case number 1	57
62	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 36 case number 3	58
63	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 37 case number 3	58
64	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 45 case number 3	59
65	Virtual implant planning on right side on the CBCT for implant in site of 47 case number 3	59
66	Virtual implant planning in relation to inferior alveolar nerve case number 3	60
67	Virtual position of sleeves in relation to optically scanned diagnostic cast: occlusal view case number 3	60
68	Virtual position of sleeves in relation to optically scanned diagnostic cast: side view case number 3	61

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
69	Actual position of implants in immediate postoperative CBCT case number 3	62
70	illustrating angular and 3D deviation between planned and actual implants	63
71	Box plot representing median and range values for degree differences of completely and partially guided designs (Circle represents outlier)	65
72	Box plot representing median and range values for coronal differences of completely and partially guided designs (Circle represents outlier)	67
73	Box plot representing median and range values for apical differences of completely and partially guided designs	69
74	3-D reconstruction superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT case number 2	70
75	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the test side case number 2	70
76	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the study side case number 2	71
77	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side case number 2	71
78	superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the study side (36) case number 1	72
79	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the study side (37) case number 1	72
80	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative 3D reconstruction CBCT for the study side case number 1	73

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
81	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (45) case number 1	73
82	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (46) case number	74
83	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (47) case number 1	74
84	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative 3D reconstruction CBCT for the control side case number 1	75
85	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the study side (36) case number 3	75
86	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (37) case number 3	76
87	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (45) case number 3	76
88	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (46) case number 3	77
89	Superimposition of both planned (red) and actual (white) positions of implants using the postoperative CBCT for the control side (47) case number 3	77

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The position of the placed dental implants in the edentulous ridge determines its success prosthetically. The implants could be placed in a correct position according to the treatment plan yet have an incorrect angulation<sup>1</sup>. To overcome the discrepancies in angulations and place the dental implants in planned position, surgical stents were introduced. The stent is used with a sequential drilling, to minimize the possibility of a positional error due to freehand placement of dental implants<sup>2</sup>.

Successful implant treatment is directly related to achieving integration and restoring hard and soft supporting structures for esthetics and function <sup>(3)</sup>. To achieve a predictable and acceptable outcome the clinician should have thorough understanding of the surgical and prosthodontic phases of treatment and be able to visualize the final prosthetic before dental implant placement. The desire for predictable results led to development of prosthetically guided implantology<sup>4</sup>.

The placement of dental implant in correct position is challenging. Although recent advances in techniques and devices significantly improved the predictability of results, it remains a challenge. Three Dimension (3-D) radiographic information are required for the correct position and orientation of implants, making the diagnostic casts, probing depths and panoramic radiography of less importance due to their unpredictable results<sup>3,5-9</sup>.

During dental implant planning, the clinician should plan the position of the implant in accordance with accurate mesiodistal and buccolingual location, angulation with residual bone and correct implant orientation, to achieve a successful prosthesis supported dental implant and avoid anatomical limitations<sup>10</sup>.