

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Call 4000





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعبدا عن الغبار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل





Comparison of the Effects of Femtosecond Laser Energy on Corneal Endothelium at Two Different Dissection Levels in Femtosecond Laser Assisted Deep Anterior Lamellar Keratoplasty for Keratoconus Patients

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D Degree in **Ophthalmology**

By

Mustafa Saber Hafez Mohamed Ali

MB. BCh, M.Sc. of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ismail Ibrahim Noureldin Hamza

Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Walid Mohamed Abd El Raouf El Zawahry

Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ashraf Hassan Soliman

Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ismail Ibamza**, Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for being a true mentor, also for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made the completion of this work possible.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Walid El-Zawahry**, Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision and cooperation in this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ashraf Soliman**, Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help throughout this work and great assistance with data analysis and diagrammatic work.

I would like to acknowledge Durrah Specialized Eye Center for helping me throughout the process of this work.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study, and to my dear colleagues who helped me collect the data.

Mustafa Saber Hafez



To My Parents, Wife & Daughter



CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE NO.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	V
Introduction	1
Aim of Work	4
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of the Cornea	5
Keratoconus	12
Keratoplasty	25
Femtosecond Laser	27
Specular Microscopy	31
Patients and Methods	36
Results	43
Discussion	65
Conclusion	73
Summary	75
References	77
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABB.	FULL TERM
%Hex	Percentage of hexagonal endothelial cells
AC	Anterior Chamber
CCT	Central Corneal Thickness
CDVA	Corrected Distance Visual Acuity
CV	Coefficient of variation
D	Diopter
DALK	Deep Anterior Lamellar Keratoplasty
DM	Descemet's membrane
ECD	Endothelial cell density
ECM	Extracellular matrix
FLACS	Femtosecond laser-assisted Cataract Surgery
FLAK	Femtosecond laser-assisted Astigmatic
	Keratotomy
FSDALK	Femtosecond laser-assisted deep anterior
	lamellar keratoplasty
FSL	Femtosecond laser
FS-LASIK	Femtosecond laser-assisted Laser In-Situ
	Keratomileusis
FS-SMILE	Femtosecond laser-assisted Small Incision
	Lenticule Extraction

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS CONT.

ABB.	FULL TERM
IOP	Intraocular Pressure
K max	Maximum Keratometric power
K mean	Mean Keratometric power
logMAR	Logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution
M12	12 th postoperative month
<i>M3</i>	3 rd postoperative month
<i>M6</i>	6 th postoperative month
MMP	Matrix Metalloproteinase
PK	Penetrating Keratoplasty
UDVA	Uncorrected Distance Visual Acuity

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	Amsler-Krumeich classification for	
	grading of keratoconus	
		16
2	The ABCD classification of keratoconus	
		17
3	Comparison of the demographic data in	
	both groups	
		41
4	Comparison of the preoperative data in	
	the two groups	
		42
5	Comparison of the FSL parameters in	
	both groups	
	6 - 1	43
6	Comparison of the type of big bubble	
Ü	achieved in both groups	44
7	Comparison of the progression of the	
,	mean UDVA in both groups	45
8	Comparison of the progression of the	73
U	mean CDVA in both groups	45
	mean CDVA in boun groups	43

	•••••		
9	Comparison of the progression of the		
	mean spherical error in both groups		
		47	
10	Comparison of the progression of mean		
	refractive cylinder in both groups		

LIST OF TABLES CONT.

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
11	Comparison of the progression of	
	topographic astigmatism in both groups	
	•••	50
12	Comparison of the progression of K mean	
	in both groups	
		51
13	Comparison of the progression of K max	
	in both groups	
		52
14	Comparison of the progression of mean	
	ECD in both groups	
		55
15	Comparison of the mean postoperative	57

	ECD loss rate in both gr	oups
16	Comparison of the progression of	the
	mean %Hex in both gr	oups
		59
17	Comparison of the progression of	the
	mean CV in both gr	oups
		60
18	Comparison of the progression of	the
	mean CCT in both gr	oups
		61
19	Comparison of the adverse effects in	both
	groups	
		62

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	Light microscopic picture of normal	
	corneal anatomy	7
2	Electron microscopy of the Dua's	
	Layer	8